2023 - 2024 AY

Executive Committee Minutes

Tuesday, March 26, 2024, 3:00 pm Approved: April 2, 2024

Regular Meeting Call to Order: 3:02 pm

Roll Call:

 Adam Rechs, Aleta Baldwin, Sharon Furtak, Amber Gonzalez, Andrea Terry, Bertha Vegas Castellanos, David Moore, Jeff Wilson (absent), Matthew Krauel, Monicka Tutschka, Raul Tadle, Tracy Dawn Hamilton

Open Forum:

Senate Meetings - Time Certains: For the purpose of organizing the Senate agenda to accommodate guests, it was suggested presentations have a time certain assigned before the agenda is published.

Hornet Launch: A guest stated that the Senate presentation on Hornet Launch was not as thorough as the Hornet Launch campus presentations and video. Concerns are still present.

Information Requests of the Administration Resulting in Private Instead of Public Conversations:

 A guest stated that when administrators follow up with information requests from senators via private conversations it circumvents the ability of the whole Senate receiving the information.

 he Provost stated on behalf of his office and the President's they believe in shared governance. If information was requested and not received, let him know so he can follow through.

It was stated that the Intent to Raise Questions could address this problem.

Courses Delivered On-Line: What precent of course are being delivered on-line? How effective is the hybrid format? The Chair stated that the modality of courses is the purview of the department. If it is felt the format is not appropriate it is up to the department to make that change.

It was stated that WASC accreditation says that a certain number of classes need to be in person. Are we adhering to WASC standards and requested an audit? The Chair stated that AVP Wallace can address those questions.

 Recent Police Activity: Concern about Police activity last week when two individuals fled to campus after being involved in a nearby shooting. It was requested the Chief Madison speak at Senate to be able to provide information and answer questions. The Provost stated that the Chief will be available to present at the next Senate meeting.

 Capital Public Radio: It was shared that the Board of the Capital Public Radio Endowment is disseminating a document to faculty that proposes that CPR's license be sold to KVIE. Is the Administration aware of this? Chief of Staff Tutor responded that the document contains misinformation and that the President's Office is aware of the document and handling the situation. The status of the forensic audit was requested. Chief of Staff Tudor stated that it will be distributed in a few weeks and shared with the Senate.

Administrative Search Committee Membership: It was requested that the Administration be more transparent on who is serving on search committees for cabinet members.

Concern Expressed Over Removal From Provost Search Committee: Elvia Ramirez addressed the Executive Committee about her appointment to the Provost Search Committee (attended the first meeting) and her subsequent replacement by the Senate. She stated that it came to her attention that during the Senate meeting in question, Senator Gonzales and Chair Annette Reed both stated that Dr. Ramirez should be replaced due to a "conflict of interest." To Dr. Ramirez's knowledge, she has no conflict of interest and asked the Executive Committee what specific conflict of interest exists if she were to serve on the committee. No committee members could answer the question. Dr. Ramirez stated that the names of the nominees for the committee were known well in advance of the decision to appoint her so there was ample opportunity for anyone with a concern to bring their concern to her, the Senate Chair, and/or the President's Office. Instead, it was publicly declared that she had a conflict of interest after her appointment. Dr. Ramirez feels like this was done without integrity, feels that she was wronged, and that she would like a public apology. She does not feel safe volunteering for committees any longer and urged the Senate Executive Committee to develop a guidelines so that this type of incident does not happen to anyone else.

Amber Gonzales responded by stating that she made the motion in the Senate to have Professor Ramirez replaced on the Provost Search Committee because the pool of faculty representatives lacked any junior faculty. Professor Gonzales stated that, although Chair Annette Reed said in the Senate meeting that Dr. Ramirez had a conflict of interest, that she never made such a statement in the Senate meeting. Senator Gonzales was motivated to make the motion to replace Dr. Ramirez once she found out that the President did not appoint a junior faculty member as one of his two selections. She stated that she chose to replace Dr. Ramirez with Dr. Flores because they had similar skills and expertise compared to other nominees.

Approval of the Agenda:

- 1. The agenda was amended to remove EX 23-24-95 FPC Standing Rules.
- 2. Added as a number 11: Police Response on Campus During Incident Last Week.
- 3. Added as item 8: Developing policy criteria for asking committee applicants and rubrics.
- 4. Added as item 12: Automation of Filling Committee Vacancies from the Preference Poll.
- 5. Added as item 13: Intent to Raise Questions.
- 6. Added to the end: Executive committee cancellation procedures and call for agenda items.

The agenda as amended was approved.

Approval of the Minutes – March 5, 2024: Approved.

From the Chair: Camera item from last meeting: Per IRT, it is impossible for anyone to turn on another participant's Zoom camera.

From the Provost: Nothing to report.

Criteria for Search Committee Vacancies:

- Currently, calls for nominees include a request for an optional statement of interest. Calls
 also state that, by self nominating, nominees commit to being available for the entire search
 timeline.
- Availability and workload: The Executive Committee should not base decisions on a nominees perceived workload and should not second-guess the nominee's stated availability.
- It was stated that, in a perfect world, each search committee would have broad faculty representation, but that can't always happen. Also, typically, the Faculty Senate selects some but not all of the faculty representatives so getting a variety of different representative on the committee can be challenging.
- The Chair of FPC stated that the committee will consider updating the administrative appointment policy to specify a diversity of rank (e.g., "...at least one probationary faculty...")
- It was stated that having faculty rank be known to the during the vacancy filling process might adversely affect junior faculty, and this should be thought through more fully.
- Carolyn Gibbs shared that she benefits from having successfully served on past committees, as it seems to make it more likely that she will be selected for future search committees. How do we ensure that faculty who have not yet had an opportunity to serve have an equal opportunity to be selected?
- Monicka Tutschka expressed that she would like nominees to be asked how available they really are to make them more critically think about if they are available.
- Andrea Terry suggested that an informal subcommittee (3-5 people) of the Executive Committee could meet to look at evaluation criteria to evaluate the applicants. Monicka, Aleta, Amber, Andrea volunteered and will report back in two weeks.
- It was requested that the guidelines adopted by the Faculty Senate to fill committee vacancies be shared with the subcommittee for their work.

A motion was made that, effectively immediately, calls for nominations include a request for the nominee's faculty rank. This means that nominees will now be asked to provide their name, department, college, faculty rank, and an optional 100-word statement of interest. Carried.

Program Proposal: BA in History (Law and Social Justice): It was noted that the documented consultation only includes emails requesting information from three potentially affected departments, with no email responses from those three departments. The proposal will be rolled back to the Curriculum Subcommittee to confirm that the three departments are aware of the proposal and have the opportunity to provide feedback.

Draft Senate Agendas: March 28 Senate agenda: Andrea Terry would like to see draft agendas before it is sent out to the Senate. It was noted that this will be challenging given that the Senate will now meet every week and that a Senate agenda for a particular week are posted the Friday before the Executive Committee meets. Draft Senate agendas will be placed on each Executive Committee agenda to allow Executive Committee members to prioritize Senate agenda items two weeks in advance of Senate meetings. Carolyn Gibbs requested that some grace be allowed for in any restructuring of Senate agendas as it could lead to difficulties and challenges.

March 28 Senate Agenda Amendments: The Executive Committee voted to remove item FS 23/24-109/FL (randomization of filling standing committee vacancies) from the March 28 Senate agenda. The Senate Chair will explain to the Senate why the item was removed during From the Chair. Time certains added to 3/28 Senate agenda: Committee of the Whole no later than 3:30 and a time certain for the Gaza Resolution no later than 4 pm.

Police Response: The Provost shared that Chief Madison will be at Senate on March 28 to field questions if necessary and if needed to return at a later date to address questions. The Chief will be asked to provide some context of the events that took place last week that resulted in a multijurisdictional police response.

157	Automation of Committee Vacancies : At the April 2 Executive Committee meeting, the Chair will
158	provide examples of the process that would allow for priority randomization of faculty on the contact
159	list for Senate committee vacancies when faculty are from the same college and have expressed the
160	same level of interest.
161	
162	Intent to raise questions: The Senate Chair will try again to convene the group (Monicka, Andrea,
163	Tracy, and Adam).
164	
165	Executive Committee Agendas: Before an Executive Committee meeting is canceled, a call for

Executive Committee Agendas: Before an Executive Committee meeting is canceled, a call for agenda items will be sent out.

Standing Policy Committee updates will be scheduled for a future meeting.

Adjourned: 5:00 p.m.