Clinical Course Evaluation

Faculty: CSAD Clinical Practicum (Audiology)

The following Clinical Instructor Evaluation will need to be adapted by IRT prior to use and is a DRAFT version only:

Clinical instructors are evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is Not Acceptable and 5 is Outstanding.

- 1. Procedures for writing and submitting session plans and/or reports were clearly communicated to the student by the supervisor.
- 2. The supervisor reviewed session plans prior to clinic sessions and returned them to the student in time for the student to make any necessary changes.
- 3. The supervisor made it clear to the student whether assessment or (re)habilitative techniques employed during clinic sessions were appropriate for the client's concern being assessed or treated.
- 4. The supervisor gave the student a written or verbal evaluation following each clinic session, which the supervisor observed.
- 5. Areas of weakness were communicated to the student with suggestions for improvement.
- 6. Areas of strength were acknowledged.
- 7. The supervisor kept appointments for scheduled conferences with the student.
- 8. The supervisor provided suggestions regarding clinical activities accompanied by the rationale governing them.
- 9. The supervisor demonstrated a willingness to permit a variety of valid procedures within the framework of broadening the student's experience without jeopardizing client's performance.
- 10. The supervisor's expectations regarding clinical responsibilities were clearly communicated to the student.
- 11. Written and verbal comments from the supervisor included both constructive positive and constructive negative feedback regarding all aspects of clinical functioning.
- 12. The supervisor served as a resource person in supplementing additional information with practical suggestions regarding clinical activities.
- 13. The supervisor was knowledgeable about the auditory and/or vestibular disorders for which supervision was provided.
- 14. The supervisor exhibited fairness in evaluating session performance.
- 15. The supervisor promoted the active participation of the student during supervisor/clinician conferences.
- 16. The supervisor encouraged the student to become more independent as the semester progressed.
- 17. The supervisor was receptive to feedback from the student.
- 18. The supervisor exhibited flexibility in all aspects of clinical supervision.
- 19. The suggestions of the supervisor were valuable.
- 20. The supervisor fulfilled the observation of therapy commitment to the student.
- 21. Overall effectiveness of the supervisor.