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Rationale and Synopsis

Research plays a prominent role in the life of the modern organization. By law, regulation, and custom, organizations must routinely evaluate their performance. Legislatures, agencies, and interest groups frequently call upon researchers to predict the consequences of proposed policies or to evaluate the outcomes of existing policies. Research then can become an instrument of political advocacy, with each side touting studies that allegedly support their policy positions, while simultaneously dismissing the other's research as unreliable "junk science."

Although "doing" policy research is often a realm reserved for social scientists with doctoral training, or policy analysts with masters degrees in specialized technical fields, knowledge of research methods can help all professional staff become more effective managers and leaders. That knowledge comes into play when hiring and working with research consultants to design an evaluation project or to commission a policy analysis; when writing grant proposals to funders that require performance monitoring; when using research findings to construct a policy argument; and when critiquing the dueling studies cited by various organizations in a policy debate.

The most agile agencies and businesses can be described as "learning organizations"—continually adapting to new information, circumstances, and technology. Research plays a pivotal role in helping organizations learn. In a large organization with a professional research staff, practitioners trained in research methods can become effective ambassadors between the research and practice sides of the house. Often the latest developments in a field are disseminated at the annual conferences of professional associations that attempt to bridge the gap between research and practice. A practitioner with master's-level training in research methods can bring these cutting edge developments back home to their organization.

This course provides that training. Many of the concepts are transferable to research in any subject matter, including the natural sciences. However, this course focuses on the design of social science research for applications in policy analysis and evaluation. The course begins with a brief overview of the scientific method. We then focus on research design ("What information is needed to answer a particular question?") and methods of observation ("How can this information be collected in a valid and reliable manner?).

PPA 205 is not primarily a course on data analysis (i.e. statistics); another core course, PPA 207, serves that purpose. However, we will give some attention to issues of data analysis that should be considered when designing a study. We will also draw from real world studies that use statistics to illustrate points about research design and data collection methods. Similarly, PPA 205 is not primarily a course on theory; other courses in the program provide that background. But we'll talk about how theory motivates and guides one's approach to research design.
Core Learning Objectives

1. Appreciate the importance of thinking through the entire design of a study before diving in.

2. Appreciate specific design principles that are common to a number of different types of research, such as the critical role of theories and hypotheses.

3. Understand the main approaches for detecting cause-and-effect relationships in scientific research, including those based on experimental and non-experimental designs.

4. Learn how to proceed from a concept to a variable designed to measure the concept in a valid and reliable fashion.

5. Understand the advantages and limitations of various types of data collection methods, including: a) surveys; b) interviews; c) participant observation; d) content analysis, and e) secondary data.

6. Understand the differences between descriptive and inferential data analysis, and their implications for research design and data collection.

7. Learn how to write an effective research proposal.

8. Appreciate some of the ethical considerations applicable to applied social science research.

Supplemental Learning Objectives

9. Understand the strengths and limitations of various non-experimental designs including single case studies, small-n case comparisons, and large-n studies.

10. Learn the major criticisms of social science, and how to defend or critique a study from both positivist and post-positivist perspectives.

11. Become aware of the politics of research, and understand how to position a study to influence policy decisions.

Textbook


Additional Readings

Assigned most weeks. Downloadable from the CSUS library and/or SacCT.
Course Format and Graded Assignments

Format. A typical class may include lecture, discussion, and group exercises. Most weeks, one or more students will lead the class discussion of an assigned reading. It is imperative that all students come to class prepared to discuss the readings. A website for the course will be established through SacCT by the first day of class. All readings, assignments, and grades will be posted to the website.

Leading Discussion. Each student is required to lead a discussion of one of the assigned articles. Guidelines and a sign-up sheet will be distributed during the first class.

Article Critique. Each student is required to submit a written critique of one of the empirical articles assigned in the course calendar provided below. Each student chooses which article to critique. (The articles labeled "Discussion" are not eligible.) The critique is due at the beginning of class on the day the reading is assigned. Late critiques will not be accepted because the article will be discussed in class. Detailed instructions will be distributed during the first class.

"Speed Research" Group Project. One of the greatest challenges of teaching research methods is that it’s difficult for students to fully appreciate any one subtopic (like survey design or data analysis) until they understand how it fits into the larger picture. This larger picture, often termed "the scientific method," refers to the holistic process of conceiving a research question, designing a study, implementing the design, interpreting the results, and communicating the findings. To learn the scientific method, there’s nothing better than actually carrying out a study yourself. This is why all master’s students are required to write a thesis and doctoral students write dissertations. To jump-start your appreciation of this process and its individual elements, the class will divide itself into five groups of four students, and each group will carry out mini research project from start to finish in the first half of the course.

Research Proposal. This is the capstone assignment for the course. Students often choose to use this exercise to help develop a prospectus for their PPA masters project. A written draft of the research proposal is due during week 12, and will distributed to one of your classmates. One week later, each student will be responsible for submitting a critique (i.e. peer review) of another student’s draft proposal, and this critique will itself receive a letter grade. During the last two weeks, students will present their draft research proposals to the entire class, and will receive feedback to use in revising their final proposal, which is due at the designated final exam period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Grading Scheme</th>
<th>Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class participation</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>80,90,100</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading discussion of one article</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0,80,90,100</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article critique</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0,75,80,85,90,95,100</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Speed Research&quot; group project</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0,75,80,85,90,95,100</td>
<td>Oct 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midterm exam</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>Oct 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft research proposal</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0,100 pass/fail</td>
<td>Nov 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer-review of classmate's draft</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0,80,90,100</td>
<td>Nov 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral presentation of draft proposal</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0,80,90,100</td>
<td>Dec 1 &amp; 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final research proposal</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>Dec 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Course Policies

Missed classes. Please tell me in advance if you will miss a class. Imperfect attendance will be reflected in the class participation grade. A student who misses more than three classes for any reason should drop the course.

Missed exams. Requests in advance for early or makeup exams will be granted only in extreme circumstances, such as a family emergency.

Writing Assignments. With one exception, writing assignments will not be accepted late. The exception is the final research proposal, which will be docked 5 points for each day late. The penalty will be waived only in extreme circumstances.

Extra Credit: There will be no opportunities for extra credit (otherwise known as extra work). The readings and assignments described in the syllabus should keep everyone plenty busy.

Calendar

PART I. Research Design

Week 1. September 1
Course Introduction & Overview of Scientific Method

Singleton & Straits: Preface
Singleton & Straits: Chapter 1 "Introduction"
Singleton & Straits: Chapter 2 "The Nature of Science"

Week 2. September 8
Building and Recognizing Testable Hypotheses; Principles of Valid and Reliable Measurement; Indexes and Scales

Singleton & Straits: Chapter 4 "Elements of Research Design"
Singleton & Straits: Chapter 5 "Measurement"
Singleton & Straits: pp. 431-439 + Box 13.1 pp.440-441 "Indexes and Scales"

Index Example: Miranda, Miller, and Jacobs (2000). "Talking trash about landfills: Using quantitative scoring schemes in landfill siting processes"

Week 3. September 15
Sampling, Causality, and True Experiments

Singleton & Straits: Chapter 6 "Sampling"
Singleton & Straits: Chapter 7 "Experimentation"
Week 4, September 22
Experimental and Quasi-experimental Research Designs

Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 8** "Experimental Designs"


Week 5, September 29
Data Analysis and Implications for Research Design

Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 15** "Data...Analysis" especially pp 508-532.
Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 16** "Multivariate Analysis" especially pp 549-565.
Handout: Statistics Flowchart

Week 6, October 6
Analyzing Variance Across Cases: Small-n Comparative Designs


Week 7, October 13

Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 17** "Writing Research Reports" (scan this chapter and use as a reference for your 'speed research' report)

- 'Speed research' reports due *
- Group presentations of 'speed research' projects *
  6:00 to 7:40 pm, Five groups, each 15 minutes + 5 minutes Q&A

Review session for Midterm Exam

Week 8, October 20

- Midterm Exam * (in class)
PART II. Data Collection

Week 9, October 27
Survey Design and Administration

Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 9** "Survey Research"
Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 10** "Survey Instrumentation"


Week 10, November 3
Interviews, Focus Groups, Observation, Secondary Data, Content Analysis, Meta-analysis

Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 11** "Field Research"
Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 12** "Research Using Available Data"
Singleton & Straits: pp. 452-458 "Meta-Analysis"


PART III. Research in the Real World

Week 11, November 10
Ethics and Politics of Research; Program Evaluation

Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 3** "Research Ethics"
Singleton & Straits: **Chapter 14** "Evaluation Research"


Week 12, November 17
Critiques of the Classic Scientific Method


* Draft research proposals due *

Week 13, November 24
Guest Speaker – Scott Vince, Policy Intern, California Research Bureau


* Peer-review critiques due *
Week 14, December 1

* Presentation of Research Proposals *
Ten students, each 10 minutes + 5 minutes Q&A

Week 15, December 8

* Presentation of Research Proposals *
Ten students, each 10 minutes + 5 minutes Q&A

Week 16, December 15

* Final Research Proposals due via email at 7:15 pm *