Lanen 3e, Chapter 17 Additional Topics in Variance Analysis

Learning Objectives

- 1. Explain how to prorate variances to inventories and cost of goods sold.
- 2. Use market share variances to evaluate marketing performance.
- 3. Use sales mix and quantity variances to evaluate marketing performance.
- 4. Evaluate production performance using production mix and yield variances
- 5. Apply the variance analysis model to nonmanufacturing costs.
- 6. Determine which variances to investigate.

Chapter Outline

- PROFIT VARIANCE ANALYSIS WHEN UNITS PRODUCED DO NOT EQUAL UNITS SOLD
 Reconciling variable costing budgets and full-absorption income statements
- II. MATERIALS PURCHASES DO NOT EQUAL MATERIALS USED
- III. MARKET SHARE VARIANCE AND INDUSTRY VOLUME VARIANCE
- IV. SALES ACTIVITY VARIANCES WITH MULTIPLE PRODUCTS
 - A. Evaluating product mix
 - B. Evaluating sales mix and sales quantity
 - Sources of the sales mix variance
- V. PRODUCTION MIX AND YIDLE VARIANCES
 - Mix and yield variances in manufacturing
- VI. VARIANCE ÁNALYSIS IN NONMANUFACTURING SETTINGS
 - A. Using the profit variance analysis in service and merchandise organizations
 - B. Efficiency measures
 - C. Mix and yield variances in service organizations
 - KEEPING AN EYE ON VARIANCES AND STANDARDS
 - A. How many variances to calculate
 - B. When to investigate variances
 - C. Updating standards
- VIII. SUMMARY

VII.

Key Concepts

LO1 Explain how to prorate variances to inventories and cost of goods sold.

• The analysis of variances becomes more complicated when the units sold do not equal the units produced (i.e., when inventory is present).

• The assumption that production was greater than sales has no effect on the sales activity variance because the master budget and flexible budget are based on sales volume. So are the sales price variance, and marketing and administrative variances in general.

• In the time period in which units are produced, the variable production cost variance is calculated as follows:

Variance = (Actual variable cost – Estimated variable cost) × Units produced.

• The actual variable production costs are really a hybrid.

Actual variable	=	Flexible budget variable	+ (or -)	Variable production
production costs		production costs		cost variances.

Demonstration Problem 1

The accountant at EZ Toys, Inc. is analyzing the production and cost data for its Trucks Division. For October, the actual results and the master budget data are presented below.

Actual results		Budget data	
12,000 trucks produced		12,000 trucks planned	
10,000 trucks sold			
Unit selling price	\$15	Unit selling price	\$14
Unit variable costs: ^a		Unit variable cost:	
Direct materials	\$5.28	Direct materials	\$5
Direct labor	5.10	Direct labor	4
Variable overhead	2.30	Variable overhead	2
Total variable costs	\$12.68	Total unit variable costs	\$11
Fixed overhead	\$9,000	Fixed overhead	\$9,600

^a These are average costs.

Required:

Prepare a profit variance analysis.

Solution:

				Flexible		
	Actual			budget		Master
	(based on			(based on		budget
	actual			actual activity		(based on
	activity of			of 10,000 units	Sales	12,000 units
	10,000 units	Manufacturing	Sales price	sold)	activity	planned)
	sold)	variances	variance		variance	
Sales revenue	\$150,000		\$10,000 F	\$140,000	\$28,000 U	\$168,000
Less: Costs						
Variable costs						
Direct materials	\$53,360	\$3,360 Uª		\$50,000	\$10,000 F	\$60,000
Direct labor	53,200	13,200 U♭		40,000	8,000 F	48,000
Variable overhead	23,600	3,600 U°		20,000	4,000 F	24,000
Total variable costs	\$130,160			\$110,000	\$22,000 F	\$132,000
Contribution margin	\$19,840			\$30,000	\$6,000 U	\$36,000
Fixed overhead	9,000	600 F		9,600	0	9,600
Operating profit	\$10,840	\$19,560 U	\$10,000 F	\$20,400	\$6,000 U	\$26,400

F = Favorable variance.

U = Unfavorable variance. a 12,000 × (\$5.28 - \$5) = \$3,360 U.

^b 12,000 × (\$5.10 - \$4) = \$13,200 U. ^c 12,000 × (\$2.30 - \$2) = \$3,600 U.

• The entire variable production cost variance for units produced can be treated as a period cost and expensed in the period incurred, or it can be prorated between units sold and units still in inventory.

Cost of goods sold	XX
Fixed overhead price variance	XX
Fixed overhead production volu	ıme variance xx
Variable production cost varian	Ces XX
(To close production cost variances to Cost of go	ods sold; the debits and credits are assumed)

Cost of goods sold	XX	
Finished goods inventory	XX	
Fixed overhead price variance		XX
Fixed overhead production volume variance		XX
Variable production cost variances		XX

(To close production cost variances to Cost of goods sold and Finished goods inventory; the debits and credits are assumed)

• Using variable costing, the entire fixed production cost is expensed when incurred.

• When standard, full-absorption costing is used and production and sales volumes are not the same, the profit reported will be different from that reported under variable costing (due to the accounting system, not managerial efficiency). Care must be taken to identify the cause of such profit differences.

• Exhibit 17.2 reconciles the reported income statement under full absorption with that under variable costing.

Demonstration Problem 2

Required:

Reconcile reported income using standard, full-absorption costing with that using standard, variable costing for the Trucks Division of EZ Toys in October.

Solution:

	Actual (using standard, full-absorption costing)	Inventory adjustment	Actual (using standard, variable costing)
Sales revenue	\$150,000	,	\$150,000
Less:			
Variable costs			
Direct materials (at standard)	\$50,000		\$50,000
Direct labor (at standard)	40,000		40,000
Variable overhead (at standard)	20,000		20,000
Variable production cost variances (net)	20,160ª		20,160
Less:			
Fixed overhead	8,000	\$(1,600)	9,600
Fixed overhead variance (net)	(600)		(600)
Operating profit	\$12,440	\$(1,600)	\$10,840

^a\$3,360 U + \$13,200 U + \$3,600 U = \$20,160 U.

Using variable costing, the entire fixed production cost of 9,000 is expensed in October. Under standard, absorption costing, each truck is allocated fixed production cost of $0.8 (= 9,600 \div 12,000 \text{ units})$. A portion of the fixed production cost is allocated to the 2,000 units in ending inventory:

\$0.8 × 2,000 = \$1,600.

Thus, only 7,400 (= 9,000 - 1,600) of the actual fixed production cost are expensed in October under standard, fullabsorption costing. This includes $8,000 (= 0.8 \times 10,000 \text{ units})$ of fixed production cost in standard cost of goods sold plus a favorable budget variance of 600.

In this case, full-absorption operating profit would be \$12,440, or \$1,600 higher than variable costing operating profit. The \$1,600 difference in profits is due to the accounting system, not because of operating activities.

• When the quantities of materials purchased and used are not the same, a purchase price variance based on the quantity of materials purchased can be calculated.

Purchase price variance = (Actual price – Standard price) × Actual quantity purchased.

• The materials efficiency variance remains the same because it is based on materials used.

• One advantage of using a standard costing system is that managers receive information that is useful in making decisions to improve performance.

• The sooner the information is received (such as information about the purchase price variance shortly after the acquisition of materials), the sooner it can be used for decision making purposes.

• If materials are stored, recording the purchase at standard cost provides information on price variances earlier than if the firm waits until the materials are used.

Demonstration Problem 3

Information about the use of direct materials at EZ Toys' Trucks Division for October is as follows:

Standard costs		
2 units per truck @ \$2.5 per unit	=	\$5 per truck
Trucks produced in October	=	10,000
Actual materials purchased		
23,200 units @ \$2.4 per unit	=	\$55,680
Actual materials used		
22,000 units @ \$2.4 per unit	=	\$52,800

There was no beginning inventory on October 1.

Required:

Prepare Truck Division's direct materials variances for October.

Solution:



The price variance is based on the quantities purchased (23,200 units), while the efficiency variance is based on the quantities used (22,000 units vs. 20,000 units allowed under the flexible budget).

· The relevant journal entries are

Materials inventory	ХХ
Material price variance	XX
Accounts payable	XX

(To record materials purchase and material price variance; Unfavorable variance is assumed)

Work in process inventory	XX
Material efficiency variance	
Materials inventory	XX

(To record the use of materials and material efficiency variance; Unfavorable variance is assumed)

LO2 Use market share variances to evaluate marketing performance.

The general approach in variance analysis is to separate the variance into components based on a budgeting formula.
 The same idea is applicable to variances in sales activities.

• Many companies base an initial sales forecast on an estimate of sales activity in the industry as a whole and on an estimate of the company's market share.

• There are two reasons why actual sales activity is different from budgeted sales activity:

(1) Actual industry volume was different from budgeted industry volume, and/or

(2) Actual market share was different from budgeted market share.

• Industry volume variance represents the portion of the sales activity variance attributable to changes in industry volume.

• Market share variance represents the portion of the sales activity variance due to change in the company's proportion of sales in the markets in which the company operates.

• By decomposing sales activity variance into an industry volume and a market share variance, management has additional information that can be used to make operational improvements next period.

• Multiplying each figure (one from the industry effect, the other from the market share effect) by the standard contribution margin gives the impact of these variances on operating profit. That is,

Industry volume variance	=	Standard contribution margin per unit	×	(Actual industry Budgeted industr	volume ry volur	e – × Budgeted ne) market share	€.
Market share variance	=	Standard contribution margin per unit	×	Actual industry volume	×	(Actual market share - Budgeted market share)	•

Customers	contribution margin	Sales volume	Sales mix	
Commercial	\$5	40,000	80%	
Retail	8	10,000	20%	
		50,000		

• The market share variance is usually more controllable by the marketing department and is a measure of its performance.

• The use of the industry volume and market share variances enables management to separate that portion of the activity variance that coincides with changes in the overall industry from that which is specific to the company.

• Exhibit 17.4 illustrates the relation between these two market-related variances.

Demonstration Problem 4

EZ Toys' marketing manager estimated the sales of 12,000 trucks in October for the Trucks Division based on an estimated industry volume of 80,000 trucks and on the Trucks Division's ability to maintain a market share of 15 percent in the past. That is,

80,000 trucks to be sold in the market × 15% of estimated market share = 12,000 trucks.

Due to unexpected shift in demand, the industry volume in toy truck sales dropped to 62,500 units in October while EZ Toys' Trucks Division managed to sell a total of 10,000 units.

The following information is also available.

Budget data	
Unit selling price	\$14
Unit variable cost:	
Direct materials	\$5
Direct labor	4
Variable overhead	2
Total unit variable costs	\$11

Required:

Prepare October's industry volume and market share activity variances for the Trucks Division of EZ Toys.

Solution:

The Trucks Division's actual market share for October was 16% (= 10,000 units ÷ 62,500 units).

Industry volume variance	(\$14 - \$11) × (62,500 units – 80,000 units) × 15%	=	\$7,875 U
Market share variance	(\$14 - \$11) × 62,500 units × (16% - 15%)	=	1,875 F
Sales activity variance			\$6,000 U

LO3 Use sales mix and quantity variances to evaluate marketing performance.

• The sales activity variance can be divided into two components: sales mix and sales quantity.

• Sales mix variance arises from the relative proportion of different products sold, holding constant the quantity effects.

Sales mix	=	Standard contribution	×	(Actual quantity sold – Quantity that would have
variance		margin per unit		been sold at the standard mix).

• A sales mix variance provides useful information for a company that sells multiple products when these products are (imperfect) substitutes for each other.

• The sales mix variance measures the impact of substitution.

• Sales quantity variance occurs in multiproduct companies from the change in volume of sales, independent of any change in sales mix.

Sales	=	Standard contribution	x	(Quantity that would have been sold at the
quantity		margin per unit		standard mix – Budgeted sales quantity).
variance				

• The sales quantity variance measures the variance in sales quantity, holding the sales mix constant.

• Although the variances can be calculated for each product sold to show the exact source, the total variance is most frequently used for analysis.

• See Exhibit 17.5 for an example.

Demonstration Problem 5

EZ Toys' Stuffed Animals Division has two products: Bear and Monkey. Data on the two products for October are as follows.

	Bear	Monkey	Total
Standard selling price	\$20	\$12	
Standard variable costs	12	8	
Standard unit contribution margin	\$8	\$4	
Budgeted sales quantity	2,500	7,500	10,000
Budgeted sales mix	25%	75%	
Budgeted contribution margin	\$20,000	\$30,000 <u>-</u>	\$50,000
Actual sales quantity	3,000	5,000 62.5%	8,000
Actual sales mix	07.0% ¢04.000a	02.3% ¢00.000	¢44.000
budgeted communion margin at actual quantities	⊅∠4,000 ª	φ20,000 <u>-</u>	<u></u>
Sales activity variance		_	\$6,000 U⁵

^a \$24,000 = \$8 × 3,000 units.

 b \$6,000 U = \$44,000 - \$50,000.

Required:

Determine the Stuffed Animals Division's sales mix and sales quantity variances for October.

Solution:

For Bear,



For the Stuffed Animals Division as a whole,



^a SCM = Standard unit contribution margin.

^bASQ = Quantity that would have been sold at the standard mix.

LO4 Evaluate production performance using production mix and yield variances.

• The analysis of mix and quantity variances for sales can be applied to production as well.

• The direct materials efficiency variance can be divided into two components: mix and yield.

• Production mix variance arises from a change in the relative proportion of inputs (a materials or labor mix variance).

Productio	Standard	(Actual quantity – Actual input
n mix	input	used at the standard mix).
variance	price	

• The production mix variance measures the impact of substitution.

• Production yield variance measures the difference between expected output from a given level of inputs and the actual output obtained from those inputs.

Productio	Standard	(Actual input used at the
n yield	input	standard mix – Standard input
variance	price	allowed).

• The production yield variance measures the input-output relationship holding the standard mix inputs constant.

• By separating the efficiency variance into its mix and yield components, the pure mix effect is isolated by holding constant the yield effect, and the pure yield effect is isolated by holding constant the mix effect.

• See Exhibit 17.6 for an example.

Demonstration Problem 6

Beautiful Paints Company makes different paints. Its semi-gloss paint product requires two chemical ingredients, X and Y. The standard cost and quantity data follow.

		Standard	Standard quantity (gallon) of	Standard cost per	
	Direct	price per	input per gallon of semi-gloss	gallon of semi-gloss	
	materials	gallon	paint	paint	
-	Chemical X	\$8	.5	\$4	
	Chemical Y	2	.5	1	
During O	ctober, Beautif	ul Paints Com	pany had the following results:		
-	Units produced	b	20,000 gallons of semi-	gloss paint	
	Materials purc	hased and us	ed		
	Chemical X		9,800 gallons at \$8.2	per gallon	
	Chemical Y		10,500 gallons at \$2.1	per gallon	
Required	d:				
Dete	rmine the price	, mix, and yie	Id variances for Beautiful Paints	Company's semi-gloss	paint in October.



^a ASQ = Quantity that would have been sold at the standard mix.

LO5 Apply the variance analysis model to nonmanufacturing costs.

• The comparison of the master budget, the flexible budget, and actual results can also be used in service and merchandising organizations.

• Output is usually defined as sales units in merchandising, but service organizations use other measures, such as professional staff hours (accounting firms), room nights or guests (hotels), seat miles or revenue miles (airlines), and patient days (hospitals).

• Merchandising and service organizations focus on marketing and administrative costs to measure efficiency and control costs.

• The key items to control are labor costs (for service organizations), and occupancy costs per sales dollar (for merchandising organizations).

- The computation of efficiency variance requires a reliable measure of output activity that is linked to input.
- In general, jobs with routine tasks lend themselves to efficiency measures, and jobs with non-routine tasks do not.
- By substituting different types of labor, service organizations need to calculate labor mix and yield variances.
- Two factors are important when considering mix variances.
- (1) There is an assumed substitutability of inputs.
- (2) The input costs must be different for a mix variance to exist.

Demonstration Problem 7

A CPA firm is to perform an audit job for a regular client. Based on past experiences working with the client, 750 partner hours (at a cost of \$200 per hour) and 2,250 staff hours (at a cost of \$75 per hour) are budgeted for the job.

Due to unforeseen events at the client's sites, a total of 2,700 hours are used consisting of 900 partner hours and 1,800 staff hours. The hourly rate for partner time is the same as budgeted but the hourly rate for staff time become \$100 per hour because more experienced staff members are put to work.

Required:

Determine all the variances for the CPA firm on the audit job.

Solution:



^a ASQ = Labor hours that would have been used at the standard mix. $2,700 \times \frac{1}{4} = 675$; $2,700 \times \frac{3}{4} = 2,025$.

A total of 3,000 audit hours are budgeted for the job. The standard mix calls for three staff hours for each partner hour performed $(2,250 \div 750 = 3)$. The actual audit takes 2,700 hours in which each partner hour is supported by only two staff hours due to staff members' seniority and experiences.