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Abstract: Copper–oxygen complexes supported by \( \beta \)-diketiminate and anilido-imine ligands have recently been reported (Aboelella et al., J Am Chem Soc 2004, 126, 16896; Reynolds et al., Inorg Chem 2005, 44, 6989) as potential biomimetic models for dopamine \( \beta \)-monooxygenase (D\( \beta \)M) and peptidylglycine \( \alpha \)-hydroxylating monooxygenase (PHM). However, in contrast to the enzymatic systems, these complexes fail to exhibit C—H hydroxylation activity (Reynolds et al., Chem Commun 2005, 2014). Quantum chemical characterization of the 1:1 Cu-O\(_2\) model adducts and related species (Cu(III)-hydroperoxide, Cu(III)-oxo, and Cu(III)-hydroxide) indicates that the 1:1 Cu-O\(_2\) adducts are unreactive toward substrates because of the weakness of the O—H bond that would be formed upon hydrogen-atom abstraction. This in turn is ascribed to the 1:1 adducts having both low reduction potentials and basicities. Cu(III)-oxo species on the other hand, determined to be intermediate between Cu(III)-oxo and Cu(II)-oxyl in character, are shown to be far more reactive toward substrates. Based on these results, design strategies for new D\( \beta \)M and PHM biomimetic ligands are proposed: new ligands should be made less electron rich so as to favor end-on dioxygen coordination in the 1:1 Cu-O\(_2\) adducts. Comparison of the relative reactivities of the various copper–oxygen complexes as hydroxylating agents provides support for a Cu(II)-superoxo species as the intermediate responsible for substrate hydroxylation in D\( \beta \)M and PHM, and suggests that a Cu(III)-oxo intermediate would be competent in this process as well.
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Introduction

Binding and activation of dioxygen by copper centers plays an important role in the catalytic cycle of an array of enzyme systems.\(^1,2\) Particular examples include the Cu-containing enzymes dopamine \( \beta \)-monooxygenase (D\( \beta \)M)\(^3,4\) and peptidylglycine \( \alpha \)-hydroxylating monooxygenase (PHM),\(^5,6\) the former of which hydroxylates the benzylic position of dopamine to yield norepinephrine in the biosynthesis of the neurohormone epinephrine and the latter of which hydroxylates the terminal glycine residue in glycine-extended peptide precursors of neuropeptide hormones. Extensive sequence identity in the two enzymes\(^6,7\) along with conserved active site residues implies that the catalytic mechanisms in D\( \beta \)M and PHM are analogous.\(^3,8–11\) Of the two noncoupled copper centers in these enzymes, Cu\(_A\) (or Cu\(_3\)) is thought to function as an electron transfer site, while it is Cu\(_B\) (or Cu\(_4\)) which apparently binds dioxygen and serves as the site of substrate hydroxylation.

Despite significant experimental and theoretical work, definitive identification of the Cu\(_B\)-oxygen intermediate that reacts with substrate, the mechanism of Cu\(_B\)-oxygen adduct formation, and the mechanism for reaction with substrate remains elusive. Experimental work from Klinman and coworkers\(^9\) on D\( \beta \)M led to the proposal of oxygen binding to copper in a Cu\(_B\)(I)-O\(_2\)/Cu\(_B\)(II)-O\(_2\) equilibrium. The Cu(II)-superoxo species functions to abstract a hydrogen atom from substrate, generating a Cu(II)-hydroperoxo species and a substrate free radical. These findings were corroborated by theoretical studies of the Cu-B-O\(_2\) system.\(^12,13\) However, the question of the identity of the superoxo species in D\( \beta \)M and PHM remains unresolved.
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rated by a crystal structure of a precatalytic complex of PHM obtained via the use of a bound, inactive substrate analog and by theoretical calculations on models of the PHM Cu site. Both studies proposed structures exhibiting end-on (ν_{\text{e}}) binding of dioxygen to Cu, with an O–O bond length short enough to discount assignment of the complex as a Cu(III)-peroxide or Cu(II)-hydroperoxide intermediate. Recent mixed quantum mechanical/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations on the D/M system (constructed by homology modeling using a PHM crystal structure) also support an end-on coordination mode and primarily superoxo character for the 1:1 Cu-O bond adduct. Further QM/MM calculations as well as calculations on quantum models cropped from the QM/MM structures showed that the Cu(II)-superoxo species was effective at hydroxylating dopamine under physiological conditions. A Cu(III)-peroxo species was shown to have even higher oxidative power, suggesting that this unstable intermediate could play a role in catalysis if it indeed forms at the D/M active site. The finding that the \(^{18}\text{O}_2\) kinetic isotope effect in the PHM system is influenced by substrate deuteration, however, argues against the involvement of the Cu(III)-peroxo. Other calculations on PHM active site models have suggested a Cu(II)-hydroperoxide or a side-on (ν_{\text{s}}) bound copper–oxygen complex intermediate in character between Cu(II)-superoxo and Cu(III)-peroxo as alternative intermediates and further inferred that the ν_{\text{s}} Cu-O bond is the reactive species in PHM.

The chemistry of the Cu sites in D/M and PHM has also been approached using putative biomimetic model systems. Tripodal tetradentate ligands, such as tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine, tris(2-pyridylethyl)amine, tris(tetramethylguanidino)tren, and a bisamide functionalized polyimidazole ligand, have been demonstrated through a combination of theoretical and experimental evidence to be capable of stabilizing end-on 1:1 Cu-O adducts. We focus here instead on two monoanionic bidentate ligands from Tolman et al. (Scheme 1), a β-diketiminate (L\(^1\)) and an anilido-imine (L\(^2\)) ligands, which have the virtue of more closely reproducing the coordination environment of Cu in D/M and PHM, in which only two nitrogen donors (histidine residues) are present. These ligand systems have likewise shown the ability to stabilize 1:1 Cu-O_{2} adducts. Characterized both experimentally and theoretically, these complexes exhibit side-on binding of dioxygen to the copper center and significant Cu(III)-peroxo character. In addition, the mechanism of dioxygen activation within the β-diketiminate system has been elucidated using a combination of experimental and computational methods. Despite these promising developments, the 1:1 Cu-O_{2} adducts yielded by these two ligand systems have proven to be unreactive toward a wide range of exogenous organic substrates in neutral or acidic solutions. To design the next generation of ligands for biomimetic models of D/M and PHM, the reactivity of these 1:1 adducts must be explained, and that is the subject of this work.

We begin by determining the dioxygen activation mechanism in the anilido-imine ligand system and comparing it to the β-diketiminate case. We next compute p\(K_a\) values and reduction potentials for the 1:1 Cu-O_{2} adducts supported by L\(^1\) and L\(^2\) and for derivative Cu(III)-hydroperoxide, Cu(III)-peroxo, and Cu(III)-hydroxide species. Trends in these properties explain the relative reactivity of these species with hydrocarbon substrates. Hydrogen-atom abstraction transition-state structures are examined to confirm these conclusions. Implications for the design of new biomimetic models and insights into the catalytic cycles of D/M and PHM are addressed.

### Computational Methods

#### Density Functional Calculations

Geometry optimizations were carried out using the Jaguar suite, version 5.0, of \textit{ab initio} quantum chemistry programs. Density functional theory (DFT) with the B3LYP functional has been shown to successfully predict ligand-Cu(I) and -Cu(II) bond dissociation energies, and was applied for all geometry optimizations. Singlet and triplet states were treated at restricted (RDFT) and unrestricted (UDFT) levels of theory, respectively, in keeping with the established methodology for the types of copper–oxygen complexes described herein. In addition, UDFT methods were used for doublets and optimizations of Cu(III)-hydroxide complexes. Basis sets utilized included the laccyp basis set for Cu and the double-ζ plus polarization (DZP) 6-31G** basis for all other atoms. Reaction coordinate profiles were obtained by freezing at least one interatomic distance and optimizing all other degrees of freedom. The quadratic synchronous transit method was used for all transition-state searches. Mulliken charge and spin populations and natural
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population analysis (NPA) partial atomic charges\(^{42}\) have been computed and used to track electronic changes along reaction coordinates and between closely related structures. Mulliken population analyses have proven to be a convenient method of tracking electron flow in other systems involving the reaction of dioxygen at a metal center and hydrogen-atom abstraction by the subsequently formed metal–oxygen complexes.\(^{43,44}\)

The B3LYP/DZP optimized geometries and transition state structures were verified as such by analytical vibrational frequency calculations. These calculations enabled zero-point, enthalpy, and entropy corrections to be made to the electronic energies, thus allowing free energies to be determined. The zero-point, enthalpy, and entropy corrections as well as electronic energies, CASPT2 corrections, and solvation energies for all computed species can be found in the Supporting Information (Table S2). To render these calculations computationally tractable, truncated models in which the four isopropyl groups are replaced with hydrogen atoms (whose positions were optimized while keeping the remainder of the structure frozen) were used in the vibrational frequency computations. The error introduced through this approximation is expected to be nominal, as the isopropyl groups do not directly participate in the chemistry taking place at the copper center (\textit{vide infra}). The steric and electronic influences that are exerted by the isopropyl groups are accounted for within the geometry optimizations, which are performed using the entire \(L^1\) and \(L^2\) ligands. Reported vibrational frequencies are scaled by 0.9614.\(^{53}\)

Single-point solvation energies for optimized geometries were calculated using the self-consistent reaction field method as implemented in the Poisson–Boltzmann solver in Jaguar.\(^{36,47}\) Values for the dielectric constant \(\varepsilon\) of THF (used as the solvent to facilitate comparison to experimental data) were taken to be 7.43 at 25 °C and 10.42 at \(-50\) °C.\(^{48,49}\) For free-energy changes computed in solution, a translational entropy correction was included to account for the change in concentration from the 1 atm gas-phase (determined as \(1/24.5\) M from the ideal gas law) to the 1 M standard-state solution concentration.\(^{50}\) Where solvent THF was transferred. The potential relative to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) can be obtained by subtracting 4.28 V\(^{52}\) from the quantity in eq. (4). An empirical correction was employed to compensate for systematic error involved in the computation of the reduction potentials. A copper complex supported by a triaza-macroyclic ligand, with the rare combination of possessing a macrocyclic ligand, with the rare combination of possessing a homolytic bond cleavage reactions\(^{54}\) was shown to be a viable approach.\(^{54}\)

Bond dissociation energies (BDEs) were calculated for the homolytic bond cleavage reactions \(R–H \rightarrow R+H\). To determine \(C–H\) BDEs for the benzylc position in dopamine and the \(C_p\) position in formylglycine (which are not readily available in the literature), \(C–H\) BDEs were first calculated for a variety of hydrocarbons with \(C–H\) bond strengths ranging from 104.9 kcal/mol and the proton, the latter of which is taken to be \(-265.9\) kcal/mol prior to correcting for the 1-atm to 1-M standard-state change.\(^{51,52}\) The pK\(_a\) is then calculated as

\[
pK_a = 14.0 - \frac{\Delta G_a}{2.303RT}
\]

where \(R\) is the universal gas constant and \(T\) is temperature.

Adiabatic reduction potentials have also been calculated using a free-energy cycle (Scheme 3). The free-energy change for reduction in solution \(\Delta G_r\) is

\[
\Delta G_r = G^\text{red}_g - G^\text{ox}_g + \Delta G^\text{red}_\text{sol} - \Delta G^\text{ox}_\text{sol}
\]

where \(G^\text{red}_g\) and \(G^\text{ox}_g\) are the gas phase free energies for the reduced and oxidized species, and \(\Delta G^\text{red}_\text{sol}\) and \(\Delta G^\text{ox}_\text{sol}\) are the corresponding solvation energies. The absolute reduction potential is determined as

\[
E^0 = -\frac{\Delta G_r}{nF}
\]

where \(F\) is the Faraday constant and \(n\) is the number of electrons transferred. The potential relative to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) can be obtained by subtracting 4.28 V\(^{52}\) from the quantity in eq. (4). An empirical correction was employed to compensate for systematic error involved in the computation of the reduction potentials. A copper complex supported by a triaza-macro cyclic ligand, with the rare combination of possessing a similar coordination chemistry to that of \(L^1\) and \(L^2\) and having been well characterized in terms of its electrochemistry, and a measured Cu(III)/Cu(II) reduction potential of \(-0.271\) V versus SHE was taken as a reference point.\(^{53}\) Calculations indicate this reduction potential to be 0.485 V, leading to a correction factor of \(-0.76\) V. Transferability of such corrections between closely related systems has been shown to be a viable approach.\(^{54}\)
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where \(R\) is the universal gas constant and \(T\) is temperature.
culated values were then linearly correlated against tabulated values so as to yield a relationship between computed and literature BDEs (see Supplementary Fig. S1). This correction (on the order of 2 kcal/mol) was then applied to the BDEs computed for dopamine and formylglycine.

**Multireference Calculations**

In contrast to closed-shell singlet and high-spin triplet Kohn-Sham wave functions (which can be expressed as single Slater determinants), open-shell singlets require at least two determinants and thus cannot be rigorously represented within the framework of Kohn-Sham DFT. This limitation is particularly relevant for computations on Cu-O₂ adducts (in particular, L₁CuO₂, L₂CuO₂H⁺, and the L₂ analogs) and Cu(III)-oxo species. The latter may possess significant Cu(II)-oxy character, while significant Cu(II)-superoxo character in the former has been documented to lead to sizable errors in singlet energies computed via DFT methods in a simplified version of the L₁ system. In each case, the result is electronic states that resemble a biradical with one electron localized to copper and another localized to the oxygen moiety. Single-point multireference second-order perturbation theory (CASPT2) calculations have been carried out to account for the multideterminantal nature of the singlet Cu-O₂ adducts and Cu(III)-oxo species when calculating energies and singlet-triplet energy differences for these complexes. Broken symmetry unrestricted density functional theory (BS-UDFT) provides another potential method for accounting for, at least in an approximate way, the multideterminantal nature of the species in question. However, the BS-UDFT method proves to be inadequate in the current case. In particular, the BS-UDFT energies (after accounting for spin contamination via spin projection) for the singlet side-on 1:1 Cu-O₂ adducts supported by L₁ and L₂ are higher in energy than the corresponding triplets by 8.3 and 7.5 kcal/mol respectively. By comparison, the singlet RDFT energies are higher in energy than the corresponding triplets by 9.3 and 9.1 kcal/mol respectively. In short, the RDFT and BS-UDFT energies for the singlets are nearly equal and both incorrectly predict a triplet ground state for the side-on 1:1 Cu-O₂ adducts, which are experimentally known to be ground state singlets based upon EPR spectroscopy (cf. Refs. 28 and 29). The MOLCAS program was used for CAS and CASPT2 calculations.

For the Cu-O₂ adducts, the initial complete active space (CAS) of the reference wave function consisted of 18 electrons in 12 orbitals, specifically, the Cu valence electrons/orbitals and the O₂ σ₂p, σ⁎₂p, π₂p, and π⁎₂p electrons/orbitals. A final (12,9) active space was achieved by removing orbitals with occupation numbers greater than 1.999. The initial CAS for the Cu(III)-oxo species comprised 16 electrons in 10 orbitals stemming from the Cu(III) valence electrons (8) and orbitals and the 8 electrons and 4 orbitals of O²⁻ with principal quantum number 2. After eliminating doubly occupied orbitals, a final (10,7) active space was arrived at for these calculations.

For the β-diketiminate ligand case, a 17-electron relativistic effective core potential basis was used for Cu and a polarized double-ζ atomic natural orbital basis was used for all other atoms. In calculations on the anilido-imine ligand system, internal charge separation is larger because of the formal localization of the ligand anionic charge onto one Cu-coordinating nitrogen atom. Consequently, for these cases, a 17-electron relativistic effective core potential with a polarized valence triple-ζ (TZP) basis set for the valence electrons was used for Cu and a TZP atomic natural orbital basis set was used for O, N, and H (N–H) atoms, while in the interest of keeping the CASPT2 calculations tractable, C was treated with the 3-21G basis and remaining H atoms with the STO-3G basis.

The significant inherent computational expense of the CASPT2 methodology renders such calculations on the full L₁ and L₂ systems impractical and mandates instead the use of simplified versions of the L₁ and L₂ ligand systems for these calculations. This is achieved by changing the backbone methyl group(s) and the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl flanking groups to hydrogen atoms. The difference Δ in the singlet–triplet energy splittings calculated at the DFT and CASPT2 levels for these simplified models is

\[
\Delta = (\langle \alpha \rangle_{\text{CASPT2}} - \langle \alpha \rangle_{\text{DFT}})
\]

As triplet states are well described by single-determinantal methods like DFT, the relative energy difference for the triplet between the two levels of theory may be taken to be zero. Equation (5) then yields the relative difference in the singlet energies between DFT and CASPT2, and the quantity Δ can be interpreted as a singlet–triplet energy difference for the singlet state provided by DFT. Final electronic energies for singlet states for the full ligand systems are obtained by summing the SCF energies from DFT calculations on the full L₁ and L₂ ligand systems with the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl flanking groups to hydrogen atoms.

To facilitate the determination of Δ along the reaction path for 1:1
Cu-O₂ adduct formation in the L₂ system, linear correlations between Δ and the Cu–O bond length were determined over a range of 1.86–2.33 Å in the side-on isomer and 1.85–2.15 Å in the end-on isomer (Fig. S2). CASPT2 corrections are then readily determined for any singlet side-on or end-on adduct between these two endpoints. Since the CASPT2 correction at an infinite Cu–O distance should refer only to molecular dioxygen, an extrapolation was employed at longer Cu–O distances to obtain the correct asymptotic limit. Calculations show the correction ΔO₂ (determined analogously as in eq. (5)) for singlet dioxygen (specifically, the lowest energy singlet state ¹Δg) to be 15.0 kcal/mol. The long-range extrapolated CASPT2 correction is then

\[
(\text{max correction} + \Delta O_2) \left( \frac{O-O_{\max} - 1.215}{1.215} \right) - \Delta O_2
\]

where “max correction” refers to the CASPT2 correction at the last point in the linear correlations, O–O_{max} and O–O are the oxygen–
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Figure 2. Optimized geometries for (a) L¹CuO and (b) L¹CuOH⁺. Hydrogen atoms on L¹ are omitted for clarity. Distances are measured in Å. Pink stands for Cu; gray, C; blue, N; yellow, S; red, O; white, H.
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Figure 3. Optimized geometries for (a) end-on L¹CuO₂H⁺ and (b) side-on L¹CuO₂H⁺. Hydrogen atoms on L¹ are omitted for clarity. Distances are measured in Å. Pink stands for Cu; gray, C; blue, N; yellow, S; red, O; white, H.
Table 1. Activation Parameters at 223 K in THF for the Oxygenation Reactions of the Cu(I)/MeCN Complexes Supported by L1 and L2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway A</th>
<th>ΔG° (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔH° (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔS° (cal/(mol K))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1 Theory</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Experiment</td>
<td>8.9-9.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Theory</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathway B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Theory</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Experiment</td>
<td>12.3-12.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>-23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Theory</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-31.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

Dioxygen Activation Mechanism in the L2 System

Kinetics experiments examining oxygenation of L1Cu(MeCN) revealed that two reaction pathways (Scheme 4) are possible, depending on whether the reaction is carried out in neat THF or in THF/MeCN mixtures.28 Structures and free energies for intermediates and transition states along both the bimolecular and solvolytic pathways in the L2 system are shown in Figure 1. Activation parameters for both pathways in the L2 case are very close to those determined experimentally and theoretically when L1 is the supporting ligand (Table 1).28 The overall oxygenation reaction is also exergonic, as in the L1 case. Reduction of dioxygen in the 1:1 adducts supported by both L1 and L2 results from the flow of electron density in nearly equal amounts from the Cu center and the anionic β-diketiminate and anilido-imine ligands based upon analysis of the Mulliken and NPA charges.

Not surprisingly therefore, the essential features of the bimolecular oxygenation mechanism from the L1 system28 are mirrored in the L2 system: (1) a dissociative mechanism is computed to have a higher activation barrier, in the case of L2 by 11.8 kcal/mol at 25°C than the triplet L1CuO structures have higher free energies at 25°C in the gas phase than the singlets for both L1 (ΔG = 5.8 kcal/mol) and L2 (ΔG = 13.5 kcal/mol).

Neither L1CuO nor L2CuO has been isolated, possibly because of the weaker metal–oxygen bonding than is seen in stable compounds of group 5–8 transition metals with terminal oxo ligands.

Table 2. Free Energies (kcal/mol) for LCuO2H+ Species Relative to the End-On Singlet State at 223 K in THF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Side-on singlet</td>
<td>+15.4</td>
<td>+3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side-on triplet</td>
<td>+10.2</td>
<td>+8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-on singlet</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-on triplet</td>
<td>+7.9</td>
<td>+7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and/or these species’ anticipated high reactivities. A Cu(III)-oxo species has been postulated as an intermediate resulting from cleavage of the O—O bond of a μ-peroxo binuclear complex (LCuO2, L = HB(3,5-Me2pz)2), but to our knowledge, no direct spectroscopic evidence for such a species has been obtained.

Characterization of the Copper/Oxygen Complexes

Cu(III)-hydroperoxide and Cu(III)-hydroxide

Relative free energies of the side-on and end-on singlet and triplet protonated 1:1 Cu-O2 adducts are shown in Table 2. For both the L1 and L2 cases, the end-on singlet is energetically preferred and features an O—O distance of 1.404 Å in the L1 system (Fig. 3a) and 1.417 in the L2 system (Fig. S5a). These bond lengths are 0.05 Å longer than in the η1 LCuO2 structures and 0.13 Å longer than in the η1 LCuO2 structures. This is consistent with the increase in the Mulliken charge population on the O2 unit by ~0.1 eu (with the NPA charges, by ~0.2 eu) upon protonation and with this new electron density inhabiting the heretofore partially occupied oxygen π* orbitals. Both the bond lengths and associated ν(O–O), 936 cm<sup>−1</sup> for L1 and 911 cm<sup>−1</sup> for L2, support the assignment of LCuO2H<sub>2</sub> as Cu(III)-hydroperoxide, albeit narrowly in peroxide territory beyond the superoxide–peroxide continuum.

CASSCF calculations on η1 L1CuO2H<sup>+</sup> show the multi-configurational nature of the wave function for this species. Two orbitals have significant partial occupations (Fig. S6) and are consistent with the formal assignment of the species as Cu(III)-hydroperoxide. Notably, the side-on singlet supported by the β-diketiminate ligand is ~10 kcal/mol less stable than its anilido-imine counterpart. Comparison of the partially occupied orbitals in these cases (Fig. S7) reveals η1 L2CuO2H<sup>+</sup> to be Cu(III)-hydroperoxide-like, similar to the η1 LCuO2H<sup>+</sup> case, while η2 L1CuO2H<sup>+</sup> retains a small degree of superoxide character.

The species obtained by protonating Cu(III)-oxo LCuOH<sup>+</sup> was computed using only unrestricted DFT methods (see Computational Methods). This proved to be a unique case where singlet UDFT wave functions with limited spin contamination could be obtained, in contrast to the situation with the 1:1 Cu-O2 adducts. The O—H bond distances in the optimized geometries for LCuOH<sup>+</sup>, 1.798 Å with L1 and 1.796 Å with L2, were in agreement with copper-hydroxide distances determined by experimental<sup>58</sup> and high-level ab initio methods.<sup>69</sup> As with LCuO2H<sup>+</sup>, the magnitude of Mulliken and NPA charge populations for the oxygen atom in the Cu(III)-hydroxide is increased by ~0.1 eu and ~0.2 eu, respectively, versus its conjugate base.

Reduction Potentials and pK<sub>B</sub> Values

Reduction potentials (at 25°C, in THF, versus the standard hydrogen electrode) and pK<sub>B</sub> values (at 25°C, in H<sub>2</sub>O) have been computed for all copper–oxygen species discussed earlier, i.e., side-on and end-on 1:1 adducts, Cu(III)-hydroperoxides, Cu(III)-oxo, and Cu(III)-hydroperoxides supported by L1 and L2 (Table 3). Trends are consistent between the two ligand systems, with the L1 complexes 0.25 V easier to reduce and ~1.5 pK<sub>B</sub> units easier to protonate than their L2 analogs.

Comparing neutral species, LCuO is more readily reduced than either isomer of LCuO2. This contrast in reduction potentials tracks the differing degrees of radical character in their oxygen moieties. LCuO has significant Cu(II)-oxyl character, η<sup>1</sup>LCuO2 is intermediate between Cu(III)-peroxo and Cu(II)-superoxo, and η<sup>2</sup>LCuO2 is largely Cu(III)-peroxo in character. Among the positively charged species (which are, of course, inherently easier to reduce than the neutral species), LCuOH<sup>+</sup> has a higher reduction potential than LCuO2H<sup>+</sup>. The data set as a whole indicates that the monoxygen complexes are more readily reduced than the dioxygen complexes by ~0.5 V.

The most basic neutral species among those examined is LCuO. The other neutral complexes, the 1:1 adducts, have considerably higher pK<sub>B</sub> values. Although the oxygen atom in LCuO and the dioxygen moiety in the 1:1 adducts have virtually equal Mulliken and NPA charge populations, it is the concentration of that charge on the one oxygen in LCuO which serves to enhance its basicity.<sup>62</sup> The high pK<sub>B</sub> of LCuO2H<sup>+</sup> versus LCuOH<sup>+</sup> mirrors the trend seen in the relative pK<sub>B</sub> values of LCuO2 and LCuO. Although doubly

Table 3. Reduction Potentials (25°C, THF) and pK<sub>B</sub> (25°C, H<sub>2</sub>O) Values for Copper–Oxygen Complexes and Gas Phase O—H Bond Dissociation Energies for Copper–Oxygen Complexes for a Hydrogen Atom Bound to the Oxygen Moiety.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt; (V)</th>
<th>pK&lt;sub&gt;B&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>BDE (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>η&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;L&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;CuO2</td>
<td>~2.06</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>54.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuO2</td>
<td>~1.92</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;CuO2H&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>~0.20</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuO</td>
<td>~1.65</td>
<td>~4.7</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;CuOH&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>~4.9</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;-L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuO2</td>
<td>~2.26</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;-L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuO2&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>~2.05</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>56.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuO2H&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>~0.43</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;CuO2H&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>~2.04</td>
<td>~1.3</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuOH&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Free Energies of Activation and Reaction (kcal/mol) at 223 K in THF for Isomerization from η<sup>1</sup> to η<sup>2</sup> Coordination of the Dioxygen Moiety.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AG&lt;sup&gt;●&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ΔG&lt;sup&gt;●&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;CuO (singlet)</td>
<td>+3.4</td>
<td>~4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;CuOH&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>+10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;CuO&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (doublet)</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
<td>−2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;CuOH (doublet)</td>
<td>+2.3</td>
<td>−1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuO (singlet)</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuOH&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (singlet)</td>
<td>+10.3</td>
<td>+3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuO&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (doublet)</td>
<td>+6.1</td>
<td>+2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;CuOH (doublet)</td>
<td>+4.4</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spin states are indicated in parentheses.

<sup>●</sup>Spin-crossing occurs in going from the most stable η<sup>1</sup> geometry (singlet) to the most stable η<sup>2</sup> geometry (triplet).
Table 5. C–H Bond Dissociation Energies (kcal/mol) for Substrates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>BDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>104.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>95.5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>93.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>89.8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>86.5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropylbenzene</td>
<td>84.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Cyclohexadiene</td>
<td>73.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formylglycine</td>
<td>86.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>87.2b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aRef. 55.
bSee Computational Methods for calculation procedure.

Protonating one of the oxygen atoms in LCuO₂ may be considered a prelude to O−O bond cleavage, which is favorable (vide supra), it can be concluded that the mechanism for bond cleavage would not begin with successive protonation steps, in particular based upon the pKₐ value of ~35 for the LCuO₂H⁺ intermediate.

Table 6. Enthalpies of Activation, Computed with the Bound Substrate-L¹CuX Complexes as the Reactant, in the Gas Phase, 25°C (kcal/mol) for the Hydrogen Abstraction Reaction Between Substrates and Oxygenated L¹Cu Species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>L²-CuO₂</th>
<th>L¹-CuO₂</th>
<th>L¹-CuO₂H⁺</th>
<th>L¹-CuO</th>
<th>L¹-CuOH⁺</th>
<th>Average per substrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>57.5b</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>NA⁵</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropylbenzene</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Cyclohexadiene</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>~1.7c</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formylglycine</td>
<td>22.0⁴</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average per copper–oxygen species</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Enthalpy of transition state versus that of the infinitely separated reactants. Infinitely separated reactants were lower in energy than the substrate-L¹CuX complexes in these cases.
⁵A transition state for this case could not be obtained.
The negative enthalpy of activation here arises from the zero-point energy correction in this case being larger in magnitude than the (positive) electronic energy of activation.

Table 7. Relative Free Energies of the End-on and Side-on Isomers of the Copper-Dioxygen Complexes—1:1 Adducts, Cu(III)-Hydroperoxides, and these Species’ 1-Electron Reduced Forms—Show the Two Isomers to be Close in Energy in Nearly all Cases (Table 4). The only exception is L¹CuO₂H⁺, where the η¹ Isomer is Singly High in Energy as Noted Earlier. The Barriers for Interconversion are Also Small Except in the Cu(III)-Hydroperoxide Cases. Any Changes Between η¹ and η² Dioxygen Coordination, as Might Occur During the Substrate Hydroxylation Process, Should Thus Generally Have a Minor Effect on the Activation Energy or Free-Energy Change for the Reaction.

**Reaction with Substrates**

**Predicting Reactivity**

The initial step in the hydroxylation of substrates by D/M, PHM, or biomimetic systems involves hydrogen-atom abstraction from the C–H bond of a substrate by the oxygen moiety of a copper–oxygen complex. The facility with which this reaction step occurs can be analyzed from two different but related viewpoints. First, hydrogen-atom abstraction can be decomposed into its components: transfer of a proton and transfer of an electron from substrate to oxygen. The more favorable each of these component reactions is, the more favorable H-atom abstraction will be. Higher reduction potentials and lower pKₐ values (Table 3) for LCuO and LCuOH⁺ compared to LCuO₂ and LCuO₂H⁺, respectively, indicate higher reactivity for the two monooxygen intermediates.

Second, the relative strengths of the copper–oxygen complexes for H-atom abstraction can be quantified by examining the O−H bond dissociation energies (BDEs) for the new O−H bonds formed following H-atom abstraction (Table 3). The two ligand systems show similar variations in O−H BDEs among the different copper–oxygen species. The BDEs in the L¹ system are, however, consistently ~5 kcal/mol weaker than with L¹, indicating that the β-diketiminate ligand yields copper–oxygen complexes that are slightly more reactive with respect to substrate hydroxylation.

In contrast to this small difference resulting from ligand modification, a much larger variation in O−H BDEs is seen when the nature of the copper–oxygen species is modified. The O−H BDEs for LCuO and LCuOH⁺ are 30–45 kcal/mol larger than with the 1:1 adducts and Cu(III)-hydroperoxide species. Confirming that addition of acid to the 1:1 adducts to promote reaction with substrates is ineffective, the O−H BDEs are in fact lowest for the Cu(III)-hydroperoxides. The low BDEs for the 1:1 adducts are also consistent with their observed lack of reactivity, L¹CuO and L¹CuOH⁺ should thus react more readily with substrates based on their high O−H BDEs, which stem from their greater reduction potentials and basicities compared with the dioxygen-containing species.
Comparison of the O–H BDEs with substrate C–H BDEs (Table 5) allows the free energies of reaction between each copper–oxygen complex and a range of substrates to be quantified. For the 1:1 adducts and Cu(III)-hydroperoxides, the O–H BDEs are lower than the C–H BDEs of all potential substrates by at least 30 kcal/mol, again confirming the nonreactivity of these species. LCuO and LCuOH⁺, on the other hand, are sufficiently potent to react with a wide range of potential substrates, including dopamine and formylglycine (a PHM substrate analog).\textsuperscript{18}

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** H-atom abstraction transition states for the reaction of methane with (a) \(\eta^2\)-L¹CuO₂ and (b) L¹CuO. Hydrogen atoms on L¹ are omitted for clarity. Mulliken spin populations are denoted by “s=.” Top-most spin population refers to the LCu fragment; bottom-most, to the nascent substrate radical. Distances are measured in Å. Pink stands for Cu; gray, C; blue, N; yellow, S; red, O; white, H. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

Hydrogen-Atom Abstraction Transition States

To confirm the predicted trends in energetics of hydrogen atom abstraction among different copper–oxygen species and different substrates, hydrogen atom transition-state structures and activation enthalpies (calculated with bound substrate/copper–oxygen complexes as the reactant) were computed (Table 6). Only copper–oxygen complexes supported by L¹ were examined here, since L² analogs are extremely similar in terms of reduction strengths, and this is reflected in their moderate and nearly equal \(K_{eq}\) values, and O–H BDEs.

Activation enthalpies are markedly lower (by \(\sim 20\)–25 kcal/mol on average) for the L¹CuO and L¹CuOH⁺ cases compared with that for L¹Cu₂O and L¹Cu₂OH⁺, confirming the predicted higher reactivity of the former. In keeping with the minimal O–H BDE for L¹Cu₂O₂H⁺, the average activation enthalpies are highest for this case.

Reactions involving substrates with the strongest C–H bonds, such as methane and acetonitrile, lead to the largest \(\Delta H^\ddagger\) values. The lowest average \(\Delta H^\ddagger\) occurs for the case with the weakest C–H bond, 1,4-cyclohexadiene. Toluene, phenol, and isopropylbenzene have approximately equal and intermediate C–H bond strengths, and this is reflected in their moderate and nearly equal average \(\Delta H^\ddagger\). Dopamine likewise falls within the midrange for C–H BDEs and activation enthalpies. Formylglycine reactions proceed with lower barriers as the anionic charge borne by formylglycine is stabilized, as that charge is partially delocalized onto the copper–oxygen species at the transition state.

Examination of the hydrogen-atom abstraction transition states for substrates (e.g., methane) reacting with copper–oxygen complexes at each end of the O–H BDE spectrum (e.g., \(\eta^2\)-L¹CuO₂ and L¹CuO) reveal how the activation-enthalpy differences manifest themselves geometrically and electronically. Both transition states (see Fig. 4) are nearly linear (\(\angle C–H–O = 166.9^\circ\) with \(\eta^2\)-L¹CuO₂ and \(\angle C–H–O = 173.5^\circ\) with L¹CuO), as would be expected for hydrogen-atom abstraction, and appear to be good models for the analogous reaction in PHM, which exhibits a large primary deuterium kinetic isotope effect.\textsuperscript{71} In accordance with the Hammond postulate for a reaction with a large activation enthalpy, the transition state for the poor oxidant \(\eta^2\)-L¹CuO₂ is late, with a C–H distance of 1.538 Å (cf. 1.093 Å in methane) and an O–H distance of 1.083 Å (cf. 0.970 Å in \(\eta^2\)-L¹CuO₂H). The transition state with the much more powerful oxidant L¹CuO occurs early to midway along the reaction coordinate between reactant and hydrogen-abstracted product for the reaction with methane. By tracking Mulliken spin populations, the degree of electron transfer from substrate at the transition state can be seen to parallel with the geometric differences between the \(\eta^2\)-L¹CuO₂ and L¹CuO cases. In particular, in the former, \(\frac{1}{2} – \frac{2}{3}\) of an electron is transferred from methane to \(\eta^2\)-L¹CuO₂ at the transition state, with \(\sim 25\%\) of the electron density accumulating on the L¹Cu unit and the remainder on the dioxygen moiety. Alternatively, at the \(\eta^2\)-L¹CuO transition state, only \(\frac{1}{2} – \frac{2}{3}\) of an electron is transferred from methane, and of that electron density, \(\sim 75\%\) resides on L¹Cu and \(\sim 25\%\) on the O atom. This is consistent with the L¹CuO reaction being driven primarily by the far greater basicity of the Cu(III)-oxo compared to that of the 1:1 adduct.

**Implications for Biomimetic Modeling and DFBM and PHM Catalysis**

**Biomimetic Modeling**

Low O–H BDEs and high activation energies for H-atom abstraction by the \(\eta^2\) 1:1 adducts corroborate the experimentally ob-
served lack of reactivity of these complexes with substrates. As noted earlier, the inertness of the 1:1 adducts stems from their low reduction potentials and low basicities (as measured by pKₐ values). In order for the next generation of D/M and PHM biomimetic model systems not only to bind dioxygen but also to exhibit reactivity toward substrates, they would have to be more susceptible to both protonation and 1-electron reduction.

The reduction potential of the 1:1 adduct could be increased by shifting the character of the side-on-bound 1:1 adduct from primarily Cu(III)-peroxo to primarily Cu(II)-superoxo. This in turn may be achieved by employing a less electron-rich ligand than either the δ-diketiminate or anilido-imine ligands. Such a ligand could be created by incorporating electron withdrawing groups on the ligand backbone or flanking steric bulk. Alternatively, a neutral, rather than anionic, ligand could be utilized. One drawback of this approach, however, will be reduced affinity for dioxygen as the LCu unit becomes less electron-rich. Optimal ligand design will involve striking a balance between the need to reduce the electron-rich character of LCu so as to yet yield a reactive 1:1 adduct while maintaining a sufficiently electron-rich copper center in LCu to make dioxygen binding energetically favorable.

The end-on 1:1 adducts, which have more Cu(II)-superoxo character than the side-on isomers, were shown to have slightly higher reduction potentials and basicities than the side-on 1:1 adducts (cf. Table 3). Combining the above ligand-design principles with a ligand that would also favor η¹ over η² dioxygen coordination could lead to further enhancement of reactivity toward substrates.

In conclusion, an ideal biomimetic system would possess only a moderate degree of electron donating character such that dioxygen would bind stably, but still yield a reactive 1:1 adduct and such that end-on binding of dioxygen would be favored over side-on binding. These ligand design strategies are in fact the same as those adopted by nature in D/M and PHM, where the residues which coordinate to Cu₆₈ are all neutral (two histidines and one methionine) and support superoxide-like η¹ dioxygen coordination.

**D/M and PHM Catalysis**

The results obtained here on the L¹ and L² biomimetic model systems provide insights as to which intermediate might be responsible for substrate hydroxylation in D/M and PHM. As suggested in relation to ligand design, a superoxide-like 1:1 adduct should exhibit greater reactivity than intermediates resembling Cu(II)-peroxo. This observation is in accord with the most recent D/M mechanistic proposal positing a Cu(II)-superoxo species as the hydroxylating agent. An end-on Cu(II)-superoxo has also been shown to be effective in this respect in DFT calculations on D/M active side models. Our results do not support an alternative proposal that an η² 1:1 Cu-O₂ species with a long O=O bond length of 1.387 Å, which in other 1:1 Cu-O₂ adducts has been shown to correspond to species best characterized as intermediate in character between Cu(II)-superoxo and Cu(II)-peroxo closer to the latter, could be responsible for hydroxylating substrate in the PHM system.

A potential role for a Cu(III)-oxo/Cu(II)-oxyl intermediate in the D/M and PHM systems may also be suggested based on the present data. If such an intermediate is formed in an enzyme active site, it should be especially capable of carrying out hydrogen-atom abstraction reactions. QM/MM calculations on and active site modeling of the D/M system by Yoshizawa and co-workers have led to similar conclusions. Their results indicate that a Cu(III)-oxo is more efficacious for abstracting the benzylic hydrogen from dopamine by ~ 15 kcal/mol compared with the Cu(II)-superoxo species. A similar trend has been observed here within the L¹ system (cf. Table 6), with differences in absolute values for the activation energies in the two systems likely being attributable chiefly to the difference in the charge of ligands coordinating to copper (i.e., monoanionic with L¹, neutral in D/M). A Cu(II)-oxyl has previously been proposed to be the hydroxylating agent in D/M, but more recently reported kinetic evidence argues against this notion. As an illustration that a Cu(III)-oxo intermediate might be competent in this regard, a Cu(III)-oxo intermediate with Cu supported by HB(3,5-Me₂pz)₃ has been suggested to be capable of hydroxylating cyclohexane, although this hypothesis still awaits direct experimental verification.

Unfortunately, the activity of a Cu(III)-oxo species may be difficult to study biomimetically. The same properties that make this species reactive toward substrates also make it a relatively unstable species and difficult to isolate. It is worth noting that this problem has been solved by D/M and PHM, where dioxygen activation occurs only when substrate is bound near the active site. Should a reactive Cu(III)-oxo be formed, it could react with the prepositioned substrate before having opportunity to engage in a side-reaction.

**Conclusions**

1:1 Cu-O₂ adducts supported by a δ-diketiminate ligand (L¹) and an anilido-imine ligand (L²) were computationally characterized in an effort to understand their inertness with respect to C–H bond activation reactions, in contrast to the copper-containing enzymes D/M and PHM. Based on these calculations, design features for new ligand systems with improved reactivity were developed. Comparison of the dioxygen-activation mechanisms between L¹ and L² revealed them to be essentially the same, with all main mechanistic features being conserved in the two ligand systems and only negligible differences in their energetics.

Alternative copper-oxygen complexes for which the 1:1 adducts may be considered parents were examined, including a Cu(III)-oxo species resulting from O=O cleavage, and Cu(III)-hydroperoxide and Cu(III)-hydroxide species resulting from protonation of the 1:1 adducts and Cu(III)-oxo, respectively. The Cu(III)-oxo was determined to be best characterized as intermediate in character between Cu(III)-oxo and Cu(II)-oxyl. The protonated complexes, however, have nearly pure Cu(III)-character based upon geometric, electronic, and vibrational data.

The relative reactivities of the copper-oxygen complexes toward substrates were addressed by computing their reduction potentials and pKₐ values. The 1:1 adducts and Cu(III)-hydroperoxides proved both difficult to reduce and to protonate compared to the Cu(III)-oxo and Cu(III)-hydroxide congeners. This leads to low O–H bond dissociation energies for O–H bonds formed upon hydrogen-atom abstraction from substrates by the 1:1...
adducts and Cu(III)-hydroperoxides. Computation of hydrogen-atom abstraction transition-state structures and activation enthalpies for reactions between the various copper–oxygen species and a range of substrates confirmed the low reactivity of the 1:1 adducts and Cu(III)-hydroperoxides and showed that, by contrast, Cu(III)-oxo and Cu(III)-hydroxide species should readily hydroxylate substrates.

Although the electron-rich nature of the β-diketiminato and anilido-imine ligands promotes dioxygen binding, this over-stabilizes 1:1 adducts toward reduction and protonation, and hence reaction with hydrocarbon substrates. The $\eta^1$-1:1 Cu-O$_2$ adducts, while still unreactive, suffer from diminished reactivity to a slightly lesser degree than the corresponding $\eta^2$ isomers. These results suggest that new biomimetic model systems for D$/\beta$/M and PHM should employ less electron-donating ligands (e.g., by incorporation of electron-withdrawing groups into the ligand or by using neutral rather than anionic ligands) and be designed to promote end-on versus side-on dioxygen binding.

The current data also support the hypothesis that a Cu(II)-superoxide species would be a competent hydroxylating agent in D$/\beta$/M and PHM. Any species with significant Cu(III)-peroxo character will be far slower to react with substrate. The efficacy with which the Cu(III)-oxo species supported by L$^1$ and L$^2$ engage in hydrogen-atom abstraction suggests that if such intermediates are formed at the D$/\beta$/M or PHM Cu$_B$ sites, they will be sufficiently strong oxidants to hydroxylate physiological substrates.

Supplementary Material

Tables S1 and S2, Figures S1–S7, and atomic coordinates for each computed geometry.
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