Overview of Feedback   *Senate Select Committee*

Kristin Van Gaasbeck (speaking in her own right, based on her experiences as Chair of APC)
1.   Overall, she supported the plan for Committee reorganization into the three Policy   Committees
2.   Spoke mostly to the reorganization of APC and the creation of the new Student Retention Subcommittee.
3.   Wanted to see the actual reorg proposal, and it was sent to her for review.
4.   She submitted suggestions for revision, which were incorporated and
5.   She supports the final draft of the charges.

Tony Sheppard (speaking in his own right, based on his experiences as Chair of the Senate -
1.   Was opposed to any change in the number of voting members of the Executive Committee and not having Committee Chairs "in the room" and voting.
2.   No Change in the number of voting members or the voting status of Committee Chairs (who are seated) was recommended by the first Committee or this one.
3.   He is opposed to the proposed reorganization into three "division" committees. Specifically, he states: "taking any two or three of the others and simply combining them into a super committee of some kind seems problematic - unless there is   significant reallocation of charges and reporting sub-committees." However, he says that it   might be possible to have a "meaningful realignment of committees."

Reza Peigahi (in his own right based on his experience as Chair of GE/GR): Agrees with recommendations 1 and 2. On reorganization of Senate Committees (rec 3-9), he "agrees with hesitation." Says further, "I do not oppose the elimination of some existing policy committees.". Has reservations that the proposal as stated achieves the goals of Senate Select Committee. Understands conceptually what is being sought, but doesn't see, in real terms, how they will create efficiencies, foster consultation, tie the Executive Committee to Senate, or inform Senators of the work of the Standing Policy Committees.

Beth Strasser (GE Course review subcommittee) simply notes that the subcommittee is reviewing its charge.

Chris Miller (on behalf of the GSPC)
1.   Strongly opposed to eliminating GSPC as a standing Policy Committee.
2.   Challenges the findings of the first Select Committee regarding workload of the policy committees.
3.   Would not object to creating a single UG committee with purview over all undergraduate curricula including GE/GR.

Jeffrey Brodd (chair of PROC, acting in his own right): From his point of view as chair of PROC, he agrees ENTIRELY. "It would be helpful to be reporting to the Academic Affairs Policies Committee with its charges to include issues involving graduate studies and GE/GR. This is the normal mix for our work in program review."

Individual (unnamed) members of Faculty Policies Committee
1.   Someone wants committees to be named in the constitution
2.   Someone doesn't understand the logic of Chairs not voting in the Senate and voting in the Exec committee
4.   Someone thinks that the new Academic Affairs Policy Committee appears to have a lot more work than the others.

Margarita Berta Avila (on behalf of CODE) Strongly objects to changing the status of CODE to a subcommittee. Wants to have CODE have the status of a Policy Committee with a seat at the Executive committee.

The Select Committee reviewed the responses, created the above summary and noted the following:
- *The Select Committee did not simply combine charges; it did reallocate charges and reporting subcommittees.*
- *The main changes that were "fleshed out" per the Senate's directions included:*
  a) *Substantial revision of the Academic Policies (to be named "Student Affairs Policy Committee), transferring responsibility for the academic calendar to FPC (to be named Faculty Affairs Committee) and transfers many non-policy matters currently in the charge to a new Student Retention and Graduation Subcommittee. Provides for strong liaison relationship between the new Policy Committee and its subcommittees.*
  b) *Expanded FPC's scope by adding academic calendar, bringing CODE and other committees under its jurisdiction with liaison relationship to all of its subcommittees.*
  c) *Creating a NEW Academic Affairs Policy Committee with purview over POLICY matters pertaining to the curriculum (undergraduate, including GE/GR and graduate programs). Ensures attention to both undergraduate and grad programs*
  d) *Having UG and Graduate vice chairs with liaison relationship to subcommittees;*
     i. *Creating a graduate subcommittee to attend to non-policy matters, currently within the purview of GSPC.*
     ii. *Ad hoc committees can always be established when there is a special job that needs to be done (e.g., GE Program Review; some new executive order)*

1