SACRAMENTO STATE
Faculty Senate

2025 – 2026 AY

Executive Committee Minutes

Tuesday, October 21, 2025, 3:00 pm
Approved: October 28, 2025

Call to Order: 3:02 PM

Roll Call: Mary Breunig, Mae Chaplin, Angela Clark-Oates, Sharon Furtak, Amber Gonzalez, Hogan Hayes, Carolyn Gibbs, Sheree Meyer, Antonia Peigahi, Lina Rincon, Andrea Terry

Open Forum

- An update was requested regarding FPC's recommendations regarding the Sabbatical Leave Committee.
- WPJ Timeline: The revised timeline for the release of WPJ scores, Friday, November 14, has been communicated to the Associate Deans, the Chairs, and the Advisory Council.
- An update was requested regarding the state of the university DACA policy.
- Paired Courses: The GSPC chair shared the committee's concerns around the Paired Course and Forms A and B. The Faculty Senate Chair, CPC Chair, and GSPC chair will meet to discuss concerns.
- An update was requested regarding the differences between University AI Taskforce vs Executive Committee AI Workgroup:
  - The Faculty Senate Chair will be meeting with the task force co-chairs and Provost Cameron to continue discussions regarding the charge and scope of the task force.
  - Provost Cameron noted that a university-level leadership initiative on AI had been established prior to the formation of the task force as one of the Presidential imperatives, initially led by a single individual. Over the summer, the initiative was restructured to take on a broader and more inclusive scope, now co-led by Dean Coget and VP Hendricks through the task force.

Approval of the Agenda: The agenda was approved as published. *Carried.*

Minutes: October 14, 2025: The minutes were approved as published. *Carried.*

From the Chair

- **CSU GI 2025 Symposium.** The Senate Chair provided an overview of the one-and-a-half-day event. The opening session focused on the 2025 Graduation Initiative, followed by discussions on the new CSU Strategic Plan and the Student Success Framework. The Chair also organized a well-received in-person meetup with other CSU Senate Chairs, with plans to convene again in person next spring.

- **AI and Meetings:** Concerns were raised about the uncoordinated use of AI note-taking tools in campus meetings and the risk of inaccuracies when AI-generated minutes are distributed without human verification. The need for campus-wide guidelines and best practices was emphasized, with initial attention directed toward Senate and committee meetings. This topic will be scheduled for discussion at a future meeting.

From the Provost:

- **CSU GI 2025 Symposium**. What are we doing to prepare our students/students framework. What are we not doing to prepare our students for the future. What are we not doing. How are we going to prepare our students. Are we organized for the future - future programming and student success.
- **Strategic Planning and Budget Committee**: The committee has begun meeting. Quarterly updates and summaries will be shared to keep stakeholders informed. In addition, forums will be held at various times to answer questions and gather feedback. All meeting agendas will be posted publicly.
- **Foundation Board Retreat**: The Provost advocated for student scholarships and students but in this context of what is important to faculty, these are the things she advocated for: Support for research, support for academic support and academic innovation.
- **Draft Intellectual Property Policy**: The Provost is working on a draft Intellectual Property Policy that will be brought to the Senate for committee feedback.
- **University Budget Townhall:** Wednesday, October 29, 10 am, via Zoom.

Draft Senate Agenda – October 30: The agenda was amended as follows: The amended agenda was approved.

- Curriculum Policy: Title updated to Consultation Policy for Curriculum Processes.
- Motion to reorder the Second Reading items – place the President's Action Memo (FS 25/26-21/EX) as the first Second Reading item. *Carried.*

WASC Update Presentation:

Survey Timeline Feedback from the Executive Committee:

- Did WASC remove DEI language from the CFR's?
- The Survey Timeline is too rushed/too aggressive. Distribution of the survey at the end of fall semester may not receive a lot of participation. Encourage a roll-out at the start of the spring semester rather than this fall.
- Who in the department will be completing the survey? Who will the survey be distributed to?
- Which group gets to customize the survey? Will those customizing and taking the survey be the same?
- Action planning timeline – how will the timeline be communicated to departments?
- What is being asked of the faculty?
- How will the faculty buy-in be communicated?
- Will departments help create a survey action plan?
- What guidelines and reports will be provided for assessment?

Discussion: Quick Turnaround Requests: Will be placed on the next agenda.

Adjourned: 4:50 pm