Psychotherapeutic Interventions for Children Suffering from PTSD: Recommendations for School Psychologists

> Julie Davis, Laura Lux, Ellie Martinez, & Annie Riffey California Sate University Sacramento

Presentation Outline

Introduction:

- Overview of PTSD and its prevalence among school-aged children.
- Interventions:
 - Psychotherapeutic treatments for PTSD.
- The Role of the School Psychologist:
 - Making appropriate referral decisions.
- Questions?

- According to the DSM IV-TR, events that may generate traumatic stress include ...
 a) experiencing,
 - b) witnessing, and/or
 - c) learning about an event that involves *actual* death or physical injury, and/or *threatened* death or physical injury" (APA, 2000, p. 463).

- Who is most likely to develop PTSD?
 13-43% of girls and boys have experienced at least one traumatic event in their lifetime.
 - Of those children and adolescents who have experienced a trauma, 3–15% of girls and 1–6% of boys develop PTSD.

Three clusters of symptoms are associated with PTSD
 Re-experiencing the traumatic event
 Avoidance or emotional numbing
 Hyper-arousal

- Do all children require psychotherapeutic interventions?
 - NO! Most children will manifest only relatively <u>minor</u> crisis reactions.
 - However, some who have been <u>severely</u> traumatized (and develop PTSD) will need longer term psychotherapeutic intervention.
- Who provides Psychotherapeutic interventions?
 - <u>Trained</u> Mental Health Professionals: Therapists, Psychologists, Psychiatrists

- PTSD Intervention Groupings:
 - 1. Research based interventions proven to be effective among children.
 - 2. Research based interventions proven to be effective among adults, but with no research among children.
 - 3. Interventions lacking empirical support for use among children and/or that have been suggested to possibly cause harm.

Interventions Proven Effective Among Children

Empirically Studied Interventions

 Cognitive-Behavioral Approaches
 Imaginal and In Vivo Exposure Therapy
 School-Based Group Interventions
 Anxiety Management Techniques

Imaginal Exposure Therapy

Designed to help children confront feared objects, situations, memories, and images associated with the crisis event through repeated re-counting of (or imaginal exposure to) the traumatic memory.

- Involves ...
 - Visualization
 - Anxiety rating
 - Habituation

Carr (2004)

In Vivo Exposure Therapy

- Involves <u>repeated</u> and <u>prolonged</u> confrontation with the actual trauma-related situations/objects that evoke excessive anxiety.
 - Should only be a therapeutic choice if the child has successfully followed the treatment steps of imaginal exposure.
 - Can cause some distress as children confront traumatic situations/objects.
 - School staff should be prepared for this.

School-Based Group Interventions

The effectiveness of group interventions has been proven effective among refugee children.

Benefits of a group approach included:
Assisted a large number of students at once.
Decreased sense of hopelessness.
Normalizes reactions.

Anxiety Management Techniques (AMT)

Two phase treatment
First Phase: *Learning*Second Phase: *Doing*At post-treatment follow-up, significant decreases in PTSD symptoms was observed among all subjects.

AMT in the Schools

- School psychologists can reinforce the skills learned in Phase 1 (learning) at school via group counseling.
- These elements can be stand alone treatments and have been show to be effective.
- Examples
 - Goenjian (1997)
 - March (1998)

Feeny et al. (2004)

Interventions Proven Effective Among Adults but with Limited Empirical Data for use Among Children

Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing

- Uses elements of cognitive behavioral and psychodynamic treatments
- Employs an Eight-Phase treatment approach
- Principals of dual stimulation set this treatment apart: tactile, sound, or eye movement components

A "Unique" Treatment

Positive affects
Evoke insight
Belief alterations
Behavioral shifts

Pros

- More efficient (less total treatment time)
- Reduces trauma related symptoms
- Comparable to other Cognitive Behavioral Therapies
 - Suggested to be more effective than Prolonged Exposure



Limited research with children
No school-based research
Referral to a trained professional is required

Perkins et al. (2002)

Interventions Lacking Empirical Support Among Children and/or That May Cause Harm

Critical Incident Stress Debriefing

 Critical Incident Stress Debriefings (CISD) a.k.a Mitchell Model of Debriefing

Critical Incident Stress Debriefing

Single session

- Occur after the crisis event (Post-impact phase)
- Intended Goals
 - Help students feel less alone
 - Connection to classmates, by virtue of common experience
 - Normalize experience and reactions

Brock & Jimerson (2004)

Critical Incident Stress Debriefing

Phases:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Fact Phrase
- 3. Thought Phase
- 4. Reaction Phase
- 5. Symptom Phase
- 6. Teaching Phase
- 7. Re-entry

Empirical Support

- No research was found to support CISD as a treatment for PTSD.
- Use with children has not been studied.

No school-based studies.

Research Review

Participants	Stressors/ Crisis Events
 Adults Emergency response and disaster personal Soldiers 	 Burn Victims Non-injured robbery victims Hurricane exposure War Earthquakes Physical or sexual assault Hospitalization after traffic accident

Brock & Jimerson, 2004

Studies Examined

Timing of Interventions offered varied
10-24 hours after incident
2-19 days after incident
Months after incidents
Results:

No evidence of a more rapid rate of recovery from PTSD than would have occurred without this intervention

Brock & Jimerson, 2004

Meta-Analysis

Meta-analysis of single session debriefings.
Utilized CISD interventions.
Intervention provided within one month of event.

Results:

CISD was not found to be effective in lowering the incidence of PTSD.

Van Emmerik et al., 2002

Conclusions about CISD & PTSD

- May interfere natural processing of a traumatic event
- May inadvertently lead victims to bypass natural supports (i.e., family and friends)
- May increase awareness to normal reactions of distress and suggest that those reactions warrant professional care

Conclusions about CISD & PTSD

- Group debriefings were not effective in lowering the incidence of PTSD
- In some cases, debriefing was suggested to be more harmful than good.
 - Appear to have made those who were acutely psychologically traumatized worse.

References

Brock, S. E., & Jimerson, S. R. (2004). School crisis interventions: Strategies for addressing the consequences of crisis events. In E. R. Gerler Jr. (Ed.), *Handbook of school violence* (pp. 285-332). Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.

Carr, A. (2004). Interventions for post-traumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents. *Pediatric Rehabilitation*, 7, 231-244.

Cook-Cottone, C. (2004). Childhood posttraumatic stress disorder: Diagnosis, treatment, and school reintegration. *School Psychology Review*, *33*, 127-139.

Critical Incident Stress Debriefing: Outline for facilitation debriefing groups. Retrieved February 10, 2007, from <u>http://ifs.sc.edu/documents/Critical%20Incident%20Stress%2</u> <u>0Debriefing.doc</u>

Ehntholt, A. K, Smith, A. P, & Yule, W. (2005). School-based Cognitive-Behavioural therapy group intervention for refugee children who have experienced war-related trauma. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 10*, 235-250.

References

Feeny, N. C., Foa, E. B., Treadwell, K. R. H., & March, J. (2004). Posttraumatic stress disorder in youth: A critical review of the cognitive and behavioral treatment outcome literature. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 35*, 466-476.

- Korn, D., & Leeds, A. (2002). Preliminary evidence of efficacy for EMDR resource development and Installation in the stabilization phase of treatment of complex posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 58,* 1-22.
- Perkins, B., & Rouanzion, C. (2002). A critical evaluation of current views regarding eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR): Clarifying points of confusion. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 58, 77-97.

Shapiro, F. (2002). EMDR 12 years after its Introduction: Past and future research. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *58*, 1465-1487.

Van Emmerik, A. P., Kamphuis, J. H., Hulsbosch, & Emmelkamp,
P. M. (2002). Single session debriefing after psychological trauma: A meta-analysis. *The Lancet, 360, 766-770*.



Presentation Available at http://www.csus.edu/indiv/b/brocks/