

Verschränkung vs. Stosszahlansatz:
Correlation Level in the Environment Makes or
Breaks the Second Law

Talk presented at the International Symposium on
Quantum Thermodynamics
Stuttgart, Germany

M. Hossein Partovi

Department of Physics & Astronomy, California State University, Sacramento

September 14, 2010

Outline

- 1 Abstract
- 2 introduction
- 3 Mean Entropy and Two-body Correlations
- 4 The Fundamental Inequality
- 5 Normal Thermodynamic Behavior
- 6 Reversed Thermodynamic Behavior
- 7 Concluding Remarks
- 8 References

Abstract

The extremes of correlation level in the environment are shown to lead to opposite directions of the thermodynamic arrow. In a low-entropy environment where the mean correlation level is low –the *Stosszahlansatz* scenario– the Clausius inequality is established using general arguments of quantum information theory. For a high-correlation environment –the *Verschränkung* scenario– a model of entangled systems is constructed in which heat flows from the cold to the hot body in direct violation of the second law. This model clearly shows that the second law of thermodynamics is a consequence of quantum statistical dynamics under conditions of low ambient correlations and breaks down under opposite conditions. When the *Stosszahlansatz* scenario prevails, the second law is valid for systems of any size, although its utility is limited for microscopic systems where fluctuations are not negligible relative to mean values. While the von Neumann entropy and other information-theoretic objects are used extensively in establishing the above results, all conclusions are based on energy flow between systems without any assumption on the thermodynamic interpretation of such objects. The results of this work strongly support the expectation, first expressed by Boltzmann and subsequently elaborated by others, that the second law is an emergent consequence of fundamental dynamics operating in a low-entropy cosmological environment functioning as an ideal information sink.

Introduction

- The status of the second law and macroscopic irreversibility have been debated since Boltzmann, with little consensus achieved.

Introduction

- The status of the second law and macroscopic irreversibility have been debated since Boltzmann, with little consensus achieved.
- We will show that the second law holds if the environment is low-correlation, *Stosszahlansatz* or **Scenario S**, and breaks if high-correlation, *Verschränkung* or **Scenario V**.

Introduction

- The status of the second law and macroscopic irreversibility have been debated since Boltzmann, with little consensus achieved.
- We will show that the second law holds if the environment is low-correlation, *Stosszahlansatz* or **Scenario S**, and breaks if high-correlation, *Verschränkung* or **Scenario V**.
- Our universe appears to be in Scenario S. Here's Boltzmann: "... the universe, considered as a mechanical system –or at least a very large part of it which surrounds us– started from a very improbable state, and is still in a very improbable state."

Introduction

- The status of the second law and macroscopic irreversibility have been debated since Boltzmann, with little consensus achieved.
- We will show that the second law holds if the environment is low-correlation, *Stosszahlansatz* or **Scenario S**, and breaks if high-correlation, *Verschränkung* or **Scenario V**.
- Our universe appears to be in Scenario S. Here's Boltzmann: "... the universe, considered as a mechanical system –or at least a very large part of it which surrounds us– started from a very improbable state, and is still in a very improbable state.”
- If our universe is indeed accelerating, we can count on the Earth to continue dumping waste information onto the surrounding space and maintaining a low-correlation environment where thermodynamics as we know it prevails.

Introduction

- The status of the second law and macroscopic irreversibility have been debated since Boltzmann, with little consensus achieved.
- We will show that the second law holds if the environment is low-correlation, *Stosszahlansatz* or **Scenario S**, and breaks if high-correlation, *Verschränkung* or **Scenario V**.
- Our universe appears to be in Scenario S. Here's Boltzmann: "... the universe, considered as a mechanical system –or at least a very large part of it which surrounds us– started from a very improbable state, and is still in a very improbable state."
- If our universe is indeed accelerating, we can count on the Earth to continue dumping waste information onto the surrounding space and maintaining a low-correlation environment where thermodynamics as we know it prevails.
- We use methods of quantum information dynamics and **base our conclusions on energy flow** thereby avoiding controversial issues of interpretation, e.g., of entropic quantities.

Mean Entropy and Two-body Correlations

Theorem

Two-body correlation, or mutual information, is on average bounded by single-body entropy in any collection of $N \geq 3$ interacting systems.

Mean Entropy and Two-body Correlations

Theorem

Two-body correlation, or mutual information, is on average bounded by single-body entropy in any collection of $N \geq 3$ interacting systems.

Let the systems be labeled $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and the (von Neumann) entropies S^i . The two-body correlation information for the (i, j) pair is defined as $I^{ij} = S^i + S^j - S^{ij}$.

Mean Entropy and Two-body Correlations

Theorem

Two-body correlation, or mutual information, is on average bounded by single-body entropy in any collection of $N \geq 3$ interacting systems.

Let the systems be labeled $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and the (von Neumann) entropies S^i . The two-body correlation information for the (i, j) pair is defined as $I^{ij} = S^i + S^j - S^{ij}$.

Strong subadditivity implies $S^i + S^j \leq S^{ik} + S^{jk}$. There are $N(N-1)/2$ such inequalities, which, when aggregated, give

$$[N(N-1)/2]^{-1} \sum_{i < j=1}^{N(N-1)/2} I^{ij} \leq N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N S^i. \quad (1)$$

Thus $I_{av} \leq S_{av}$, indicating that a small average entropy guarantees a low level of two-body correlations.

Mean Entropy and Two-body Correlations

Theorem

Two-body correlation, or mutual information, is on average bounded by single-body entropy in any collection of $N \geq 3$ interacting systems.

Let the systems be labeled $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and the (von Neumann) entropies S^i . The two-body correlation information for the (i, j) pair is defined as $I^{ij} = S^i + S^j - S^{ij}$.

Strong subadditivity implies $S^i + S^j \leq S^{ik} + S^{jk}$. There are $N(N-1)/2$ such inequalities, which, when aggregated, give

$$[N(N-1)/2]^{-1} \sum_{i < j=1}^{N(N-1)/2} I^{ij} \leq N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N S^i. \quad (1)$$

Thus $I_{av} \leq S_{av}$, indicating that a small average entropy guarantees a low level of two-body correlations.

The significance of this result is the **universal validity of Boltzmann's *Stosszahlansatz***, for all things large or small, as a likely condition in a low-entropy universe.

The Fundamental Inequality

The Fundamental Inequality

Consider the evolution of any system **initially in the thermal equilibrium state** $\rho_i = \exp(-\beta_i H_i)/Z_i$ **to any state** ρ_f , where $\beta_i = 1/T_i$, H_i , and Z_i are the initial inverse temperature, Hamiltonian, and partition function respectively (Boltzmann's constant set to unity).

The Fundamental Inequality

Consider the evolution of any system **initially in the thermal equilibrium state** $\rho_i = \exp(-\beta_i H_i)/Z_i$ to any state ρ_f , where $\beta_i = 1/T_i$, H_i , and Z_i are the initial inverse temperature, Hamiltonian, and partition function respectively (Boltzmann's constant set to unity).

In general, **the Hamiltonian will change during the evolution (causing exchange of work), the system will evolve in a non-unitary manner, and ρ_f will be out of equilibrium.**

The Fundamental Inequality

Consider the evolution of any system **initially in the thermal equilibrium state** $\rho_i = \exp(-\beta_i H_i)/Z_i$ to any state ρ_f , where $\beta_i = 1/T_i$, H_i , and Z_i are the initial inverse temperature, Hamiltonian, and partition function respectively (Boltzmann's constant set to unity).

In general, **the Hamiltonian will change during the evolution (causing exchange of work), the system will evolve in a non-unitary manner, and ρ_f will be out of equilibrium.**

We can use the non-negativity of the relative entropy $S(\rho_f \|\rho_i)$ to assert that

$$S(\rho_f \|\rho_i) = \beta_i \Delta U - \Delta S - \beta_i \text{tr}(\rho_f \Delta H) \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta U = U_f - U_i = \text{tr}(\rho_f H_f) - \text{tr}(\rho_i H_i)$, and $\Delta S = S_f - S_i$. This is the fundamental inequality.

Rearranged, Eq. (2) reads

$$T_i \Delta S \leq \Delta U - \text{tr}(\rho_f \Delta H). \quad (3)$$

Rearranged, Eq. (2) reads

$$T_i \Delta S \leq \Delta U - \text{tr}(\rho_f \Delta H). \quad (3)$$

It is worth repeating that Eq. (3) governs the interaction of a system initially in equilibrium with the rest of the universe, which will in general drive it out of equilibrium. Appearances notwithstanding, **it is different from the standard inequalities of equilibrium thermodynamics.**

Rearranged, Eq. (2) reads

$$T_i \Delta S \leq \Delta U - \text{tr}(\rho_f \Delta H). \quad (3)$$

It is worth repeating that Eq. (3) governs the interaction of a system initially in equilibrium with the rest of the universe, which will in general drive it out of equilibrium. Appearances notwithstanding, **it is different from the standard inequalities of equilibrium thermodynamics.**

For a small change of state, Eq. (3) reads

$$T_i dS \leq \Delta U + \delta W, \quad (4)$$

where W is the work done by the system.

Rearranged, Eq. (2) reads

$$T_i \Delta S \leq \Delta U - \text{tr}(\rho_f \Delta H). \quad (3)$$

It is worth repeating that Eq. (3) governs the interaction of a system initially in equilibrium with the rest of the universe, which will in general drive it out of equilibrium. Appearances notwithstanding, **it is different from the standard inequalities of equilibrium thermodynamics.**

For a small change of state, Eq. (3) reads

$$T_i dS \leq \Delta U + \delta W, \quad (4)$$

where W is the work done by the system. Since the right-hand side is simply the absorbed heat δQ , we have

$$dS \leq \delta Q/T_i \text{ (final state out of equilibrium)}, \quad (5)$$

which looks familiar except that **the inequality goes the wrong way!**

Rearranged, Eq. (2) reads

$$T_i \Delta S \leq \Delta U - \text{tr}(\rho_f \Delta H). \quad (3)$$

It is worth repeating that Eq. (3) governs the interaction of a system initially in equilibrium with the rest of the universe, which will in general drive it out of equilibrium. Appearances notwithstanding, **it is different from the standard inequalities of equilibrium thermodynamics.**

For a small change of state, Eq. (3) reads

$$T_i dS \leq \Delta U + \delta W, \quad (4)$$

where W is the work done by the system. Since the right-hand side is simply the absorbed heat δQ , we have

$$dS \leq \delta Q/T_i \text{ (final state out of equilibrium)}, \quad (5)$$

which looks familiar except that **the inequality goes the wrong way!**

But why?

Rearranged, Eq. (2) reads

$$T_i \Delta S \leq \Delta U - \text{tr}(\rho_f \Delta H). \quad (3)$$

It is worth repeating that Eq. (3) governs the interaction of a system initially in equilibrium with the rest of the universe, which will in general drive it out of equilibrium. Appearances notwithstanding, **it is different from the standard inequalities of equilibrium thermodynamics.**

For a small change of state, Eq. (3) reads

$$T_i dS \leq \Delta U + \delta W, \quad (4)$$

where W is the work done by the system. Since the right-hand side is simply the absorbed heat δQ , we have

$$dS \leq \delta Q/T_i \text{ (final state out of equilibrium)}, \quad (5)$$

which looks familiar except that **the inequality goes the wrong way!**

But why? Does it, or the fundamental inequality, violate time-reversal invariance?

Let us repeat the above derivation, but this time for a process that starts from an arbitrary state and ends up in equilibrium. It is not difficult to see that instead of Eq. (2) one gets

$$S(\rho_i \parallel \rho_f) = -\beta_f \Delta U + \Delta S + \beta_f \text{tr}(\rho_i \Delta H) \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

Let us repeat the above derivation, but this time for a process that starts from an arbitrary state and ends up in equilibrium. It is not difficult to see that instead of Eq. (2) one gets

$$S(\rho_i \parallel \rho_f) = -\beta_f \Delta U + \Delta S + \beta_f \text{tr}(\rho_i \Delta H) \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

which for a small change implies

$$dS \geq \delta Q/T_f \text{ (initial state out of equilibrium)}, \quad (7)$$

to be compared with $dS \leq \delta Q/T_i$, Eq. (5).

Let us repeat the above derivation, but this time for a process that starts from an arbitrary state and ends up in equilibrium. It is not difficult to see that instead of Eq. (2) one gets

$$S(\rho_i \parallel \rho_f) = -\beta_f \Delta U + \Delta S + \beta_f \text{tr}(\rho_i \Delta H) \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

which for a small change implies

$$dS \geq \delta Q/T_f \text{ (initial state out of equilibrium)}, \quad (7)$$

to be compared with $dS \leq \delta Q/T_i$, Eq. (5). In fact, (5) and (7) are equivalent, as are Eqs. (2) and (6), by virtue of time reversal invariance.

Let us repeat the above derivation, but this time for a process that starts from an arbitrary state and ends up in equilibrium. It is not difficult to see that instead of Eq. (2) one gets

$$S(\rho_i \parallel \rho_f) = -\beta_f \Delta U + \Delta S + \beta_f \text{tr}(\rho_i \Delta H) \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

which for a small change implies

$$dS \geq \delta Q/T_f \text{ (initial state out of equilibrium)}, \quad (7)$$

to be compared with $dS \leq \delta Q/T_i$, Eq. (5). In fact, (5) and (7) are equivalent, as are Eqs. (2) and (6), by virtue of time reversal invariance.

Eqs. (5) and (7) are nevertheless consistent with equilibrium thermodynamics since, **for any small process whose endpoints are equilibrium states, both (7) and (5) apply, implying that $dS = \delta Q/T$.**

Scenario S: normal thermodynamic behavior

The fundamental inequality, Eq. (2), follows from quantum mechanics.

Scenario S: normal thermodynamic behavior

The fundamental inequality, Eq. (2), follows from quantum mechanics. On the other hand, it is the environmental conditions which provide the initial conditions that make or break the second law.

Scenario S: normal thermodynamic behavior

The fundamental inequality, Eq. (2), follows from quantum mechanics. On the other hand, it is the environmental conditions which provide the initial conditions that make or break the second law. We will demonstrate this in terms of the direction of heat flow between a pair of systems in thermal contact and otherwise isolated.

Scenario S: normal thermodynamic behavior

The fundamental inequality, Eq. (2), follows from quantum mechanics. On the other hand, it is the environmental conditions which provide the initial conditions that make or break the second law. We will demonstrate this in terms of the direction of heat flow between a pair of systems in thermal contact and otherwise isolated.

For a *Stosszahlansatz* universe, Scenario S, Eq. (1) guarantees low correlation levels, so a typical pair of systems A and B , in equilibrium at temperatures T^A and T^B , will be initially uncorrelated. Therefore $\rho_i^{AB} = \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B$ and $S_i^{AB} = S_i^A + S_i^B$.

Scenario S: normal thermodynamic behavior

The fundamental inequality, Eq. (2), follows from quantum mechanics. On the other hand, it is the environmental conditions which provide the initial conditions that make or break the second law. We will demonstrate this in terms of the direction of heat flow between a pair of systems in thermal contact and otherwise isolated.

For a *Stosszahlansatz* universe, Scenario S, Eq. (1) guarantees low correlation levels, so a typical pair of systems A and B , in equilibrium at temperatures T^A and T^B , will be initially uncorrelated. Therefore $\rho_i^{AB} = \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B$ and $S_i^{AB} = S_i^A + S_i^B$. Upon interaction, the final state ρ_f^{AB} will in general be correlated so that $S_f^{AB} \leq S_f^A + S_f^B$.

Scenario S: normal thermodynamic behavior

The fundamental inequality, Eq. (2), follows from quantum mechanics. On the other hand, it is the environmental conditions which provide the initial conditions that make or break the second law. We will demonstrate this in terms of the direction of heat flow between a pair of systems in thermal contact and otherwise isolated.

For a *Stosszahlansatz* universe, Scenario S, Eq. (1) guarantees low correlation levels, so a typical pair of systems A and B , in equilibrium at temperatures T^A and T^B , will be initially uncorrelated. Therefore $\rho_i^{AB} = \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B$ and $S_i^{AB} = S_i^A + S_i^B$. Upon interaction, the final state ρ_f^{AB} will in general be correlated so that $S_f^{AB} \leq S_f^A + S_f^B$. Since the pair is isolated, ρ_i^{AB} and ρ_f^{AB} are related unitarily and $S_f^{AB} = S_i^{AB}$.

Scenario S: normal thermodynamic behavior

The fundamental inequality, Eq. (2), follows from quantum mechanics. On the other hand, it is the environmental conditions which provide the initial conditions that make or break the second law. We will demonstrate this in terms of the direction of heat flow between a pair of systems in thermal contact and otherwise isolated.

For a *Stosszahlansatz* universe, Scenario S, Eq. (1) guarantees low correlation levels, so a typical pair of systems A and B , in equilibrium at temperatures T^A and T^B , will be initially uncorrelated. Therefore $\rho_i^{AB} = \rho_i^A \otimes \rho_i^B$ and $S_i^{AB} = S_i^A + S_i^B$. Upon interaction, the final state ρ_f^{AB} will in general be correlated so that $S_f^{AB} \leq S_f^A + S_f^B$. Since the pair is isolated, ρ_i^{AB} and ρ_f^{AB} are related unitarily and $S_f^{AB} = S_i^{AB}$. We therefore find

$$\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0 \text{ (Scenario S: systems initially in equilibrium)}. \quad (8)$$

This equation is a consequence of universal *Stosszahlansatz* and the origin of irreversibility in the second law.

Recall that the two systems were initially in equilibrium and only exchanged heat. Therefore, we find from Eq. (2) that $\Delta S^A \leq Q^A/T^A$ and $\Delta S^B \leq Q^B/T^B$.

Recall that the two systems were initially in equilibrium and only exchanged heat. Therefore, we find from Eq. (2) that $\Delta S^A \leq Q^A/T^A$ and $\Delta S^B \leq Q^B/T^B$. Combining the latter with $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$, we find $Q^A/T^A + Q^B/T^B \geq 0$, and using $Q^A + Q^B = 0$ (isolated pair exchanging heat only), we conclude that $Q^A(1/T^A - 1/T^B) \geq 0$.

Recall that the two systems were initially in equilibrium and only exchanged heat. Therefore, we find from Eq. (2) that $\Delta S^A \leq Q^A/T^A$ and $\Delta S^B \leq Q^B/T^B$. Combining the latter with $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$, we find $Q^A/T^A + Q^B/T^B \geq 0$, and using $Q^A + Q^B = 0$ (isolated pair exchanging heat only), we conclude that $Q^A(1/T^A - 1/T^B) \geq 0$. Thus Q^A has the same sign as $T^B - T^A$, i.e., **heat flows from the *initially* hotter system to the *initially* colder one, whence the normal thermodynamic arrow.**

Recall that the two systems were initially in equilibrium and only exchanged heat. Therefore, we find from Eq. (2) that $\Delta S^A \leq Q^A/T^A$ and $\Delta S^B \leq Q^B/T^B$. Combining the latter with $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$, we find $Q^A/T^A + Q^B/T^B \geq 0$, and using $Q^A + Q^B = 0$ (isolated pair exchanging heat only), we conclude that $Q^A(1/T^A - 1/T^B) \geq 0$. Thus Q^A has the same sign as $T^B - T^A$, i.e., **heat flows from the *initially* hotter system to the *initially* colder one, whence the normal thermodynamic arrow.**

To establish **the second law**, consider a system S that undergoes a cyclic process in thermal contact with a series of heat reservoirs, R_j at temperature T_j^R , absorbing Q_j^S from the j th reservoir.

Recall that the two systems were initially in equilibrium and only exchanged heat. Therefore, we find from Eq. (2) that $\Delta S^A \leq Q^A/T^A$ and $\Delta S^B \leq Q^B/T^B$. Combining the latter with $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$, we find $Q^A/T^A + Q^B/T^B \geq 0$, and using $Q^A + Q^B = 0$ (isolated pair exchanging heat only), we conclude that $Q^A(1/T^A - 1/T^B) \geq 0$. Thus Q^A has the same sign as $T^B - T^A$, i.e., **heat flows from the *initially* hotter system to the *initially* colder one, whence the normal thermodynamic arrow.**

To establish **the second law**, consider a system S that undergoes a cyclic process in thermal contact with a series of heat reservoirs, R_j at temperature T_j^R , absorbing Q_j^S from the j th reservoir. By applying (2) to the j th reservoir and using $\Delta S_j^S + \Delta S_j^R \geq 0$ (valid under Scenario S), we find $\Delta S_j^S \geq Q_j^S/T_j^R$.

Recall that the two systems were initially in equilibrium and only exchanged heat. Therefore, we find from Eq. (2) that $\Delta S^A \leq Q^A/T^A$ and $\Delta S^B \leq Q^B/T^B$. Combining the latter with $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$, we find $Q^A/T^A + Q^B/T^B \geq 0$, and using $Q^A + Q^B = 0$ (isolated pair exchanging heat only), we conclude that $Q^A(1/T^A - 1/T^B) \geq 0$. Thus Q^A has the same sign as $T^B - T^A$, i.e., **heat flows from the *initially* hotter system to the *initially* colder one, whence the normal thermodynamic arrow.**

To establish **the second law**, consider a system S that undergoes a cyclic process in thermal contact with a series of heat reservoirs, R_j at temperature T_j^R , absorbing Q_j^S from the j th reservoir. By applying (2) to the j th reservoir and using $\Delta S_j^S + \Delta S_j^R \geq 0$ (valid under Scenario S), we find $\Delta S_j^S \geq Q_j^S/T_j^R$. Since entropy changes sum to zero for the cycle,

$$\sum_j Q_j^S/T_j^R \leq 0. \quad (9)$$

This is the Clausius inequality, equivalent to the second law.

Scenario V: breakdown of the second law

Above, we derived the Clausius inequality on the basis of the condition $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$ which prevails when the systems A and B are initially uncorrelated. **What if they are initially correlated?**

Scenario V: breakdown of the second law

Above, we derived the Clausius inequality on the basis of the condition $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$ which prevails when the systems A and B are initially uncorrelated. **What if they are initially correlated?**

To answer that question, we will consider the case of **maximal initial correlation** between A and B consistent with **each initially being in thermal equilibrium**, i.e., **entangled in a pure joint state**.

Scenario V: breakdown of the second law

Above, we derived the Clausius inequality on the basis of the condition $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$ which prevails when the systems A and B are initially uncorrelated. **What if they are initially correlated?**

To answer that question, we will consider the case of **maximal initial correlation** between A and B consistent with **each initially being in thermal equilibrium**, i.e., **entangled in a pure joint state**.

Is that even possible?

Scenario V: breakdown of the second law

Above, we derived the Clausius inequality on the basis of the condition $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$ which prevails when the systems A and B are initially uncorrelated. **What if they are initially correlated?**

To answer that question, we will consider the case of **maximal initial correlation** between A and B consistent with **each initially being in thermal equilibrium**, i.e., **entangled in a pure joint state**.

Is that even possible?

Let the energy spectra of the two systems, $\{E_i^A\}$ and $\{E_i^B\}$, be identical except for a scale factor, i.e., $\mu^A E_i^A = \mu^B E_i^B = \epsilon_i$.

Scenario V: breakdown of the second law

Above, we derived the Clausius inequality on the basis of the condition $\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \geq 0$ which prevails when the systems A and B are initially uncorrelated. **What if they are initially correlated?**

To answer that question, we will consider the case of **maximal initial correlation** between A and B consistent with **each initially being in thermal equilibrium**, i.e., **entangled in a pure joint state**.

Is that even possible?

Let the energy spectra of the two systems, $\{E_i^A\}$ and $\{E_i^B\}$, be identical except for a scale factor, i.e., $\mu^A E_i^A = \mu^B E_i^B = \epsilon_i$. The desired state can then be represented as $\rho^{AB} = |\Omega^{AB}\rangle\langle\Omega^{AB}|$, with

$$|\Omega^{AB}\rangle = Z^{-1/2} \sum_i \exp(-\gamma \epsilon_i / 2) |i; A\rangle |i; B\rangle, \quad (10)$$

where $|i; A\rangle$ ($|i; B\rangle$) is the i th energy eigenvector of system A (B), γ is a positive number, and $Z^{-1/2}$ is a normalization constant.

The **individual states** of the two systems, which are the marginal states of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle\langle\Omega^{AB}|$, are easily found to be **thermal equilibrium** (Gibbs) states at temperatures $T^A = 1/(\gamma\mu^A)$ and $T^B = 1/(\gamma\mu^B)$, respectively.

The **individual states** of the two systems, which are the marginal states of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle\langle\Omega^{AB}|$, are easily found to be **thermal equilibrium** (Gibbs) **states at temperatures** $T^A = 1/(\gamma\mu^A)$ and $T^B = 1/(\gamma\mu^B)$, respectively. Once again we consider a process of heat exchange between A and B and find $Q^A \geq T^A\Delta S^A$, $Q^B \geq T^B\Delta S^B$, and $Q^A + Q^B = 0$.

The **individual states** of the two systems, which are the marginal states of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle\langle\Omega^{AB}|$, are easily found to be **thermal equilibrium** (Gibbs) **states at temperatures** $T^A = 1/(\gamma\mu^A)$ and $T^B = 1/(\gamma\mu^B)$, respectively. Once again we consider a process of heat exchange between A and B and find $Q^A \geq T^A\Delta S^A$, $Q^B \geq T^B\Delta S^B$, and $Q^A + Q^B = 0$. Contrary to Scenario S, here the joint state of the two systems is pure and ρ^A and ρ^B are isospectral, thus forcing the equality $S^A = S^B$ during the interaction and $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B$ for the process.

The **individual states** of the two systems, which are the marginal states of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle\langle\Omega^{AB}|$, are easily found to be **thermal equilibrium** (Gibbs) **states at temperatures** $T^A = 1/(\gamma\mu^A)$ and $T^B = 1/(\gamma\mu^B)$, respectively. Once again we consider a process of heat exchange between A and B and find $Q^A \geq T^A\Delta S^A$, $Q^B \geq T^B\Delta S^B$, and $Q^A + Q^B = 0$. Contrary to Scenario S, here the joint state of the two systems is pure and ρ^A and ρ^B are isospectral, thus forcing the equality $S^A = S^B$ during the interaction and $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B$ for the process. Since $Q^A Q^B \leq 0$, the above inequalities imply that $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B \leq 0$, and

$$\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \leq 0 \text{ (Scenario V: systems initially in equilibrium)}. \quad (11)$$

The **individual states** of the two systems, which are the marginal states of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle\langle\Omega^{AB}|$, are easily found to be **thermal equilibrium** (Gibbs) **states at temperatures** $T^A = 1/(\gamma\mu^A)$ and $T^B = 1/(\gamma\mu^B)$, respectively. Once again we consider a process of heat exchange between A and B and find $Q^A \geq T^A\Delta S^A$, $Q^B \geq T^B\Delta S^B$, and $Q^A + Q^B = 0$. Contrary to Scenario S, here the joint state of the two systems is pure and ρ^A and ρ^B are isospectral, thus forcing the equality $S^A = S^B$ during the interaction and $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B$ for the process. Since $Q^A Q^B \leq 0$, the above inequalities imply that $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B \leq 0$, and

$$\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \leq 0 \text{ (Scenario V: systems initially in equilibrium)}. \quad (11)$$

This reversal leads to $Q^A/T^A + Q^B/T^B \geq \Delta S^A + \Delta S^B$, which allows both directions of heat flow, including that **from the initially colder body to the hotter one**.

The **individual states** of the two systems, which are the marginal states of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle\langle\Omega^{AB}|$, are easily found to be **thermal equilibrium** (Gibbs) **states at temperatures** $T^A = 1/(\gamma\mu^A)$ and $T^B = 1/(\gamma\mu^B)$, respectively. Once again we consider a process of heat exchange between A and B and find $Q^A \geq T^A\Delta S^A$, $Q^B \geq T^B\Delta S^B$, and $Q^A + Q^B = 0$. Contrary to Scenario S, here the joint state of the two systems is pure and ρ^A and ρ^B are isospectral, thus forcing the equality $S^A = S^B$ during the interaction and $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B$ for the process. Since $Q^A Q^B \leq 0$, the above inequalities imply that $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B \leq 0$, and

$$\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \leq 0 \text{ (Scenario V: systems initially in equilibrium)}. \quad (11)$$

This reversal leads to $Q^A/T^A + Q^B/T^B \geq \Delta S^A + \Delta S^B$, which allows both directions of heat flow, including that **from the initially colder body to the hotter one**.

A detailed dilute gas model exhibiting this reversal explicitly can be found in the first cited reference.

The **individual states** of the two systems, which are the marginal states of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle\langle\Omega^{AB}|$, are easily found to be **thermal equilibrium** (Gibbs) **states at temperatures** $T^A = 1/(\gamma\mu^A)$ and $T^B = 1/(\gamma\mu^B)$, respectively. Once again we consider a process of heat exchange between A and B and find $Q^A \geq T^A\Delta S^A$, $Q^B \geq T^B\Delta S^B$, and $Q^A + Q^B = 0$. Contrary to Scenario S, here the joint state of the two systems is pure and ρ^A and ρ^B are isospectral, thus forcing the equality $S^A = S^B$ during the interaction and $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B$ for the process. Since $Q^A Q^B \leq 0$, the above inequalities imply that $\Delta S^A = \Delta S^B \leq 0$, and

$$\Delta S^A + \Delta S^B \leq 0 \text{ (Scenario V: systems initially in equilibrium)}. \quad (11)$$

This reversal leads to $Q^A/T^A + Q^B/T^B \geq \Delta S^A + \Delta S^B$, which allows both directions of heat flow, including that **from the initially colder body to the hotter one**.

A detailed dilute gas model exhibiting this reversal explicitly can be found in the first cited reference.

How do we understand this bizarre behavior?

The clue is in the dual character of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle$: (i) its purity forces S^A and S^B to move in lock-step,

The clue is in the dual character of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle$: (i) its purity forces S^A and S^B to move in lock-step, while, (ii) the initial equilibrium nature of its marginals implies that S^A and S^B can only decrease.

The clue is in the dual character of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle$: (i) its purity forces S^A and S^B to move in lock-step, while, (ii) the initial equilibrium nature of its marginals implies that S^A and S^B can only decrease. **There is no room here for the statistical dominance of one direction of heat flow over the other, in stark contrast to Scenario S.**

The clue is in the dual character of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle$: (i) its purity forces S^A and S^B to move in lock-step, while, (ii) the initial equilibrium nature of its marginals implies that S^A and S^B can only decrease. **There is no room here for the statistical dominance of one direction of heat flow over the other, in stark contrast to Scenario S.**

Pre-existing correlations can neutralize the overwhelming statistical biases that normally underlie the second law.

The clue is in the dual character of $|\Omega^{AB}\rangle$: (i) its purity forces S^A and S^B to move in lock-step, while, (ii) the initial equilibrium nature of its marginals implies that S^A and S^B can only decrease. **There is no room here for the statistical dominance of one direction of heat flow over the other, in stark contrast to Scenario S.**

Pre-existing correlations can neutralize the overwhelming statistical biases that normally underlie the second law.

Scenarios S and V are paradigms teaching us that the second law is not an independent or inviolable law of nature. Rather, it is a consequence of quantum mechanics conditioned on a low-correlation environment, and breaks down under the opposite conditions.

Concluding Remarks

- The laws of thermodynamics result from quantum dynamics in a sufficiently low-entropy environment.

Concluding Remarks

- The laws of thermodynamics result from quantum dynamics in a sufficiently low-entropy environment.
- These laws hold for all systems great and small. However, it is only for meso- and macro-systems that fluctuations are small enough to make thermodynamic quantities useful.

Concluding Remarks

- The laws of thermodynamics result from quantum dynamics in a sufficiently low-entropy environment.
- These laws hold for all systems great and small. However, it is only for meso- and macro-systems that fluctuations are small enough to make thermodynamic quantities useful.
- The reversibility issues that so haunted Boltzmann at the end of the nineteenth century are seen to arise from the initial conditions prevailing in the environment. To his credit, Boltzmann had already guessed that!

Concluding Remarks

- The laws of thermodynamics result from quantum dynamics in a sufficiently low-entropy environment.
- These laws hold for all systems great and small. However, it is only for meso- and macro-systems that fluctuations are small enough to make thermodynamic quantities useful.
- The reversibility issues that so haunted Boltzmann at the end of the nineteenth century are seen to arise from the initial conditions prevailing in the environment. To his credit, Boltzmann had already guessed that!
- I believe that a fundamental understanding of thermodynamic behavior incorporating the role of the environment is a prerequisite to the resolution of the reduction problem in quantum measurement theory.

This talk is for the most part based on

- M. Hossein Partovi, "Entanglement versus *Stosszahlansatz*: Disappearance of the thermodynamic arrow in a high-correlation environment," *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 021110 (2008).
- M. Hossein Partovi, "Quantum Thermodynamics," *Phys. Letters A* **137**, 440 (1989).
- M. Hossein Partovi, "Irreversibility, reduction, and entropy increase in quantum measurements," *Phys. Letters A* **137**, 445 (1989).

Further results extending those of the first paper above can be found in

- David Jennings and Terry Rudolph, "Entanglement and the thermodynamic arrow of time," *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 061130 (2010).

This work was supported in part by California State University, Sacramento.