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ABSTRACT

Enhancement projects within anadromous salmonid rivers of California have increased in recent years. Much of this work is intended as mitigation in regulated streams where salmon and steelhead spawning habitat is inaccessible or degraded due to dams, water diversions and channelization. Little research has been done to assess the benefits of spawning habitat enhancement to stream organisms other than salmon. We monitored benthic macroinvertebrates at seven spawning gravel augmentation sites in the lower Mokelumne River, a regulated stream in the Central Valley of California. Placement of cleaned floodplain gravel decreased depths and increased stream velocities. Benthic organisms colonized new gravels quickly, equalling densities and biomass of unenhanced spawning sites within 4 weeks. Macroinvertebrate species richness equaled that of unenhanced sites within 4 weeks and diversity within 2 weeks. Standing crop, as indicated by densities and dry biomass, was significantly higher in enhancement sites after 12 weeks than in unenhanced sites and remained so over the following 10 weeks. Although mobile collector/browsers initially dominated new gravels, sedentary collectors were the most common feeding category after 4 weeks, similar to unenhanced sites. These data suggest that cleaned gravels from adjacent floodplain materials, used to enhance salmonid spawning sites, are quickly incorporated into the stream ecosystem, benefiting benthic macroinvertebrate densities and dry biomass. Copyright © 2005 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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INTRODUCTION

Alluvial streams in semi-arid climates may transport large amounts of bed material, especially during rain and snowmelt pulses (Andrews and Nankervis, 1995). Adaptations of biota to these ‘pulses’ include the regeneration of riparian plant species (Mahoney and Rood, 1998), seasonal migrations and life stages of numerous native fish species (Sommer et al., 2001; Bennett et al., 2002) and diversity and development of benthic macroinvertebrate communities (Townsend et al., 1997).

Damming, regulation, levee construction, water diversion and mining have disrupted natural sediment transport mechanisms, including timing, frequency, agitation and cleansing, processes important to the flora and fauna of riverine systems (Collins and Dunne, 1989; Kondolf, 1997). Sediment-starved rivers are prone to channel bed and bank erosion, channel incision, coarsening bed material and reduced habitat heterogeneity (Brooks and Boulton, 1991; Kondolf, 1997). Stream channelization may further exacerbate these problems, decreasing abundance and diversity of riverine species (Negishi et al., 2002).

RESTORATION EFFORTS IN SEDIMENT-STARVED SYSTEMS

Numerous techniques have been used in restoration and enhancement projects in an attempt to ameliorate these impacts. Wheaton et al. (in press) segregate improvement projects into three sometimes-overlapping categories: (1) gravel augmentation, (2) structural improvements and (3) habitat enhancement. The most common
improvement projects in North America have been structural, including current deflectors, overpour structures, bank cover and boulder placements (Gore et al., 1998). These projects can be successful. For example, Fuselier and Edds (1995) showed that an artificial riffle, constructed in an area of previously dredged gravel, improved habitat for the Neosho madtom (Noturus placidus). The artificial riffle had similar fish species diversity and richness to natural riffles in the Cottonwood River, Kansas, after 1 year. Gravel augmentation has received increasing attention as a restoration tool to improve salmonid spawning habitat in sediment-starved systems, especially on regulated systems (Scrutin et al., 1997; Nakamura, 1999). In California alone, gravel augmentation was taking place on more than 13 dammed Sierra Nevada rivers beginning as early as 1979 (Kondolf and Mathews, 1993). Little work has been done to assess whether gravel augmentation actually improves salmonid spawning habitat and even less study has centred on the effects of gravel augmentation on associated organisms. For instance, many lotic macroinvertebrate species are found in riffle areas with streambed substrate of gravel or rubble which is the same habitat utilized by many anadromous salmonid species for spawning (Platts et al., 1983; Mangum, 1986; Groot and Margolis, 1991). This is surprising considering the importance of benthic macroinvertebrates to the ecology of lotic systems (Gore, 1985; Hershey et al., 1993; Hamilton and Barclay, 1998; Hocking and Reimchen, 2002) and the significant impacts river regulation has on these communities.

The use of benthic macroinvertebrates to assess enhancement or restoration projects is relatively new (Ebrahimnezhad and Harper, 1997; Gørtz, 1998; Muotka et al., 2002). Results of such studies have been variable due to study length and project size (Tikkanen et al., 1994; Laasonen et al., 1998). Aquatic macroinvertebrates, especially insects, are often used in water quality assessment due to their ubiquity, sedentary nature and length of life cycles. These traits make them useful indicators of temporal and spatial changes in aquatic habitats (Rosenberg and Resh, 1993, 1996). Furthermore, colonization rates and eventual macroinvertebrate community structure on new substrate may demonstrate how well ‘rehabilitated’ sites are incorporated into the river system.

Macroinvertebrate colonization of artificial substrates in lentic and lotic environments has been well discussed in the literature (Lake and Doeg, 1985; Brooks and Boulton, 1991; Benoit et al., 1998; Quinn et al., 1998). Mackay (1992) describes processes and patterns of lotic macroinvertebrate colonization, and Ebrahimnezhad and Harper (1997) have assessed the biological effectiveness of riffle construction for the benthic community in an artificial channel. Assessment of habitat improvement projects implies that we know what should be measured to evaluate success. According to Palmer et al. (1997), from a community ecology perspective, appropriate structural endpoints include measuring species richness of focal groups or entire assemblages. Functional restoration endpoints, in the strictest sense, refer to process measurements such as primary or secondary production, and the measurement of trophic levels and their connectiveness, functional groups, desired community structure and careful consideration of community level attributes, not just a focus on single species or clusters of ‘desirable’ species (Palmer et al., 1997; Maddock, 1999). Colonization of enhanced or restored stream channels by aquatic communities has not been well documented, nor are the patterns of colonization well understood.

This paper examines the influence of salmonid spawning gravel augmentation on colonization and development of the benthic macroinvertebrate community of a regulated California Central Valley river. Specifically, we test the hypothesis that gravel augmentation will influence the density, biomass, species richness, diversity and evenness of benthic macroinvertebrates within the Chinook salmon spawning reaches of the regulated lower Mokelumne River.

**STUDY SITE**

*Mokelumne River System*

The Mokelumne River, California, drains approximately 1624 km$^2$ of the central Sierra Nevada. The lower Mokelumne River (LMR) includes approximately 54 km of regulated river between Camanche Dam, a complete barrier to anadromous fish, and the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta (Figure 1). The river between Camanche Dam and Lake Lodi, a seasonal reservoir with a fish passage facility, is characterized by alternating bar complex and flatwater habitats, with a gradient of approximately 0.17 m km$^{-1}$. Similar to many other Central Valley rivers, the Mokelumne River has been affected by numerous human influences including 16 major water projects and instream gravel and gold mining (CDC, 1988; Kattelmann, 1996). Tailings from abandoned gold dredging operations are frequent along the upper one-third of the LMR. While many of the tailings are isolated from the river by
berms and levees, several large pits from gold and gravel dredging are now incorporated into the main river channel. Leveed banks and regulated flow greatly reduce lateral scour within this section of river, further impacting bedload recruitment.

At least 35 fish species occur in the LMR including two native anadromous salmonids, steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and fall-run Chinook salmon (*O. tschawytscha*) (Merz, 2002). It has been estimated that approximately 80% of historical Mokelumne River anadromous salmonid spawning habitat is now inaccessible due to construction of Camanche Dam. The quantity and quality of remaining habitat limits salmonid production (Menchen, 1961; FERC, 1998). Natural salmon and steelhead spawning now occurs within the upper 16 km of the LMR between Camanche Dam and Elliott Road (Figure 1). Both populations are supplemented with fish from the Mokelumne River Hatchery (local fish), the Feather River Hatchery and Nimbus Hatchery (American River). Mean annual discharge for the 25 years prior to this study was 20.3 m$^3$s$^{-1}$ (minimum: 0.7 m$^3$s$^{-1}$; maximum: 162.8 m$^3$s$^{-1}$). Detailed information on the lower Mokelumne River and its salmonid populations is also provided in Pasternack *et al.* (2003) and Merz and Setka (in press).

**Gravel augmentation**

Since 1990, East Bay Municipal Utility District, owner and operator of Camanche Dam, has performed annual Chinook salmon spawning enhancement projects in the LMR, including gravel augmentation to improve spawning habitat. These projects typically consist of placing approximately 380–1200 m$^3$ of washed river rock (25–150 mm diameter) in berms and staggered gravel bar configurations. Site locations are selected within the spawning reach of fall-run Chinook salmon with easy access by roads for gravel-placing equipment. According to Merz and Setka (in press) historic aerial photographs (1933–1963) are used to select sites of previously shallow gravel that have been mined for gold or gravel between 1952 and 1964. Clean river gravel is placed by dump truck and rubber-tyre
Loader in berm and staggered toe-bar configurations along each enhancement site. Configurations are chosen as a means to enhance Chinook salmon spawning conditions by reducing depth, increasing velocities, and promoting exchanges of water between the stream and the interstices of the gravel. Gravel placement is carried out between 15 August and 15 September of each year to avoid impacts on fish migration and spawning. Sites are typically 30 m wide by 65 m long (each site corresponds in size to 1–2% of remaining Chinook salmon spawning habitat) with an average depth of 0.4 m for placed gravels. Chinook salmon typically begin spawning in the new gravels within 3 months of gravel placement (Figure 2). Steelhead are uncommon. Preliminary assessment of these sites indicates that the projects increase intergravel permeability and dissolved oxygen and decrease intergravel temperatures in most situations (Merz and Setka, in press). Up to 49% of in-river Chinook salmon spawning now occurs within LMR enhancement sites (Setka, 2001).

METHODS

Channel topography and physical measurements

Topographic channel surveys of the enhancement sites before and after gravel placement were made with a Trimble 4000 GPS receiver, Leica T-1600 theodolite, DI-1600 electronic distance meter, and NA-2002 electronic level to record 2000–2800 individual reference points (latitude, longitude, elevation). Point spacing was quasi-systematic and stratified by grade-breaks and channel topography as opposed to a uniform grid (Brasington et al., 2000). Surveys were performed in early August, before gravel placement and again in late September, after gravel placement.
Depth ($D$) and water velocity (at $0.6 \times D$) were measured prior to and immediately after gravel placement using a Marsh-McBirney, Inc. Flo-Mate model 2000 portable flowmeter. Measurements were recorded every 60 cm at five evenly spaced transects within each site.

During September–January each year, salmonid spawning surveys were conducted weekly along the 16-km spawning reach below Camanche Dam. Redd locations were recorded using a hand-held Global Positioning System (GPS) unit (Trimble Pro XR) and a laser range finder (Atlanta Advantage). Survey data were downloaded to an American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) file and translated to the grid-based graphics program, Surfer® (Golden Software, Inc.). Reference points were used to construct digital terrain models and contour maps of sites before and after gravel placement with an overlay of salmon redds (Figure 2).

**Substrate size**

Newly placed gravel came from an open pit, dry quarry approximately 0.4 km south of the river channel. Therefore, new gravel was void of benthic organisms at time of placement (Week 0). Wolman pebble counts were conducted at three randomly selected transects (100 samples per transect) at each site prior to and immediately after gravel placement following the methods of Bauer and Burton (1993). Substrate from pebble counts was categorized into twelve sizes: $<0.80$, $0.80$, $1.60$, $2.22$, $3.18$, $4.45$, $6.35$, $8.90$, $12.70$, $17.78$, $25.40$, and $>25.40$ cm (Pasternack et al., 2003). Cumulative percentages of each class were calculated.

**Macroinvertebrate collection**

Benthic macroinvertebrate collection was performed at seven spawning gravel enhancement sites along the LMR from 1996 to 2000. Benthic macroinvertebrates were collected with a 330 mm i.d. $\times$ 400 mm high, stainless steel 363 μm Nitex Hess Stream Sampler (bottom open area $= 0.086 \text{ m}^2$) with an attached 368 μm dolphin bucket. Samples were taken to c. 15 cm depth within the substrate. Macroinvertebrates were collected both prior to and following gravel enhancement at all seven sites. Collections of benthic macroinvertebrates were made at four random points within a site in both pre- or unenhanced gravel and enhanced gravel areas. Pre-enhancement macroinvertebrate collection (Week 0) occurred approximately one week prior to gravel placement. Collected samples were placed in 500 ml Nalgene bottles in 95% ethyl alcohol. In order to maximize sampling efficiency, all samples were taken in riffle/run habitats with substrates dominated by gravel, in depths less than 60 cm and velocities between 0.25 and 1.00 m s$^{-1}$, typical of Chinook salmon spawning ranges (Healey, 1991; Allen and Hassler, 1986).

Following gravel placement, macroinvertebrate samples were collected every 2 weeks to assess rates of colonization and changes in biomass and community structure based on colonization rates of previous studies (Waters, 1964; Shaw and Minshall, 1980). Samples were collected until flows became too high to wade the channel (typically late mid-January to July). In several instances, unenhanced substrate was slowly covered by scoured new material, ending comparative assessment. Dates of completed gravel placement and benthic sampling are provided in Table I.

Samples were transported to the laboratory and hand sorted using a 60 × dissecting scope. When possible, organisms were identified to species. If taxa could not be identified to species, they were differentiated into apparent morphospecies. Size class ($<2$, $2–7$, $8–13$, $14–20$, $>20$ mm) and life stage (larva/nymph, pupa and adult)

Table I. Date of gravel placement and benthic sampling at seven Chinook salmon spawning gravel enhancement sites in the lower Mokelumne River, California

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Completed gravel placement date</th>
<th>Date of first paired benthic sample (Week 2)</th>
<th>Date of last paired benthic sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 September 1996</td>
<td>16 September 1996</td>
<td>3 February 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 September 1997</td>
<td>23 September 1997</td>
<td>22 December 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 September 1997</td>
<td>23 September 1997</td>
<td>22 December 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9 September 1999</td>
<td>22 September 1999</td>
<td>27 January 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>31 August 2000</td>
<td>13 September 2000</td>
<td>27 December 2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were determined for each individual. Organisms were grouped into functional feeding categories following Merritt and Cummins (1996), Wiggins (1998), and Pennak (1989).

Dry biomass of samples was determined by oven drying samples of each taxonomic group (order or family) in representative life stage and size classes at 70°C for 24 hours to constant weight (Bowen, 1983). Samples were then weighed to the nearest 0.0001 gram. For extremely small organisms (<0.0001 g), groups of up to 20 individuals of the same life stage and size class were combined, and an average dry weight for that organism was calculated (Merz, 2002). The resulting average weights were multiplied by the count of that particular taxon present in a given sample to obtain a dry biomass measurement.

Data analyses

Structure and function of the benthic communities at each site were evaluated using density (no. m\(^{-2}\)), dry biomass (g density), taxa richness, Shannon’s diversity (\(H\)) and evenness (\(J\)) and relative proportion of functional feeding guilds. We used Morisita’s index (\(C\)) as described by Horn (1966) to analyse similarity of benthic communities from enhanced and unenhanced gravel sites, where a value of 0 indicates no species in common and a value of 1.0 indicates identical populations. We used a paired \(t\)-test to compare depths and velocities of each site before and after gravel placement. Differences in macroinvertebrate densities, dry biomass, species richness, diversity and evenness between enhanced and unenhanced gravel were examined using repeated measures ANOVA. We compared functional feeding categories between enhanced and unenhanced gravels by chi-squared.

RESULTS

Habitat configurations: channel depths, velocities and cumulative grain size distribution

A portion of the channel bed of seven sites was covered with up to 2.1 m of clean, quarry gravel, significantly increasing average bed elevation over each site by 0.17 m (\(t = -1.90, \text{df} = 317, p < 0.001\)). Gravel was typically applied in shallow bars, perpendicular to channel flow, providing a series of gravel deflectors throughout each site (e.g. Figure 2). These bars directed portions of the flow across veneers of new gravel, significantly increasing velocities throughout each site (\(t = -10.98; \text{df} = 103; p < 0.001\)). All seven sites attracted spawning Chinook salmon (Figure 2). Overall surface bed material had fewer fines (<8 mm) after gravel placement at each site (Figure 3).

Community structure

A total of 59 677 individuals representing 58 taxa was collected over the 5-year period. Colonization of new gravel gradually occurred over several weeks (Figure 4). There were no differences between mean macroinvertebrate densities on enhanced and unenhanced gravel from all seven sites by Week 6 (\(F = 0.536; \text{df} = 10; p = 0.481\)) and significantly greater densities on enhanced gravel by Week 10 (\(F = 5.137; \text{df} = 13; p = 0.041\)). Densities

![Figure 3. Cumulative grain-size distributions for surface pebble counts taken before and after gravel augmentation at seven Chinook salmon spawning habitat enhancement sites in the lower Mokelumne River, California (1996–2000). Bars indicate one standard deviation](https://example.com/figure3.png)
peaked at Week 6 and again at Week 18 in the enhancement gravels. Patterns for dry biomass were similar with average dry biomass significantly higher on the new gravel after Week 6 ($F = 6.940; \text{df} = 10; p = 0.025$). Peak biomass occurred at Week 12 in the enhancement gravels.

Taxa richness increased on the enhancement gravel gradually over the first 6 weeks with greatest change between the first and second week (Figure 5). Species richness on enhancement gravel peaked at Week 6 and again during Week 18. Overall species richness on enhancement gravel was not significantly different from unenhanced gravel by Week 6 ($F = 1.576; \text{df} = 10; p = 0.238$) and remained similar over the following 16 weeks ($p > 0.333$).

Shannon diversity ($H$) and Shannon evenness ($J$) in enhancement gravel both increased rapidly over the first 2 weeks (Figure 6). Enhanced and unenhanced gravel were not significantly different by Week 2 for both diversity ($F = 0.041; \text{df} = 12; p = 0.843$) and evenness ($F = 0.582; \text{df} = 12; p = 0.460$). Greatest evenness was observed in enhancement gravels at Week 2. Overlap in species composition of enhanced and unenhanced gravel was greatest during Week 16.

We found significant differences in mean densities of five of the ten most abundant taxa in the new gravel by Week 12 (Figure 7). Greatest differences were observed for *Baetis tricaudata* and *Hydropsyche californica*.

**Functional feeding groups**

Unenhanced gravels were dominated by sedentary collector/filterers, predominantly *H. californica, Simulium* sp. and *Cricotopus* sp. (35–52%) (Figure 8). Mobile collector/browsers, primarily *B. tricaudatus* and *Acentrella insignificans*, were the most common invertebrates in enhanced gravels during the first sampling period (Week 2: 37%). Feeding category distribution was different between enhanced and unenhanced gravel at this time ($G^2 = 27.4; \text{df} = 6; P < 0.001$). However, feeding categories were independent of gravel enhancement by Week 4 ($G^2 = 5.9; \text{df} = 6; P < 0.430$). Sedentary collector/filterers were established as the dominant feeding category in enhancement gravel and remained so throughout the remaining sampling periods (42–66%).

**DISCUSSION**

As in other studies, macroinvertebrates colonized new substrate rather quickly after initial placement (Wise and Molles, 1979; Boulton *et al.*, 1988; Quinn *et al.*, 1998; McCabe and Gotelli, 2000; Zuellig *et al.*, 2002). Benthic macroinvertebrate densities and dry biomass was similar to that of undisturbed gravels within 6 weeks of gravel
placement and remained significantly higher in enhancement gravels to the end of the monitoring period (except Week 18; up to Week 22).

We speculate the higher densities and biomass observed were likely the result of four factors, in probable order of importance: (1) reduction of fines in enhancement gravel, (2) increase in habitat for collectors in enhancement gravels, (3) reduction of large predators, and (4) presence of nutrients in the new gravel. The increase in invertebrate production in stream enhancement sites has not been recorded in the literature, to our knowledge, although substrate particle size and permeability can have a significant effect on faunal distribution (Brusven and Prather, 1974; Marchant et al., 1985; Beisel et al., 1998). Overall surface bed material had fewer fines (<8 mm) after gravel placement and enhanced gravels were significantly more permeable than adjacent unenhanced bed material, suggesting possible benefits for invertebrate production (Merz and Setka, in press). Some studies discuss increasing aquatic system productivity through manipulation of nutrients, such as phosphorus (e.g. Perkins and Underwood, 2002). Sugunan (2000) suggests that increased productivity is observed in new reservoirs immediately after inundation due to phosphorus released from newly inundated soils. It is quite possible that increases in macroinvertebrate standing crop in new gravels may partially be explained by remnant soils from newly mined material providing some nutrient ‘kick-start’ for newly established organisms. Another important aspect is that the greatest number and biomass of benthic organisms within spawning habitat of the LMR is filter-feeding collectors. By increasing average water velocity within the sites, this feeding strategy may benefit by exploiting sites of increased food delivery (Smith-Cuffney and Wallace, 1987; Wetmore et al., 1990). Shurin et al. (2002) compared indirect effects of predators on plants via herbivores (trophic cascades) in a wide variety of food webs. They found that in

Figure 5. Total number of macroinvertebrate orders, families, and species per sampling period at seven Chinook salmon spawning gravel enhancement sites
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lotic studies, primary consumers had a positive response to predator removal. Although predatory macroinvertebrates were observed within the first sampling period of our study, prickly sculpin *Cottus asper*, the most common benthic predatory fish in LMR riffle habitat (Merz, 2002), were not collected in benthic samples until Week 6. Predatory fish can have a significant effect on local density of stream insects (Forrester, 1994). It is quite possible that all four mechanisms increased macroinvertebrate density and biomass within enhancement sites.

An interesting finding of this study is that macroinvertebrate density and biomass peaked in new gravels 6–12 weeks after placement (15 October to 30 November), roughly the same time length for Chinook salmon hatching and emergence (a 6–12 week period sometime between 15 December and 30 March) within the temperature regime of the lower Mokelumne River (Healey, 1991; Piper et al., 1982). This suggests that appropriately timed gravel augmentation (approximately 1 November) may benefit juvenile salmonid production three-fold: by increasing spawning habitat, improving incubation parameters and increasing food availability for newly emerged fish.

We observed significantly lower species richness and diversity in the enhancement gravels only for the first sampling period after gravel placement. By Week 4, and subsequent sampling periods, we observed no significant
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McCabe and Gotelli (2000) argue that because disturbance lowers abundance, fewer species per unit area in disturbed areas should be expected. However, even after macroinvertebrate densities equalled or surpassed those of unenhanced areas, we observed no significant change in the diversity or evenness of the benthic community. It is important to note, however, that species richness of the macroinvertebrate assemblage in the lower Mokelumne River is low. For example,

Figure 7. Total density and densities of macroinvertebrates (mean ± 95% Confidence Interval) in the nine most common species over time. Stars indicate significant differences.
although we have infrequently observed four plecopteran families in various monitoring efforts within the LMR, only five individual specimens (all Perlodidae) were observed during this monitoring project (<0.01% of total). Furthermore, one species of Tricoptera (H. californica) and two species of mayflies (B. tricaudata and A. insignificans) made up over 61% of total organisms sampled during this study. Influences of tailwater habitat, such as an altered sediment regime, changes to natural seasonality and variability of flow regimes (duration, extent and rate), and modified temperature range, may simply overshadow positive effects of small-scale gravel augmentation to invertebrate production, limiting potential improvements to the benthic macroinvertebrate community (Vannote and Sweeney, 1980; De Jalon et al., 1994; Hawkins et al., 1997). The lack of a source of diverse immigrants in areas upstream may also be an important limiting factor (MacArthur and Wilson, 1967; Simberloff and Wilson, 1969). Considering the impaired state of virtually all Central Valley streams at this elevation (Brown, 2000; Anon., 1995; Moyle, 1995), even if this habitat were improved to the point that it could support less tolerant species, source populations may simply not be available. Furthermore, even if they were available, 5 years may not be enough to allow for immigration and establishment of less tolerant organisms to enhanced sites (Muotka et al., 2002).

We observed two distinct mechanisms for new gravel colonization over the 5-year study period. During 1996 and 1998, we observed flow fluctuations immediately after gravel placement (41–85% flow reduction). Mats of filamentous algae and aquatic plants (primarily Egeria densa, Elodea canadensis and Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum) were observed floating into new gravel areas and taking root. Floating plants provided a seeding mechanism for Daphnia pulex, cyclopoid copepods, early instars of B. tricaudata, A. insignificans, H. californica and numerous small chironomids in the new gravel. Furthermore, we have observed an adult female Chinook

Figure 8. Mean relative abundance of functional feeding categories in unenhanced and enhanced gravels within Chinook salmon spawning habitat
salmon dislodging aquatic vegetation upstream of an enhancement site during spawning activity and this material floated into the new gravel. During enhancement year 2000, no flow fluctuations were observed. Within 2 hours of gravel piling along the river’s edge, larvae of *Glossosoma* sp. appeared on new gravel, and were observed crawling in from adjacent undisturbed material.

Our study demonstrates that cleaned gravels from adjacent floodplain materials can be quickly incorporated into the stream ecosystem. Benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages on salmonid spawning enhancement materials, as indicated by species richness, diversity and evenness, are similar to those of adjacent unenhanced spawning areas within 4 weeks of augmentation and can support higher benthic density and dry biomass for up to 22 weeks after placement. While increases in macroinvertebrate diversity were not apparent from such small-scale projects, colonization occurred rapidly and standing crop was increased indicating that such projects provide benefits beyond initial target species. Observation of scour and fill of new gravels in this and other studies (Merz and Setka, in press; Kondolf *et al.*, 1996) and the transient nature of alluvial systems suggest that these enhancement sites are transitory as are the site-specific benefits described here. Appropriate sediment budgets, flow regimes and reconnection of these processes with the flora and fauna of entire regulated streams must be incorporated into such enhancement work to realize long-term benefits.
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