FACULTY: CSAD CLINICAL PRACTICUM


No. of responses = 4 responded / 6 enrolled (66.67% participated)



Overall indicators

Global Index

Clinical Instructor Evaluation



Survey Results

Clinical Instructor Evaluation

1. Procedures for writing and submitting lesson plans and/or evaluation reports were clearly communicated to the student by the supervisor.

n=4 Outstanding Not Acceptable 0% av.=4.75

- 2. The supervisor reviewed lesson plans prior to therapy sessions and returned them to the student in time for the student to make any necessary changes.



3. The supervisor made it clear to the student whether therapy techniques employed during therapy sessions were appropriate for the disorder(s) supervised.



n=4 av =5

4. The supervisor gave the student a written or verbal evaluation following each therapy session, which the supervisor observed.



n=4 av.=5

Not Acceptable

5. Areas of weakness were communicated to the student with suggestions for improvement.



6. Areas of strength were acknowledged.




n=4 av.=5

n=4


av.=5

7. The supervisor kept appointments for scheduled conferences with the student.




n=4 av.=4.75

8. The supervisor provided suggestions regarding clinical activities accompanied by the rationale governing them.



n=4 av.=5

9. The supervisor demonstrated a willingness to permit a variety of valid procedures within the framework of broadening the student's experience without jeopardizing client's performance.




n=4 av.=5

10. The supervisor's expectations regarding clinical responsibilities were clearly communicated to the student.



n=4 av.=5



Comments Report

Clinical Instructor Evaluation

COMMENTS