

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO

UNIVERSITY ARTP COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM

California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street
Sacramento, California 95819-6000

February 6, 2008

FEB - 7 2008

To: Faculty Senate

Faculty Senate received
1/7

Via: Senate Executive Committee



From: William A. Dillon, Presiding Member
University ARTP Committee

Subj: Section 3.01A of University ARTP Policy

The University ARTP Committee recommends amendment of the subject section by adding the note to it as shown below in bolded, underlined text.

3.00 UNIVERSITY ARTP COMMITTEE

3.01 Composition

A. The University ARTP Committee shall be composed of one student selected by the Associated Students, Inc. Board of Directors, one member elected by the Library unit, one member elected by the counseling faculty unit, and tenured faculty members elected by and from their tenured and probationary colleagues in each college. Each college shall elect one member of the University ARTP Committee for each 100 probationary and tenured faculty or fraction thereof assigned to that college as of the current year. No more than one faculty member may be elected from the same primary unit.

FTEF UARTP Representative(s)

1 - 100 One member
101 - 199 Two members
200 - 299 Three members
300 - 399 Four members
400 - 499 Five members

The President's designee shall serve as a non-voting ex-officio member of the University ARTP Committee.

Note: Whenever a call for nominations to a seat or seats on an ARTP committee or a grievance panel or pool produces no more than a single candidate for each seat, the election following the close of nominations shall be by a ballot that gives each voter the choice of accepting or rejecting the single candidate for each seat.

B. Any change in the composition of the University ARTP Committee shall be subject to recommendation by a vote of the entire faculty and the approval of the President.

C. It is imperative that the members of the University ARTP Committee be persons with such concern for the quality of the University and with the function of the University in its local, regional, and national setting, that they will be able to set aside the interest they will have in some particular part of the University, so that these special interests will not intrude upon the deliberations of the University ARTP Committee.

The committee believes that the above-stated understanding of what constitutes an election in the case of an unopposed candidate gives full effect to each eligible faculty member's right to elect ARTP committees and the grievance panel that will judge his or her case and recommend the policies under which evaluations will take place. The Committee believes as well that its sense of what an uncontested election requires will go far toward insuring that each elected member of an ARTP committee or a grievance panel will have undergone a process of selection designed to express the confidence of the electors in those who will act for them and upon them in ways that significantly affect their interests.

WD/mjd