FOR GRADUATE AND CREDENTIAL PROG	RAMS: THIS TEMPLATE REF	ers to Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals. Please ignore
THESE REFERENCES IN YOUR REPORT.		
Qu	estion 1: Progra	m Learning Outcomes
Q1.1. Which of the following Program (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate Lea	_	Q1.3. Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university?
you assess in 2014-2015? [Check all th		x 1. Yes 2. No
x 1. Critical thinking		3. Don't know
2. Information literacy	-	
x 3. Written communication		Q1.4. Is your program externally accredited (other than through
4. Oral communication		WASC)?
5. Quantitative literacy		1. Yes
x 6. Inquiry and analysis		x 2. No (Go to Q1.5)
7. Creative thinking		3. Don't know (Go to Q1.5)
8. Reading	-	
9. Team work		Q1.4.1. If the answer to Q1.4 is yes, are your PLOs closely aligned
10. Problem solving		with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency?
11. Civic knowledge and engage		1. Yes
12. Intercultural knowledge and	competency	2. No
x 13. Ethical reasoning		3. Don't know
14. Foundations and skills for lif	elong learning	Q1.5. Did your program use the <i>Degree Qualification Profile</i> (DQP)
15. Global learning	ning	to develop your PLO(s)?
x 16. Integrative and applied lear	-	
17. Overall competencies for Gl 18. Overall competencies in the	-	1. Yes
		x 2. No, but I know what the DQP is
x 19. Other, specify any PLOs that 2014-2015 but not included		3. No, I don't know what the DQP is.
		4. Don't know
		Conceptually we are largely aligned with the DQP focus but our
		efforts pre-date this work and contain PLO's specific to our
PPA has 16 specific learning	objectives (Appendix 1)	program.
falling broadly under 3 categ		Q1.6. Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable (See
of the 16 objectives, as dem	onstrated in Master's	Attachment I)?
theses (Appendix 2).		Yes. We understand that you would like the terms further
		modified, however, given the short turn around between receiving
		the report and the end of the term (when faculty were available to
		assist), we did not tackle refinements this year.

 Q1.2. Please provide more detailed background information about EACH PLO you che above and other information such as how your specific PLOs were explicitly linked to solve and other information such as how your specific PLOs were explicitly linked to solve at the BLGs: The PLO's were developed several years ago and have been continually refined. We uprogram objectives to design course objectives. For purposes of the annual assessment we eliminate those PLO's that cannot be assess thesis (oral communication and group work) and then select a subset of completed th review. The PLO's are not tied to the BLGs since we are a graduate-only program. 	the Sac your PLOs? 1. Yes, for all PLOs 2. Yes, but for some PLOs 3. No rubrics for PLOs N/A, other (please specify):
IN QUESTIONS 2 THROUGH 5, REPORT IN DETAIL ON ONE PLO TH	AT YOU ASSESSED IN 2014-2015
Question 2: Standard of Performance for	the selected PLO
Q 2.1. Specify one PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you checked the correct box for this PLO in Q1.1): This year we were particularly interested in the broader question of how students are being prepared to undertake the thesis. This is larger than a single PLO but as a faculty we were expressing concerns that theses were taking more support at the front end than seemed necessary. We use nearly all of our PLO's to assess thesis quality but some are not relevant for all theses (for example, not every thesis will present alternatives to a particular problem). Using our existing, PLO's we focused on clear problem definition as a starting point (PLO 1.a.) For purposes of this exercise we will describe our assessment of that PLO.	Q2.2. Has the program developed or adopted explicit standards of performance for this PLO? 1. Yes x 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A

Q2.3. <u>Please provide the rubric(s)</u> and standard of performance that you have developed for this PLO here or in the appendix: [Word limit: 300]

We sought a score of 2 (out of 3) or better on the thesis assessment and a 4 (out of 5) or better on the student ratings of course objectives.

Q2.4. Please indicate the category in which the selected PLO falls	into.			
1. Critical thinking				
2. Information literacy				
3. Written communication				
4. Oral communication				
5. Quantitative literacy				
6. Inquiry and analysis				
7. Creative thinking				
8. Reading				
9. Team work				
10. Problem solving				
11. Civic knowledge and engagement				
12. Intercultural knowledge and competency				
13. Ethical reasoning				
14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning				
15. Global learning				
16. Integrative and applied learning				
17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge				
18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline				
x 19. Other: "Construct clear definitions of problems.", from our p	rogram			
PLO'S.				
Please indicate where you have published the PLO, the standard	of performance, and	Q2.5	Q2.6	Q2.7
the rubric that measures the PLO:	or performance, and	Q2.5	Q2.0	Q2.7
			of	
			rds ce	
			nan	rics
		ΓΟ	tandaı orman	tubrics
		1) PLO	2) Standaı Performan	3) Rubrics
		(1) PLO	(2) Standards of Performance	(3) Rubrics
1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that addre			(2) Standaı Performan	(3) Rubrics
2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address		x	(2) Standaı Performan	(3) Rubrics
2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address3. In the student handbook/advising handbook			(2) Standaı Performan	(3) Rubrics
2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address3. In the student handbook/advising handbook4. In the university catalogue		x x	(2) Standaı Performan	(3) Rubrics
 In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address In the student handbook/advising handbook In the university catalogue On the academic unit website or in newsletters 	the PLO	x x x	(2) Standa Performan	(3) Rubrics
 2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 	the PLO or activities	x x	(2) Standa Performan	(3) Rubrics
 In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address In the student handbook/advising handbook In the university catalogue On the academic unit website or in newsletters In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university 	the PLO or activities ersity	x x x x x	(2) Standa Performan	(3) Rubrics
 In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address In the student handbook/advising handbook In the university catalogue On the academic unit website or in newsletters In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and oth 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents	x x x	(2) Standa Performan	(3) Rubrics
 In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address In the student handbook/advising handbook In the university catalogue On the academic unit website or in newsletters In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and othe In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents	x x x x x x		
 In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address In the student handbook/advising handbook In the university catalogue On the academic unit website or in newsletters In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and other In the department/college/university's budget plans and other On the r, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t	x x x x x x		
 In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address In the student handbook/advising handbook In the university catalogue On the academic unit website or in newsletters In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and othe In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t	x x x x x x		
 2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/unive 8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other 9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 10. Other, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the expectations, course sequencing, and how the PLO's are taught and experiment. 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program.	x x x x x ime discus		
 In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address In the student handbook/advising handbook In the university catalogue On the academic unit website or in newsletters In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and other In the department/college/university's budget plans and other On the r, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program.	x x x x x ime discus		
 2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and othe 9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 10. Other, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the interpretations, course sequencing, and how the PLO's are taught and expectations 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program. n Methods and Evaluatior	x x x x x ime discus		
 2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and othe 9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 10. Other, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the expectations, course sequencing, and how the PLO's are taught and expectations 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program. n Methods and Evaluatior the <u>Selected</u> PLO	x x x x x ime discus	ssing the pro	ogram
 2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and other 9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 10. Other, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the expectations, course sequencing, and how the PLO's are taught and expectations Question 3: Data Collection Data Quality for Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected 	or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program. n Methods and Evaluatior the <u>Selected</u> PLO Q3.2. If yes, was the data scored/evalue	x x x x x ime discus	ssing the pro	ogram
 2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/unive 8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other 9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 10. Other, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the interpretations, course sequencing, and how the PLO's are taught and exp Question 3: Data Collection Data Quality for Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected PLO in 2014-2015?	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program. n Methods and Evaluation the <u>Selected</u> PLO Q3.2. If yes, was the data scored/evalue 2015?	x x x x x ime discus	ssing the pro	ogram
 2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and othe 9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 10. Other, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the interpretations, course sequencing, and how the PLO's are taught and exp Question 3: Data Collection Data Quality for Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected PLO in 2014-2015? x 1. Yes 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program. n Methods and Evaluation the <u>Selected</u> PLO Q3.2. If yes, was the data scored/evalu 2015? x 1. Yes	x x x x x ime discus	ssing the pro	ogram
2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/unive 8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other 9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 10. Other, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the inexpectations, course sequencing, and how the PLO's are taught and exp Question 3: Data Collection Data Quality for Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected PLO in 2014-2015? x 1. Yes 2. No (Skip to Q6)	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program. n Methods and Evaluation the <u>Selected</u> PLO Q3.2. If yes, was the data scored/evalu 2015? x 1. Yes 2. No (Skip to Q6)	x x x x x ime discus	ssing the pro	ogram
 2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address 3. In the student handbook/advising handbook 4. In the university catalogue 5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters 6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources 7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university's strategic plans and othe 9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other 10. Other, specify: The PLO's are also shared with the students in the interpretations, course sequencing, and how the PLO's are taught and exp Question 3: Data Collection Data Quality for Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected PLO in 2014-2015? x 1. Yes 	the PLO or activities ersity er planning documents resource allocation documents ntroductory course. We spend considerable t ressed throughout the program. n Methods and Evaluation the <u>Selected</u> PLO Q3.2. If yes, was the data scored/evalu 2015? x 1. Yes	x x x x x ime discus	ssing the pro	ogram

Q3.1A. How many assessment tools/methods did you use to assess this PLO? 2	s/measures in total	Q3.2A Please describe how you collected the assessment data for the selected PLO. For example, in what course(s) or by what means were data collected (see Attachment II)? [Word limit: 300]						
		We reviewed six theses (selected to get an array of first readers as well as a mix of quantitative and qualitative theses). Each thesis was read and scored by 2 faculty members.						
		We also asked the faculty who were first readers to independently rate the thesis with an eye towards how much the final product reflected the work of the student versus wo driven by faculty.						
		Second, for each course, we publish the PLO's for that course and ask students to rate how well they think the course met the PLO's. While we realize this measure is indirect but we find that it a helpful additional piece of information.						
Q3A: Direct Me	asures (key ass	ignments, proje	ects, portfolios)					
Q3.3. Were direct measures [key assignments portfolios, etc.] used to assess this PLO? X 1. Yes 2. No (Go to Q3.7) 3. Don't know (Go to Q3.7) Q3.3.2. Please attach the direct measure you data. Appendix 2 is our assessment rubric for reviewing	used to collect	Image: Check all that apply x 1. Capstone projector courses, or expector 2. Key assignme 3. Key assignme 4. Classroom bases simulations, con 5. External performance	nts from required classes in the program nts from elective classes sed performance assessments such as nprehensive exams, critiques ormance assessments such as internships unity based projects					
 Q3.4. How was the data evaluated? [Select on 1. No rubric is used to interpret the evide 2. Used rubric developed/modified by the 3. Used rubric developed/modified by a generative statement of the statement o	ence (Go to Q3.5) e faculty who teaches group of faculty a group of faculty							
assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly	Q3.4.2. Was the direct assignment, thesis, et and explicitly with the 1. Yes 2. No x 3. Don't know	tc.) aligned directly	Q3.4.3. Was the rubric aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO? X 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know					

	4. N/A	4. N/	/Α				4. N/A
assessment data collection of the selected PLO? All faculty members. Q3.6. How did you select the sample of student work [papers, projects, portfolios, etc.]? Of the 18 completed theses we randomly chose a selection to insure			Q3.5.1. If the data was evaluated by multiple scorers, was there a norming process (a procedure to make sure everyone was scoring similarly)? x 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q3.6.1. How did you decide how many samples of student work to review? Approximately a third was deemed reasonable, but in addition we wanted to keep the total thesis reading load manageable.				
Q3.6.2. How many students were in the class or program?Q3.6.3. How many sa work did you evaluat 6				es of student	w	3.6.4. Was the sample size of student ork for the direct measure adequate? x 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	
	Q3B: Indirect M	easures	s (surveys	s, fo	ocus groups,	int	terviews, etc.)
Q3.7. Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO? x 1. Yes 2. No (Skip to Q3.8) 3. Don't know Q3.7.2 If surveys were used, how was the sample size decided? All students in each class are surveyed every semester.					eck all that apply 1. National stude 2. University con 3. College/Depar 4. Alumni survey 5. Employer surv] ent duo tm tm s, fo reys d su	lowing indirect measures were used? surveys (e.g., NSSE) cted student surveys (e.g. OIR) ent/program student surveys ocus groups, or interviews s, focus groups, or interviews urveys, focus groups, or interviews
Q3.7.3. If surveys were used, briefly specify how you selected your sample.			elected	Alls		lass	used, what was the response rate? respond, although some classes had high.
Q3C: Other Measures (external be standardized t						lico	ensing exams,
lice	 .8. Were external benchmarking data successing exams or standardized tests used to tests the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No (Go to Q3.8.2) 3. Don't know 		1. Natio	onal (eral k r sta	nowledge and skil ndardized knowle	or Is n	rres were used? state/professional licensure exams neasures (e.g., CLA, CAAP, ETS PP, etc.) and skill exams (e.g., ETS, GRE, etc.)

Q3.8.2. Were other measures used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes x 2. No (Go to Q3.9)	Q3.8.3. If other measures were used, please specify:
3. Don't know (Go to Q3.9)	
Q3D: Alignme	nt and Quality
Q3.9. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with t PLO? x 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	Q3.9.1. Were ALL the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures for the PLO? x 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
Question 4: Data, Find	dings and Conclusions
Q4.1. Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summarize the [Word limit: 600 for selected PLO]	e assessment data, findings, and conclusions: (see Attachment III)
We found that the PLO is generally being met in the theses (a 2.4 c thought the student had struggled more than the reviewers could include this PLO in learning objectives and thus students were surv 220A the rating was 4. 24. Attachments 3 (all course PLO assessme assessments.	see in the final product. Two courses, PPA 200 and PPA 220A, reyed. In PPA 200 the rating was 3.94 out of a possible 5, in PPA

Q4.2. Are students doing well and meeting program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student performance of the selected PLO?

We had an extensive conversation at our annual retreat and while we were generally comfortable with the results we think there is room for improvement. First, we anticipate changing the wording of the PLO's to better reflect the specific content of the course. This has been done in most courses but not in PPA 200, 240A or 240B. Clarifying the linkage between the specific course objectives and the PLO seems to have helped students to better respond to the specific question.

Second, we will be working on two courses next year to more specifically help students to work on problem definition, a key element in their professional training as well as the thesis.

Q4.3. For selected PLO, the student performance:

- 1. Exceeded expectation/standard
- x 2. Met expectation/standard
 - 3. Partially met expectation/standard
 - 4. Did not meet expectation/standard
 - 5. No expectation or standard has been specified
 - 6. Don't know

Question 5: Use of Assessment Data (Closing the Loop)								
Q5.1. As a result of the assessment effort in 2014- 2015 and based on the prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate making any changes for your program (e.g., course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)? x 1. Yes 2. No (Go to Q6) 3. Don't know (Go to Q6) Q5.1.2. Do you have a plan to assess the impact of the changes that you anticipate making? 1. Yes 2. No (not formally although the thesis discussion is ongoing) 3. Don't know	Q5.1.1. Please describe what changes you plan to make in your program as a result of your assessment of this PLO.							
Q5.2. How have the assessment data from last year (20	13 - 2014) be	en used so f	ar? [Check a	ll that apply]				
	(1) Very Much	(2) Quite a Bit	(3) Some	(4) Not at all	(8) N/A			
1. Improving specific courses			x					
2. Modifying curriculum					х			
3. Improving advising and mentoring					х			
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals			х					
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations					х			
6. Developing/updating assessment plan			х					
7. Annual assessment reports		х						
8. Program review					х			
9. Prospective student and family information					х			
10. Alumni communication					х			
11. WASC accreditation (regional accreditation)					х			
12. Program accreditation					х			
13. External accountability reporting requirement					х			
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations			х					
15. Strategic planning					х			
16. Institutional benchmarking					х			
17. Academic policy development or modification					х			
18. Institutional Improvement					х			
19. Resource allocation and budgeting					x			
20. New faculty hiring					x			
21. Professional development for faculty and staff					x			
22. Recruitment of new students			x					
23. Other Specify:								

Q5.2.1. Please provide a detailed example of how you used the assessment data above.

We use the data as part of our annual retreat to assess program direction. As indicated, this year's focus was on how to improve the thesis preparation. The data allowed us to explore both how thesis questions are being designed, but also questions of data collection and use. The discussions and data led us to develop a new thesis prospectus form and hold "pre-thesis" orientations with all students who anticipate working on a thesis next year.

Additional Assessment Activities

Q6. Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspects of a program that are not related to PLOs (i.e., impacts of an advising center, etc.). **If** your program/academic unit has collected data on the program elements, please briefly report your results here. **[Word limit: 300]**

Q7. What PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year?							
1. Critical thinking							
2. Information literacy							
3. Written communication							
4. Oral communication							
5. Quantitative literacy							
6. Inquiry and analysis							
7. Creative thinking							
8. Reading							
9. Team work							
10. Problem solving							
11. Civic knowledge and engagement							
12. Intercultural knowledge and competency							
13. Ethical reasoning							
14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning							
15. Global learning							
16. Integrative and applied learning							
17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge							
x 18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline	_						
We assess similar items comprehensively eac							
select items for discussion based on either pr	-						
discover or issues we are concerned about.							
19. Other, specify any PLOs that were assessed in	2014-2015 but						
not included above:							
a.							
b.							
c .							
Q8. Have you attached any appendices? If yes, please list them all here:							
1. Program Learning Objectives for the Masters in Pub	lic Policy and Administration						
2. Scoring rubric and PLO's that were utilized for the r	eview of theses.						
Student evaluation of course objectives							
Findings from analysis of theses							
Program	Information						
P1. Program/Concentration Name(s):	P2. Program Director:						
Masters in Public Policy and Administration							
P1.1. Report Authors:	P2.1. Department Chair:						
Mary Kirlin	Mary Kirlin						
P3. Academic unit: Department, Program, or College:	P4. College:						
	SSIS						
Public Policy and Administration							
P5. Fall 2014 enrollment for Academic unit (See P6. Program Type: [Select only one]							
Department Fact Book 2014 by the Office of 1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major							

Institutional Research for fall 2014 enrollment: 45				2. Credential x 3. Master's degree								
				4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.d)								
				5. Other. Please specify:								
Undergraduate Degree Program(s):				М								
P7. Number of undergraduate degree programs the			Master Degree Program(s): P8. Number of Master's degree programs the academic									
academic unit has:			unit has: 2									
P7.1. List all the name(s):				P8.1. List all the name(s): Masters in Public Policy and Administration								
P7.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program?			Masters in Urban Land Development P8.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master program? 0							iploma for		
Credential Program(s):				Do	ctorate	Program	n(s)					
P9. Number of credential programs	the acad	demic		P1	0. Numt	per of do	octorate	degree	program	ns the	academic	
unit has: 0				unit has: 0								
P9.1. List all the names:				P10.1. List all the name(s):								
Mhen was your assessment plan?					4. 2009-10	5. 2010-11	6. 2011-12	7. 2012-13	8. 2013-14	9. 2014-15	10. No formal plan	
P11. Developed	х											
P12. Last updated		х	х		x	x	x	х	x	х		
							1. Yes	2. No	3. Don't Know			
P13. Have you developed a curriculum map for this program?						х						
P14. Has the program indicated explicitly where the assessment of student learning occurs in the curriculum?						n the	x					
P15. Does the program have any capstone class?							х					
P16. Does the program have ANY capstone project?							х					

Assessing Other Program Learning Outcomes (Optional)

If your program assessed PLOs not reported above, please summarize your assessment activities in the table below. If you completed part of the assessment process, but not the full process (for example, you revised a PLO and developed a new rubric for measuring it), then put N/A in any boxes that do not apply.

