

## **Errata to the California State University, Sacramento South Campus Student Housing Mitigated Negative Declaration & Initial Study**

---

### **CIRCULATION & REVIEW**

The California State University, Sacramento (CSU Sacramento) campus circulated an Initial Study/Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/Proposed MND) for public review on August 24, 2018. The IS/Proposed MND provided review for the South Campus Student Housing Project (“Project”). Per Section 15073 of the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, comments were accepted on the circulated document for a 30-day period ending September 25, 2018. CSU Sacramento received a total of seven responses, one of which served as an addendum to an original comment. In response, the applicant has made minor revisions to the Draft Initial Study, advancing it to a Final IS/MND.

Pursuant to Section 15007.5 of CEQA Guidelines, recirculation of the IS/MND is required “when the document must be substantially revised after public notice of its availability has previously been given pursuant to Section 15072, but prior to its adoption.” A “substantial revision” is defined as:

1. A new, avoidable significant effect is identified and mitigation measures or project revisions must be added in order to reduce the effect to insignificance, or
2. The lead agency determines that the proposed mitigation measures or project revisions will not reduce potential effects to less than significance and new measures or revisions must be required.

CEQA guidelines further provide that recirculation is not required under the following circumstances:

1. Mitigation measures are replaced with equal or more effective measures pursuant to Section 15074.1.
2. New project revisions are added in response to written or verbal comments on the project’s effects identified in the proposed negative declaration which are not new avoidable significant effects.
3. Measures or conditions or project approval are added after circulation of the negative declaration which are not required by CEQA, which do not create new significant environmental effects and are not necessary to mitigate an avoidable significant effect.
4. New information is added to the negative declaration which merely clarifies, amplifies, or makes insignificant modification to the negative declaration.

### **REVISIONS**

The five revisions made are detailed below and represent small, non-substantive changes that do not warrant recirculation of the IS/MND.

1. General Updates: Table of Contents, date and change from “Draft” to “Final” versions.

2. Discussion regarding how and why a reduction in Greenhouse Gas emissions reduction is expected.
3. Update to correlate water quality compliance with the approving General Permit as opposed to with the CSUS Master Plan, which does not provide project approval.
4. Update to Mitigation Measure WQ-q to specify post construction BMPs.
5. Clarification of responsibilities belonging to the project as opposed to belonging to the campus as a whole.