

SPECIAL MEETING
1987-88
ACADEMIC SENATE
California State University, Sacramento

AGENDA

Thursday, May 19 1988
2:30 p.m.
Senate Chambers, University Union

INFORMATION

1. President's Response to 1987-88 Senate Actions (Attachment A)
2. Report on CSU Academic Senate meeting, May 5-6, 1988
(Attachment H) - Senator Peter Shattuck

CONSENT CALENDAR

AS 88-65/Ex. COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

Search Committee, Director of Admissions and Records:
PETER LUND, At-large (repl. W. Muller)

*AS 88-66/Ex. ASI, CRITERIA FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR STUDENT OFFICERS

The Academic Senate recommends revision of the 1973 CSUS policy on Minimum Criteria for Qualifications for Student Officers (Based on SA 72-97), to read as follows [see Attachment B, Dean Raske's March 11, 1988, memorandum describing the differences between the March 1973 policy and the proposed policy]:

Candidates for, and/or incumbents of, all elected and appointed offices in CSUS student government, as a condition of eligibility for such offices, must maintain reasonable progress toward an educational goal in order to meet requirements of the Board of Trustees. Progress toward an educational goal is considered to be met by the enrollment of a student in a course of study leading to a baccalaureate degree, teaching credential, or graduate degree at CSUS.

Reasonable progress is defined as follows:

- A. Candidates, incumbents and appointees to any of the above mentioned positions shall not be on academic or disciplinary probation, as defined by the current edition of the CSUS General Catalog.

- B. All candidates for elective office must have completed no fewer than fourteen (14) semester units of academic credit with a grade point average of 2.0 or higher, during the twelve (12) months immediately preceding the term in which the filing of candidacy occurs.
- C. All candidates for elective office or appointees to any ASI position must have, if any courses are taken during the twelve months preceding the election or appointment, a grade point average of 2.0 or higher, and must complete, during the semester in which the election is held or appointment is made, at least seven semester units with a grade point average of 2.0 or higher. In addition, incumbents of any ASI office must earn, during each Fall and each Spring semester of office, at least seven semester units with a grade point average of 2.0 or higher.
- D. These requirements do not preclude additional student government requirements.

AS 88-67/AP, Ex. GRADE APPEAL PROCEDURES

The Grade Appeal Procedures (AS 87-47) shall be amended and the Interim Grade Appeal Procedures (AS 87-51) superseded by the Grade Appeal Procedures amended as follows (underlining = addition; strikeover = deletion) [see Attachment C]:

AS 88-68/CC, GPPC, Ex. CENTERS AND INSTITUTES, PROCEDURES FOR REVIEW OF

The Academic Senate recommends adoption of the following review procedures:

PROCEDURES FOR THE REVIEW OF CENTERS AND INSTITUTES

As provided for in PM 87-04, Process for Establishing Research Centers and Institutes (Appendix A), each five years a review will be conducted of each center and institute. On this schedule, each center and institute shall submit a self-study and a proposal for the next five years of operation. This self-study and five-year plan is in addition to the year-end report required by PM 87-04.

For those centers and institutes that are associated with a single department, the review will be conducted by the academic program review team at the time of the departmental review.

For those centers and institutes that are not associated with a department, the Vice President for Academic Affairs will determine the schedule for review. The review ordinarily will be conducted by a team consisting of at least three

ACADEMIC SENATE
of
THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Sacramento Delegation
May 6, 1988

PROCLAMATION AND RESOLUTION OF COMMENDATION
FOR PETER SHATTUCK

- Whereas, Peter Shattuck has reached that station in life at which the synapses begin to fire blanks; and
- Whereas, despite a valiant year of futile attempts in his role as Senate Secretary to make the proceedings of the CSU Academic Senate appear to be dignified and rational, his minutes now more closely resemble seconds; and
- Whereas, in his lucid moments, he has mumbled brokenly about returning to the classroom to spend the twilight years of his wasted career; and
- Whereas, in the considered opinion of several still functional Senators, there is a growing danger that he will any day now appear at a Senate meeting without his coat and tie, thereby terminally disillusioning thousands of aspiring young academic politicians all over North America and the History Department; and
- Whereas, in the general decay of the wreck that once was Peter Shattuck his palate may still be able to respond to the stimuli of fine food and rare vintages; and
- Whereas, in anticipation of his disappearance from the Senate dining circuit gourmet chefs everywhere are wearing their aprons at half mast; and
- Whereas, plans to have him bronzed and permanently mounted in his Senate seat have been blocked by environmentalists;
- Therefore be it Resolved that the Academic Senate of CSU express its gratitude to Peter Shattuck for his devoted service to the California State University as Academic Senator and as Secretary to the Senate; and be it further
- Resolved that the Academic Senate thank Peter Shattuck for his gifts of wit, good temper, and reasonableness, as well as his ingenuity and energy; and be it further
- Resolved that the Academic Senate congratulate Peter Shattuck on his accomplishments as Senator and Secretary on the occasion of his retirement from the Senate; and be it further
- Resolved that the Academic Senate wish him well in his full-time return to the classroom.

appropriateness of the next five year plan. The report should include specific recommendations for action by appropriate campus entities, including a recommendation to the Academic Senate and the Vice President for Academic Affairs for continuation or termination of the center or institute.

The report will be presented to a joint session of the Academic Senate's Curriculum Committee and Graduate Policies and Programs Committee, to be handled in the same manner as academic program reviews.

AS 88-69/Ex. ELECTION PROCEDURES, SENATORS REPRESENTING
TEMPORARY FACULTY

At-large Senators Representing Temporary Faculty

TERMS OF OFFICE

Moved to end of agenda

The four at-large Senators shall serve two year terms, staggered so that two members are elected to new terms each year. At-large Senators must have at least six wtu's during the semester of their election, and must continue to have at least 3 wtu's for each semester of their term. An at-large Senator may serve at most six consecutive years. At no time may more than two at-large Senators be from the same school.

VACANCIES

If an at-large seat is vacant, the Academic Senate may appoint a replacement for the remainder of the academic year from the temporary faculty who have at least six wtu's during the semester of their appointment. If this leaves a remaining year of the term, the position shall be filled for the second year by means of the elections held during the spring semester of the first year.

PROCEDURES FOR NOMINATION AND ELECTION

All nominations and elections shall be conducted in the spring semester. Nominations and elections shall be by and from the temporary faculty who have at least six wtu's during the semester of the election. To be nominated, an eligible faculty member must submit a nomination petition signed by six members of the electing body.

In the event that the number of nominees exceeds twice the number of positions to be filled, the Election Committee may hold a primary election in order to reduce the number of candidates.

Each member of the electing body may vote for as many

candidates on the secondary ballot as there are positions to be filled. The positions shall be filled in the order of greatest number of votes received. However, no more than two at-large Senators may be from the same school. Two-year positions shall be filled before one-year positions. In the event of ties there shall be a ballot with each member of the electing body voting for one of the tied candidates.

All ballots shall be secret ballots.

CONSENT CALENDAR - INFORMATION

AS 88-70/Ex. PRESIDENT'S CITATION AWARDS

The Executive Committee, on behalf of the Senate, endorses the establishment of Presidential Citation Awards for an outstanding masters thesis or project in each of the following categories: natural science and engineering, social sciences, and humanities.

The Executive Committee, on behalf of the Senate, recommends that a review committee of no fewer than three instructional faculty be appointed by and from the Graduate Policies and Programs Committee to review nominations and select the theses/projects for the awards. If no submission meets the expected standard of scholarship, no award will be made.

REGULAR AGENDA

AS 88-64/Flr. MINUTES

Approval of Minutes of the regular meeting of April 14, 1988.

AS 88-71A/GPPC, FISA, Ex. MASTERS DEGREE IN PUBLIC POLICY ADMINISTRATION [responds to AS 87-86]

The Academic Senate recommends approval of the Masters in Public Policy and Administration (MPPA) to replace the Masters in Public Administration (MPA). [See program summary enclosed. The complete proposal is available for review in the Senate Office, Adm. 264].

Note: The Graduate Policies and Programs Committee has made several recommendations regarding the shape of the program, the relationship of its core courses to courses offered currently in the Department of Organizational Behavior and Environment, and the schedule for offering the courses--Attachment D. The MPPA Steering Committee has indicated its willingness to undertake discussions with the

Department of OB&E and other relevant parties to determine whether two of the courses in the MPPA can be merged with similar courses in OB&E. To await the results of those discussions would delay significantly approval of the program and the undertaking of initial implementation tasks. The Senate therefore recommends approval of the proposal as drafted with the understanding that any changes will be brought before it for approval in the form of Program Change Proposals next Fall.)

AS 88-71B/GPPC, FisA, Ex. MPPA REPORTS

The Academic Senate notes the Fiscal Affairs Committee's fiscal impact evaluation (Attachment E) and the report of the Graduate Policies and Programs Committee (Attachment D), and forwards these reports to the President as information.

AS 88-72/GPPC JOINT DOCTORAL PROGRAM--EDCAPS: REQUEST TO NEGOTIATE

Approved from J. [unclear]
The Academic Senate recommends Presidential approval of the EDCAPS request to negotiate a joint doctoral program (Ed.D. in Education Administration) with University of the Pacific [see Attachment F].

[Note: The Executive Committee forwards AS 88-72 to the Senate without a recommendation.]

AS 88-73/CC, GPPC, Ex. PACIFIC ASIAN STUDIES, PROPOSED B.A. AND MINOR IN

The Academic Senate recommends approval of the proposed Bachelor of Arts and Minor in Pacific Asian Studies with the addition of Government 149A (Japan: A New Challenge) in the elective courses [see Attachment G].

AS 88-74/CC RETENTION MODEL: PROGRAM FOR EXCELLENCE IN UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION (PEUE)

The Academic Senate is supportive of the concepts and goals addressed in the PEUE proposal (Attachment I), a model for enhancing student retention, and recommends:

A. Formation of a Task Force

The Academic Senate recommends that an implementation Task Force be selected by the Academic Senate, subject to approval by the President. The charge to the Task Force would be to consider the PEUE proposal, and the issues raised by discussion of it that were held in various committees since its submission. The group would gather