## Relevant Costs for Decision Making

## Identifying Relevant Costs

A relevant cost is a cost that differs between alternatives.

An avoidable cost can be eliminated, in whole or in part, by choosing one alternative over another. Avoidable costs are relevant costs. Unavoidable costs are irrelevant costs.

Two broad categories of costs are never relevant in any decision. They include:
(1)Sunk costs.
(2) Future costs that do not differ between the alternatives.

## Identifying Relevant Costs



## Identifying Relevant Costs

| Automobile Costs (based on 10,000 miles driven per year) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Annual Cost of Fixed Items |  | Cost per Mile |  |
| 1 | Annual straight-line depreciation on car | \$ | 2,800 | \$ | 0.280 |
| 2 | Cost of gasoline |  |  |  | 0.050 |
| 3 | Annual cost of auto insurance and license |  | 1,380 |  | 0.138 |
| 4 | Maintenance and repairs |  |  |  | 0.065 |
| 5 | Parking fees at school |  | 360 |  | 0.036 |
|  | Total average cost |  |  | \$ | 0.569 |


| Some Additional Information |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | Reduction in resale value of car per mile of wear | $\$$ | 0.026 |
| 8 | Round-tip train fare | $\$$ | 104 |
| 9 | Benefits of relaxing on train trip |  | $? ? ? ?$ |
| 10 | Cost of putting dog in kennel while gone | $\$ 0$ |  |
| 11 | Benefit of having car in New York | $? ? ? ?$ |  |
| 12 | Hassle of parking car in New York |  | $? ? ? ?$ |
| 13 | Per day cost of parking car in New York | $\$$ | 25 |

## Identifying Relevant Costs

## Which costs and benefits are relevant in Cynthia's decision?

## The cost of the <br> car is a sunk cost and is not relevant to the current decision.

The annual cost of insurance is not relevant. It will remain the same if she drives or takes the train.

However, the cost of gasoline is clearly relevant if she decides to drive. If she takes the train, the cost would not be incurred, so it varies depending on the decision.

## Identifying Relevant Costs

## Which costs and benefits are relevant in Cynthia's decision?

The cost of
maintenance and repairs is relevant. In the long-run these costs depend upon miles driven.

At this point, we can see that some of the average cost of $\$ 0.569$ per mile are relevant and others are not.

## Identifying Relevant Costs

## Which costs and benefits are relevant in Cynthia's decision?

The decline in resale value due to additional miles is a relevant cost.

Relaxing on the train is relevant even though it is difficult to assign a dollar value to the benefit.

The round-trip train fare is clearly relevant. If she drives the cost can be avoided.

The kennel cost is not relevant because Cynthia will incur the cost if she drives or takes the train.

## Identifying Relevant Costs

## Which costs and benefits are relevant in Cynthia's decision?

> The cost of parking is relevant because it can be avoided if she takes the train.

The benefits of having a car in New York and the problems of finding a parking space are both relevant but are difficult to assign a dollar amount.

## Identifying Relevant Costs

## From a financial standpoint, Cynthia would be better off taking the train to visit her friend. Some of the nonfinancial factors may influence her final decision.

| Relevant Financial Cost of Driving |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gasoline (460 @ \$0.050 per mile) | $\$ 23.00$ |
| Maintenance (460 @ \$0.065 per mile) | 29.90 |
| Reduction in resale (460 @ \$0.026 per mile) | 11.96 |
| Parking in New York (2 days @ \$25 per day) | 50.00 |
| Total | $\$ 114.86$ |

Relevant Financial Cost of Taking the Train

## Total and Differential Cost Approaches

The management of a company is considering a new labor saving machine that rents for $\$ 3,000$ per year. Data about the company's annual sales and costs with and without the new machine are:

| Sales (5,000 units @ \$40 per unit) | Current <br> Situation |  | Situation With New Machine |  | Differential Costs and Benefits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 200,000 | - |
| Less variable expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct materials (5,000 units @ \$14 per unit) |  | 70,000 |  | 70,000 | - |
| Direct labor (5,000 units @ \$8 and \$5 per unit) |  | 40,000 |  | 25,000 | 15,000 |
| Variable overhead (5,000 units @ \$2 per unit) |  | 10,000 |  | 10,000 | - |
| Total variable expenses |  | 120,000 |  | 105,000 | - |
| Contribution margin |  | 80,000 |  | 95,000 | 15,000 |
| Less fixed expense: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  | 62,000 |  | 62,000 | - |
| Rent on new machine |  | - |  | 3,000 | $(3,000)$ |
| Total fixed expenses |  | 62,000 |  | 65,000 | $(3,000)$ |
| Net operating income | \$ | 18,000 | \$ | 30,000 | 12,000 |

## Total and Differential Cost Approaches

As you can see, the only costs that differ between the alternatives are the direct labor costs savings and the increase in fixed rental costs.


## Total and Differential Cost Approaches

## Using the differential approach is desirable for two reasons:

1. Only rarely will enough information be available to prepare detailed income statements for both alternatives.
2. Mingling irrelevant costs with relevant costs may cause confusion and distract attention away from the information that is really critical.

## Decision 1:

## Drop or retain a product line?

## Adding/Dropping Segments

> Due to the declining popularity of digital watches, Lovell Company's digital watch line has not reported a profit for several years. Lovell is considering dropping this product line.

## A Contribution Margin Approach

## DECISION RULE

Lovell should drop the digital watch segment only if its profit would increase. This would only happen if the fixed cost savings exceed the lost contribution margin.

## Adding/Dropping Segments

| Segment Income Statement Digital Watches |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales |  | \$ | 500,000 |
| Less: variable expenses |  |  |  |
| Variable manufacturing costs | \$ 120,000 |  |  |
| Variable shipping costs | 5,000 |  |  |
| Commissions | 75,000 |  | 200,000 |
| Contribution margin |  | \$ | 300,000 |
| Less: fixed expenses |  |  |  |
| FMOH | \$ 60,000 |  |  |
| Salary of line manager | 90,000 |  |  |
| Depreciation of equipment | 50,000 |  |  |
| Advertising - direct | 100,000 |  |  |
| Rent - factory space | 70,000 |  |  |
| General admin. expenses | 30,000 |  | 400,000 |
| Net operating loss |  | \$ | $(100,000)$ |

## Adding/Dropping Segments

## Segment Income Statement <br> Digital Watches

Sales
\$ 500,000
Investigation has revealed that total FMOH and general
administrative expenses would not be affected if the
digital watch line is dropped. The FMOH and general
administrative expenses assigned to this product would
be reallocated to other product lines.
Salary of line manager
90,000
Depreciation of equipment
50,000
Advertising - direct
100,000
Rent - factory space
General admin. expenses 70,000 Net operating loss

## Adding/Dropping Segments

## Segment Income Statement Digital Watches

Sales

The equipment used to manufacture digital watches has no resale value or alternative use. \$ 500,000 |  | 200,000 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 300,000$ |  |

Less: fixed expenses
General factory overhead \$ 60,000
Salary of line manager 90,000
Depreciation of equ Should Lovell retain or drop
Rent - factory space the digital watch segment?
General admin. expenses Net operating loss
$30,000 \quad 400,000$

## A Contribution Margin Approach

| Contribution Margin <br> Solution |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Contribution margin lost if digital <br> watches are dropped |  |  |
| Less fixed costs that can be avoided |  |  |
| Salary of the line manager | $\$(300,000)$ |  |
| Advertising - direct | 90,000 |  |
| Rent - factory space | 100,000 |  |
| Net disadvantage | 70,000 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Decision 2:

## Make or Buy? Produce in-house or outsource?

## The Make or Buy Decision: An Example

- Essex Company manufactures part 4A that is used in one of its products.
- The unit product cost of this part is:



## The Make or Buy Decision

- The special equipment used to manufacture part 4 A has no resale value.
- The total amount of general factory overhead, which is allocated on the basis of direct labor hours, would be unaffected by this decision.
- The $\$ 30$ unit product cost is based on 20,000 parts produced each year.
- An outside supplier has offered to provide the 20,000 parts at a cost of $\$ 25$ per part.


## Should we accept the supplier's offer?

## The Make or Buy Decision



## The Make or Buy Decision

| Outside purchase price | Cost <br> Per Unit <br> $\$ 25$ | Cost of 20,000 Units |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Make | Buy |
|  |  |  | \$ 500,000 |
| Direct materials | \$ 9 | 180,000 |  |
| Direct labor | 5 | 100,000 |  |
| Variable overhead | 1 | 20,000 |  |
| Depreciation of equip. | 3 | - |  |
| Supervisor's salary | 2 | 40,000 |  |
| General factory overhead | 10 | - |  |
| Total cost | $\xrightarrow{\$ 30}$ | $\$ 340,000$ | \$ 500,000 |

## The Make or Buy Decision



## The Make or Buy Decision

| Outside purchase price | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Per Unit } \end{gathered}$ | Cost of 20,000 Units |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Make | Buy |
|  | \$ 25 |  | \$ 500,000 |
| Direct materials | \$ 9 | 180,000 |  |
| Direct labor | 5 | 100,000 |  |
| Variable overhead | 1 | 20,000 |  |
| Depreciation of equip. | 3 | - |  |
| Supervisor's salary | 2 | 40,000 |  |
| General factory overhead | 10 | - |  |
| Total cost | \$ 30 | \$ 340,000 | \$ 500,000 |

## Should we make or buy part 4A?

## Opportunity Cost

An opportunity cost is the benefit that is foregone as a result of pursuing some course of action.

Opportunity costs are not actual dollar outlays and are not recorded in the formal accounts of an organization.

How would this concept potentially relate to the Essex Company?

## Decision 3:

## Accept or reject a special order?

## Special Orders

$>$ Jet, Inc. makes a single product whose normal selling price is $\$ 20$ per unit.
$>$ A foreign distributor offers to purchase 3,000 units for $\$ 10$ per unit.
$>$ This is a one-time order that would not affect the company's regular business.
$>$ Annual capacity is 10,000 units, but Jet, Inc. is currently producing and selling only 5,000 units.

## Should Jet accept the offer?

## Special Orders

| Jet, Inc. <br> Contribution Income Statement |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue (5,000 $\times \mathbf{\$ 2 0}$ ) |  | \$ 100,000 |  |
| Variable costs. Direct materials\$ 20,000$5,000$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing overhead | 12,000 \$ |  |  |
| Marketing costs | $\underline{5,000}>40,000$ |  |  |
| Total variable costs |  |  |  |
| Contribution margin |  | 60,000 |  |
| Fixed costs: |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing overhead | \$ 28,000 |  |  |
| Marketing costs | 20,000 |  |  |
| Total fixed costs | 48,000 |  |  |
| Net operating income |  |  | 12,000 |

## Special Orders

## If Jet accepts the offer, net operating income will increase by $\$ 6,000$.

| Increase in revenue $(3,000 \times \$ 10)$ | $\$ 30,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Increase in costs $(3,000 \times \$ 8$ variable cost) | 24,000 |
|  | $\$ 6,000$ |

Note: This answer assumes that fixed costs are unaffected by the order and that variable marketing costs must be incurred on the special order.

## Decision 4:

## Knowing that we have a bottleneck, should we be emphasizing Product 1 or Product 2?

## Utilization of a Constrained Resource

- When a constraint exists, a company should select a product mix that maximizes the total contribution margin earned since fixed costs usually remain unchanged.
- A company should not necessarily promote those products that have the highest unit contribution margin.
- Rather, it should promote those products that earn the highest contribution margin in relation to the constraining resource.


## Utilization of a Constrained Resource: An Example

Ensign Company produces two products and selected data are shown below:

| Selling price per unit <br> Less variable expenses per unit | Product |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |
|  | \$ 60 | \$ 50 |
|  | 36 | 35 |
| Contribution margin per unit | \$ 24 | \$ 15 |
| Current demand per week (units) | 2,000 | 2,200 |
| Contribution margin ratio | 40\% | 30\% |
| Processing time required on machine A1 per unit | 1.00 | 0.50 |

## Utilization of a Constrained Resource

- Machine A1 is the constrained resource and is being used at $100 \%$ of its capacity.
- There is excess capacity on all other machines.
- Machine A1 has a capacity of 2,400 minutes per week.


## Should Ensign focus its efforts on Product 1 or Product 2?

## Utilization of a Constrained Resource

The key is the contribution margin per unit of the constrained resource.


> Product 2 should be emphasized. Provides more valuable use of the constrained resource machine A1, yielding a contribution margin of $\$ 30$ per minute as opposed to $\$ 24$ for Product 1 .

## Utilization of a Constrained Resource

## The key is the contribution margin per unit of the constrained resource.

|  | Product |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Contribution margin per unit |  | \$ | 24 |  | \$ |  | 5 |
| Time required to produce one unit | $\div$ |  |  | min. $\div$ |  | 0. |  |
| Contribution margin per minute |  | \$ | 24 |  | \$ |  | 0 |

## If there are no other considerations, the best plan would be to produce to meet current demand for Product 2 and then use remaining capacity to make Product 1.

## Utilization of a Constrained Resource

Let's see how this plan would work.
Alloting Our Constrained Resource (Machine A1)

Weekly demand for Product 2 2,200 units
$\times \quad 0.50$ min. Total time required to make Product 2

## Utilization of a Constrained Resource

Let's see how this plan would work.
Alloting Our Constrained Resource (Machine A1)

Weekly demand for Product 2
Time required per unit
Total time required to make
Product 2

| 2,200 units |
| ---: |
| $\times \quad 0.50$ min. |
| $1,100 \mathrm{~min}$. |

Total time available
Time used to make Product 2
Time available for Product 1

| 2,400 min. |
| ---: |
| 1,100 min. |
| 1,300 min. |

## Utilization of a Constrained Resource

Alloting Our Constrained Resource (Machine A1)

Weekly demand for Product 2 2,200 units
$\times \quad 0.50$ min.
Total time required to make Product 2

1,100 min.
Total time available

| 2,400 min. |
| ---: |
| 1,100 min. |
|  |
| 1,300 |
| min. |
| 1,00 |
| min. |
| 1,300 | units

## Utilization of a Constrained Resource

## According to the plan, we will produce 2,200 units of Product 2 and 1,300 of Product 1. Our contribution margin looks like this.

|  | Product 1 | Product 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production and sales (units) | 1,300 | 2,200 |
| Contribution margin per unit | \$ 24 | \$ 15 |
| Total contribution margin | \$ 31,200 | \$ 33,000 |

The total contribution margin for Ensign is \$64,200.

## Managing Constraints

Finding ways to process more units through a resource bottleneck

## At the bottleneck itself:

- Improve the process
- Add overtime or another shift
- Hire new workers or acquire more machines
- Subcontract production
- Reduce amount of defective units produced
- Add workers transferred from non-bottleneck departments


## Decision 5:

## Should a joint product be sold at the split-off point or processed further?

## Joint Costs

- In some industries, a number of end products are produced from a single raw material input.
- Two or more products produced from a common input are called joint products.
- The point in the manufacturing process where each joint product can be recognized as a separate product is called the split-off point.


## Joint Products



## Joint Products



## The Pitfalls of Allocation



## Sell or Process Further

## Joint costs are irrelevant in decisions

 regarding what to do with a product from the split-off point forward.- It will always be profitable to continue processing a joint product after the split-off point so long as the incremental revenue exceeds the incremental processing costs incurred after the split-off point.


## Sell or Process Further: An Example

- Sawmill, Inc. cuts logs from which unfinished lumber and sawdust are the immediate joint products.
- Unfinished lumber is sold "as is" or processed further into finished lumber.
- Sawdust can also be sold "as is" to gardening wholesalers or processed further into "presto-logs."


## Sell or Process Further

## Data about Sawmill's joint products includes:

|  | Per Log |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lumber | Sawdust |  |  |
| Sales value at the split-off point | $\$$ | 140 | $\$$ |  |
|  |  | 40 |  |  |
| Sales value after further processing | 270 |  | 50 |  |
| Allocated joint product costs | 176 | 24 |  |  |
| Cost of further processing | 50 | 20 |  |  |

## Sell or Process Further

| Analysis of Sell or Process Further |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Log |  |  |  |
|  | Lumber |  | Sawdust |  |
| Sales value after further processing | \$ | 270 | \$ | 50 |
| Sales value at the split-off point |  | 140 |  | 40 |
| Incremental revenue |  | 130 |  | 10 |

## Sell or Process Further

| Analysis of Sell or Process Further |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Log |  |  |  |
|  | Lumber |  | Sawdust |  |
| Sales value after further processing | \$ | 270 | \$ | 50 |
| Sales value at the split-off point |  | 140 |  | 40 |
| Incremental revenue |  | 130 |  | 10 |
| Cost of further processing |  | 50 |  | 20 |
| Profit (loss) from further processing | \$ | 80 | \$ | (10) |

## Sell or Process Further

| Analysis of Sell or Process Further |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per Log |  |  |  |
|  | Lumber |  | Sawdust |  |
| Sales value after further processing | \$ | 270 | \$ | 50 |
| Sales value at the split-off point |  | 140 |  | 40 |
| Incremental revenue |  | 130 |  | 10 |
| Cost of further processing |  | 50 |  | 20 |
| Profit (loss) from further processing | \$ | 80 | \$ | (10) |

## We should process the lumber further and sell the sawdust "as is."

